- 9. In light of Theorem 4.21, show that under Assumption 4.20, if we want to obtain $\|\boldsymbol{x}_k \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 < \varepsilon$, we need an order of $\ln(\ln \varepsilon^{-1})$ iterations for the Newton method.
- 10. In the Section 4.4.3, show that $\mathcal{L}_k = \{ \delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1} \}.$
- 11. In the same section, arrive at the expression (9) for a strictly convex quadratic function.
- 12. Show that the secant equation is valid for BFGS, DFP and symmetric-rank-one formulae.
- 13. Given $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a non-singular matrix $\boldsymbol{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, if $1 + \boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u} \neq 0$, then the following formula is valid:

$$(\boldsymbol{M} + \boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v}^T)^{-1} = \boldsymbol{M}^{-1} - \frac{\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}}{1 + \boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u}}.$$
 (Sherman-Morrison formula)

Apply this formula to compute the inverses B_{k+1} of H_{k+1} for BFGS, DFP and symmetric-rank-one formulae.

14. Apply the quasi-Newton method with BFGS, DFP, and Symmetric-Rank-One updates for the strictly convex function $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha + \langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$ with $\boldsymbol{A} \succ \boldsymbol{O}$.

5 Convex Functions and Extended Real-Valued Functions

5.1 Convex Functions

Definition 5.1 Let Q be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We denote by $\mathcal{F}^k(Q)$ the class of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

- Any $f \in \mathcal{F}^k(Q)$ is k times continuously differentiable on Q;
- f is convex on Q, *i.e.*, given $\forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in Q$ and $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) \le \alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}).$$

Theorem 5.2 $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if its epigraph $E := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid f(x) \le y\}$ is a convex.

Proof: \Rightarrow Let $(\boldsymbol{x}_1, y_1), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, y_2) \in E$. Then for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, we have

$$f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x}_1 + (1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{x}_2) \le \alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}_1) + (1-\alpha)f(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \le \alpha y_1 + (1-\alpha)y_2$$

and therefore $(\alpha \boldsymbol{x}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \boldsymbol{x}_2, \alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha) y_2) \in E$.

 $\models \text{Let } (\boldsymbol{x}_1, f(\boldsymbol{x}_1)), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, f(\boldsymbol{x}_2)) \in E. \text{ By the convexity of } E, \text{ for any } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,$

$$f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x}_1 + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{x}_2) \le \alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}_1) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{x}_2)$$

and therefore, $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 5.3 If $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then its λ -level set $L_{\lambda} := \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \lambda \}$ is convex for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. But the converse is not true.

Proof:

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in L_{\lambda}$. Then for $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$, since $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) \leq \alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}) \leq \alpha \lambda + (1 - \alpha)\lambda = \lambda$. Therefore, $\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y} \in L_{\lambda}$.

For the converse, $L_{\lambda} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) = x^3 \leq \lambda\}$ is convex for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, but $f \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 5.4 The function $-\log x$ is convex on $(0, +\infty)$. Let $a, b \in (0, +\infty)$ and $0 \le \theta \le 1$. Then, from the definition of the convexity, we have

$$-\log(\theta a + (1-\theta)b) \le -\theta \log a - (1-\theta)\log b.$$

If we take the exponential of both sides, we obtain

$$a^{\theta}b^{1-\theta} \le \theta a + (1-\theta)b.$$

For $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, we have the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality: $\sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$. Let $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, p > 1$, and q such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Consider

$$a = \frac{|[\boldsymbol{x}]_i|^p}{\sum_{j=1}^n |[\boldsymbol{x}]_j|^p}, \ b = \frac{|[\boldsymbol{y}]_i|^q}{\sum_{j=1}^n |[\boldsymbol{y}]_j|^q}, \ \theta = \frac{1}{p}, \text{ and } (1-\theta) = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\frac{|[\boldsymbol{x}]_i|^p}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n |[\boldsymbol{x}]_j|^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{|[\boldsymbol{y}]_i|^q}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^n |[\boldsymbol{y}]_j|^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le \frac{|[\boldsymbol{x}]_i|^p}{p\sum\limits_{j=1}^n |[\boldsymbol{x}]_j|^p} + \frac{|[\boldsymbol{y}]_i|^q}{q\sum\limits_{j=1}^n |[\boldsymbol{y}]_j|^q}$$

and summing over i, we obtain the Hölder inequality:

$$|\langle oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y}
angle|\leq \|oldsymbol{x}\|_p\|oldsymbol{y}\|_q$$

where
$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |[\boldsymbol{x}]_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
.

Theorem 5.5 (Jensen's inequality) A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if for any positive integer m, the following condition is valid

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \ge 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \boldsymbol{x}_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f(\boldsymbol{x}_i).$$

Proof:

Left for exercise.

