IEE. B402 Advanced Macroeconomics

#### A Firm's Intertemporal Behavior

Ryoji Ohdoi Dept. of Indust. Eng. & Econ, Tokyo Tech

June 21, 2019 (revised)

(ロ) (部) (注) (注) (三) ()

1/18

### Introduction

Not only households, but also firms often face a situation of dynamic decision making:



# Plan of Talk

- 1. The canonical model of a firm's intertemporal optimization for production and investment.
- 2. The q-theory of investment (an extenstion)
- 3. Economic implications

# Notation

- K(t): capital stock, or simply capital;
- ▶ *I*(*t*): gross capital investment, or simply investment;
- L(t): demand for labor;
- Y(t): output;
- $\blacktriangleright$  r(t): interest rate;
- $\blacktriangleright$  w(t): wage rate;
- F(K, L): production function of a firm;
- V(0): stock value of a firm evaluated at the initial date;
- $\delta(\geq 0)$ : capital depreciation rate (constant).

# Setup

- There is a single final good, which is used for consumption and investment.
- The price of this good is normalized to unity (i.e., the good is taken as the numeraire).
- Consider a firm, of which output at date  $t \ge 0$  is given by

$$Y(t) = F(K(t), L(t)).$$
 (1)

- It pays the wage rate w(t) for each unit of labor L(t). In addition, it pays the cost of investment for production in the future.
- The change in the firm's capital stock is then given by

$$\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t).$$
(2)

Note: I(t) can be negative.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Setup (cont'd)

Note that the cost of investment is equal to the cost of purchasing the good for the investment purpose.

 $\Rightarrow$  The firm pays I(t) for an amount of investment I(t).

(\*) This assumption is relaxed later.

Accordingly, the firm's net cash flow is given by

Net cash flow = 
$$F(K(t), L(t)) - w(t)L(t) - I(t)$$
. (3)

- The net cash flow (NCF) given in (3) is payed out as dividends to the shareowners.
- ► The stock value of this firm, V(0), is defined as the sum of present value of the NCF between 0 and ∞.

### Schematic



# A Firm's Stock Value Maximization Problem

The canonical problem of a firm in a dynamic environment:

$$\max \quad V(0) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r(s)ds} \Big[ F(K(t), L(t)) - w(t)L(t) - I(t) \Big] dt$$
  
s.t.  $\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t),$  (2)  
 $K(0)$  given.

► The firm chooses (L(t), I(t), K(t))<sub>t≥0</sub> so as to solve the above problem.

We assume

$$F_K \equiv \partial F/\partial K > 0, \quad F_L \equiv \partial F/\partial L > 0,$$
  
$$F_{KK} \equiv \partial^2 F/(\partial K)^2 < 0, \quad F_{LL} \equiv \partial^2 F/(\partial L)^2 < 0.$$

# Derivation of Conditions for Optimization

 $\rightarrow$  Quiz in the class.

#### Step. 1

• Let q(t) denote the Lagrange multiplier associated with (2).

Construct the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} \Big[ F(K(t), L(t)) - w(t)L(t) - I(t) + q(t)(I(t) - \delta K(t) - \dot{K}(t)) \Big] dt.$$

From the slides on Jun. 14, the current-value Hamiltonian is given by

$$H(t) =$$

# Derivation of Conditions for Optimization

Step. 2

 $\blacktriangleright$  Rewrite  $\mathcal{L}$  as

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} H(t)dt - \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} q(t)\dot{K}(t)dt.$$

Integrating the second term by parts,



#### Derivation of Conditions for Optimization

Step. 3

Then, the TVC means

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} q(t) K(t) = 0.$$
 (5)

In addition, substituting (4) back into L on pp. 10, we obtain the conditions for optimization with respect to L, I, and K.

$$L(t): \frac{\partial H}{\partial L(t)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \boxed{,} (6)$$

$$I(t): \frac{\partial H}{\partial I(t)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \boxed{,} (7)$$

$$K(t): \dot{q}(t) = r(t)q(t) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial K(t)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{,} (8)$$

$$(1) = 10 \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (2)$$

### Dynamic vs Static Optimization

- In this simple model, the multiplier q(t) is always equal to from (7).
- ► Then, (8) implies

Let R(t) denote R(t) = r(t) + δ. Then, the same conditions are obtained from the following static profit maximization problem:

$$\max_{K(t),L(t)} F(K(t),L(t)) - w(t)L(t) - R(t)K(t).$$
(9)

An Extension: The q-Theory of Investment

#### Modification

We now assume that the cost of investment is given by

Cost of investment = 
$$I(t) + \Phi(I(t), K(t))$$
, (10)

(ロ) (部) (目) (日) (日) (の)

14/18

where the second term is called the *adjustment cost of investment* (投資の調整費用).

 $\blacktriangleright$  In the context of the investment theory, function  $\Phi$  is often specified as

$$\Phi(I,K) = zI^2/K,$$

where z > 0 is an exogenously given parameter.

# Problem

#### ► The problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad V(0) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^t r(s)ds} \Big[ F(K(t), L(t)) - w(t)L(t) \\ &- I(t) - zI(t)^2/K(t) \Big] dt \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \dot{K}(t) &= I(t) - \delta K(t), \\ &K(0) \text{ given.} \end{aligned}$$

► The current-value Hamiltonian:

$$H = F(K, L) - wL - I - zI^2/K + q(I - \delta K).$$
(11)

◆□ → < □ → < ■ → < ■ → < ■ → ■ の Q (~ 15/18)

#### Conditions for Optimization

TVC is given by (5) also in this problem.

On the other hand, the conditions for optimization with respect to L, I, and K are given by

$$L(t): \frac{\partial H}{\partial L(t)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \boxed{\qquad}, (12)$$

$$I(t): \frac{\partial H}{\partial I(t)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \boxed{\qquad}, (13)$$

$$K(t): \dot{q}(t) = r(t)q(t) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial K(t)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{\qquad}. (14)$$

### **Economic Implications**

∜

- How can we evaluate the efficiency of firms' investment behavior in our real world?
- It is important to empirically check whether or not firms' investment satisfies (13), which gives the important theoretical prediction.
- ▶ In particular, from (13), we can obtain the following relationship:

$$I(t) \stackrel{>}{\geq} 0 \Leftrightarrow q(t) \stackrel{>}{\geq} \tag{15}$$

(Caution) Unfortunately, however, q(t) is the Lagrange multiplier in the optimization problem. The data is not directly available.

# Useful Theorem

#### Theorem

Suppose that the production function F is linearly homogenous:

$$F(xK, xL) = xF(K, L) \forall x \ge 0.$$

Then, the conditions for optimization of the problem (on pp. 15) given by (5) and (12)–(14) jointly imply V(0) = q(0)K(0).

Proof.

Homework assignment

Then, in this case, we can obtain the data of q(0) from the data of stock value V(0) and capital K(0).