Theorem 5.6 Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of (finite or infinite) functions which are bounded from above and $f_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, $f(\boldsymbol{x}) := \sup_{i \in I} f_i(\boldsymbol{x})$ is convex on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof:

For each $i \in I$, since $f_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its epigraph $E_i = \{(\boldsymbol{x}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq y\}$ is convex on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} by Theorem 5.2. Also their intersection

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} \left\{ (\boldsymbol{x}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \le y \right\} = \left\{ (\boldsymbol{x}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sup_{i \in I} f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \le y \right\}$$

is convex by Exercise 2 of Section 1, which is exactly the epigraph of f(x).

5.2 Differentiable Convex Functions

Theorem 5.7 Let f be a continuously differentiable function. The following conditions are equivalent:

1.
$$f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
.
2. $f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$, $\forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

3.
$$\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

Proof: Left for exercise.

Theorem 5.8 (First-order sufficient optimality condition) If $f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, then x^* is the global minimum of f(x) on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof: Left for exercise.

Lemma 5.9 If $f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\boldsymbol{A}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, then

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}) \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof:

Left for exercise.

Example 5.10 The following functions are differentiable and convex:

1.
$$f(x) = e^{x}$$

2. $f(x) = |x|^{p}, \quad p > 1$
3. $f(x) = \frac{x^{2}}{1+|x|}$
4. $f(x) = |x| - \ln(1+|x|)$
5. $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{\alpha_{i} + \langle \boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle}$
6. $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\langle \boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle - b_{i}|^{p}, \quad p > 1$

Theorem 5.11 Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function. Then $f \in \mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$oldsymbol{
abla}^2oldsymbol{f}(oldsymbol{x})\succeqoldsymbol{O},\quad orall oldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof:

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and denote $\boldsymbol{x}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{x} + \tau \boldsymbol{s}, \ \tau > 0$. Then, from the previous result

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\tau^2} \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\tau}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}_{\tau} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle = \frac{1}{\tau} \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\tau}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{s} \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau} \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{s}) \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{s} \rangle d\lambda$$
$$= \frac{F(\tau) - F(0)}{\tau}$$

where $F(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} \langle \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{s}) \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{s} \rangle d\lambda$. Therefore, tending τ to 0, we get $0 \leq F'(0) = \langle \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{s} \rangle$, and we have the result.

Conversely, $\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(\boldsymbol{y}) &=& f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}))(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle d\lambda d\tau \\ &\geq& f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle. \end{array}$$

5.3 Differentiable Convex Functions with Lipschitz Continuous Gradients

Corollary 5.12 Let f be a two times continuously differentiable function. $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $O \preceq \nabla^2 f(x) \preceq LI$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof:

Left for exercise.

Theorem 5.13 Let f be a continuously differentiable function on \mathbb{R}^n , $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1.
$$f \in \mathcal{F}_{L}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

2. $0 \leq f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \leq \frac{L}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2}.$
3. $f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y})\|_{2}^{2} \leq f(\boldsymbol{y}).$
4. $0 \leq \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y})\|_{2}^{2} \leq \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle.$
5. $0 \leq \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \leq L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2}.$
6. $f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) + \frac{\alpha(1 - \alpha)}{2L} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y})\|_{2}^{2} \leq \alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}).$
7. $0 \leq \alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) \leq \alpha(1 - \alpha)\frac{L}{2}\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2}.$

Proof:

 $1 \Rightarrow 2$ It follows from Lemmas 5.7 and 3.6.

 $\boxed{2\Rightarrow3}$ Fix $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and consider the function $\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{y}) - \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} \rangle$. Clearly $\phi(\boldsymbol{y})$ satisfies 2. Also, $\boldsymbol{y}^* = \boldsymbol{x}$ is a minimal solution. Therefore from 2,

$$\begin{split} \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \phi(\boldsymbol{y}^*) \leq \phi\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \frac{1}{L}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi(\boldsymbol{y})\right) \leq \phi(\boldsymbol{y}) + \frac{L}{2} \left\|\frac{1}{L}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi(\boldsymbol{y})\right\|_2^2 + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi(\boldsymbol{y}), -\frac{1}{L}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle \\ &= \phi(\boldsymbol{y}) + \frac{1}{2L} \|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{L} \|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2 = \phi(\boldsymbol{y}) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2. \end{split}$$

Since $\nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{y}) = \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})$, finally we have

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x}
angle \leq f(\boldsymbol{y}) - \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y}
angle - rac{1}{2L} \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \|_2^2.$$

 $3 \Rightarrow 4$ Adding two copies of 3 with x and y interchanged, we obtain 4.

4 \Rightarrow 1 Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to 4, we obtain $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2 \le L \|x - y\|_2$. Also from Theorem 5.7, f(x) is convex.

$$\begin{split} f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle &= \int_0^1 \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x} + \tau(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \tau L \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \|_2^2 d\tau = \frac{L}{2} \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \|_2^2. \end{split}$$

The non-negativity follows from Theorem 5.7.

 $|3 \Rightarrow 6|$ Denote $\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha} = \alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha) \boldsymbol{y}$. From 3,

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}), (1-\alpha)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2L} \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}), \alpha(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2L} \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) \|_{2}^{2}.$$

Multiplying the first inequality by α , the second by $1 - \alpha$, and summing up, we have

$$\alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1-\alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) + \frac{\alpha}{2L} \|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha})\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2L} \|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha})\|_{2}^{2}$$

Finally, using the inequality

$$\alpha \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{d} \|_{2}^{2} + (1 - \alpha) \| \boldsymbol{c} - \boldsymbol{d} \|_{2}^{2} \ge \alpha (1 - \alpha) \| \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{c} \|_{2}^{2}$$

we have the result.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha(1-\alpha)\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{c}\|_{2}^{2} \ge -\alpha(1-\alpha)(\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{d}\|_{2}+\|\boldsymbol{c}-\boldsymbol{d}\|)_{2}^{2} \\ \text{Therefore} \\ \alpha\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{d}\|_{2}^{2}+(1-\alpha)\|\boldsymbol{c}-\boldsymbol{d}\|_{2}^{2}-\alpha(1-\alpha)(\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{d}\|_{2}+\|\boldsymbol{c}-\boldsymbol{d}\|_{2})^{2} \\ = (\alpha\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{d}\|_{2}-(1-\alpha)\|\boldsymbol{c}-\boldsymbol{d}\|_{2})^{2} \ge 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

6 \Rightarrow 3 Dividing both sides by $1 - \alpha$ and tending α to 1, we obtain 3. $2\Rightarrow$ 7 Denoting again $\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha} = \alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}$, from 2,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{x}) &\leq f(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}), (1-\alpha)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle + \frac{L}{2}(1-\alpha)^{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2} \\ f(\boldsymbol{y}) &\leq f(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}), \alpha(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \alpha^{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the first inequality by α , the second by $1 - \alpha$, and summing up, we have

$$\alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1-\alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}) \le f(\boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha}) + \frac{L}{2} \left(\alpha (1-\alpha)^2 + (1-\alpha)\alpha^2 \right) \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2.$$

The non-negativity follows from Theorem 5.7.

 $7 \Rightarrow 2$ Dividing both sides by $1 - \alpha$ and tending α to 1, we obtain 2. The non-negativity follows from Theorem 5.7.

5.4 Differentiable Strongly Convex Functions

Definition 5.14 A continuously differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *strongly convex* on \mathbb{R}^n (notation $f \in \mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}
angle + rac{1}{2} \mu \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \|_2^2, \quad orall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The constant μ is called the *convexity parameter* of the function f.

Example 5.15 The following functions are some examples of strongly convex functions:

1. $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2$. 2. $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha + \langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$, for $\boldsymbol{A} \succeq \mu \boldsymbol{I}, \ \mu > 0$.

- 3. |x| (Although this function is not differentiable at $0 \in \mathbb{R}$, it is strongly convex only at the same point).
- 4. A sum of a convex and a strongly convex functions.
- 5. LASSO (Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator) with rank(\mathbf{A}) = n: $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$ and $\lambda > 0$ (notice that this function is also not differentiable at $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$).
- 6. The ℓ_2 -regularized logistic regression function $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \log(1 + \exp(-\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle)) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2$, $\lambda > 0$, which is a sum of a convex function and a strongly convex function.

Remark 5.16 Strongly convex functions are different from strictly convex functions. For instance, $f(x) = x^4$ is strictly convex at x = 0 but it is not strongly convex at the same point.

Corollary 5.17 If $f \in S^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, then

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + rac{1}{2} \mu \| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2, \quad orall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof:

Left for exercise.

Theorem 5.18 Let f be a continuously differentiable function. The following conditions are equivalent:

1.
$$f \in S^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
.
2. $\mu \| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \|_2^2 \leq \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
3. $f(\alpha \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \alpha)\boldsymbol{y}) + \alpha(1 - \alpha)\frac{\mu}{2} \| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \|_2^2 \leq \alpha f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f(\boldsymbol{y}), \; \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \; \forall \alpha \in [0, 1].$
Proof:

Left for exercise.

Theorem 5.19 If $f \in \mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

1.
$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\mu} \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) \|_{2}^{2}, \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$

2. $\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) \|_{2}^{2}, \ \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$

Proof:

Let us fix $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and define the function $\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{y}) - \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{y} \rangle$. Clearly, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, one minimal solution is \boldsymbol{x} . Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} \phi(oldsymbol{x}) &= & \min_{oldsymbol{v}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(oldsymbol{v}) \geq & \min_{oldsymbol{v}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\phi(oldsymbol{y}) + \langle oldsymbol{
aligned} \phi(oldsymbol{y}), oldsymbol{v}-oldsymbol{y}
ight|_2^2 \ &= & \phi(oldsymbol{y}) - rac{1}{2\mu} \|oldsymbol{
aligned} \phi(oldsymbol{y})\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

as wished. Adding two copies of the 1 with x and y interchanged, we get 2.

Remark 5.20 The converse of Theorem 5.19 is not valid. For instance, consider $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_2^2$, $\mu = 1$. Then the inequalities 1. and 2. are satisfied but $f \notin S^1_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any $\mu > 0$.

Theorem 5.21 Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function. Then $f \in S^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \mu I, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$