

## 9 Extension of the Optimal Gradient Method (First-Order Method, Accelerated Gradient Method, Fast Gradient Method) for the Min-Max Problems over Simple Closed Convex Sets

Suppose we are given  $Q$  a closed convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , simple enough to have an easy projection onto it. *E.g.*, positive orthant,  $n$ -dimensional box, simplex, Euclidean ball, ellipsoids, *etc.*

Given  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), we define the following function  $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{x} \in Q. \quad (18)$$

This function is non-differentiable in general, but convex (see Theorem 5.6). We will see that the method discussed so far can be easily adapted for the following min-max-type convex optimization problem.

$$\begin{cases} \text{minimize} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{x} \in Q, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where  $Q$  is a closed convex set with a simple structure, and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is defined as above.

For a given  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in Q$ , let us define the following linearization of  $f(\mathbf{x})$  at  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ .

$$f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} [f_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \langle \nabla f_i(\bar{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \rangle], \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in Q.$$

**Lemma 9.1** Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) and  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in Q$ . For  $\mathbf{x} \in Q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &\geq f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2, \\ f(\mathbf{x}) &\leq f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}) + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:*

It follows from the properties of  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(Q)$ . ■

**Theorem 9.2** A point  $\mathbf{x}^* \in Q$  is an optimal solution of (19) with  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) if and only if

$$f(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{x}^*) = f(\mathbf{x}^*), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in Q.$$

*Proof:*

Indeed, if the inequality is true, it follows from Lemma 9.1 that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 \geq f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 \geq f(\mathbf{x}^*), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in Q.$$

For the converse, let  $\mathbf{x}^*$  be an optimal solution of the minimization problem (19). Assume by contradiction that there is a  $\mathbf{x} \in Q$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{x}) < f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ .

Therefore, from the definition of  $f(\cdot)$ , letting  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  (which temporarily we assume is unique) such that  $f_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_i(\mathbf{x}^*)$ , we have

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) + \langle \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^* \rangle < f_j(\mathbf{x}^*) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (20)$$

Notice that  $\mathbf{x}^* + \alpha(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) \in Q$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  since  $Q$  is convex. Then, calling  $\phi_i(\alpha) := f_i(\mathbf{x}^* + \alpha(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*))$ , we have  $\phi_i'(0) = \langle \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}^*), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^* \rangle$ . Moreover,  $\phi_i(0) = f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) < f_j(\mathbf{x}^*)$  for

$i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $\phi_j(0) = f_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  and  $\langle \nabla f_j(\mathbf{x}^*), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^* \rangle < 0$  from (20) for  $i = j$ . Therefore, there exists  $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$  small enough such that

$$\phi_j(\tilde{\alpha}) = f_j(\mathbf{x}^* + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)) < \phi_j(0) = f_j(\mathbf{x}^*)$$

and

$$\phi_i(\tilde{\alpha}) = f_i(\mathbf{x}^* + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)) < f_j(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ } i \neq j.$$

Finally, we have  $f(\mathbf{x}^* + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_i(\mathbf{x}^* + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)) < f_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ . Therefore, we arrived to a contradiction. In the case there exists  $j_1, j_2$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = f_{j_1}(\mathbf{x}^*) = f_{j_2}(\mathbf{x}^*)$  and  $f_{j_1}(\mathbf{x}^* + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)) < f_{j_2}(\mathbf{x}^* + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*))$ , we choose  $j = j_2$  and still we have the same conclusion.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 9.3** Let  $\mathbf{x}^*$  be a minimum of a max-type function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  over the set  $Q$  as (18). If  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_\mu^1(Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in Q.$$

*Proof:*

From Lemma 9.1 and Theorem 9.2, we have for  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &\geq f(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 \\ &\geq f(\mathbf{x}^*; \mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 = f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\blacksquare$

**Lemma 9.4** Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_\mu^1(Q)$  for ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) with  $\mu > 0$  and  $Q$  be a closed convex set. Then there is a unique solution  $\mathbf{x}^*$  for the problem (19).

*Proof:*

Left for exercise.  $\blacksquare$

**Definition 9.5** Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{C}^1(Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ),  $Q$  a closed convex set,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in Q$ , and  $\gamma > 0$ . Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma) &:= \arg \min_{\mathbf{y} \in Q} \left[ f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{y}) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 \right], \\ \mathbf{g}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma) &:= \gamma(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

We call  $\mathbf{g}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma)$  the *gradient mapping of max-type function  $f$  on  $Q$* . Observe that due to Lemma 9.4,  $\mathbf{x}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma)$  exists and it is uniquely defined.

**Theorem 9.6** Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu, L}^{1,1}(Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ),  $\gamma \geq L$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $Q$  a closed convex set, and  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in Q$ . Then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma)) + \langle \mathbf{g}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma), \mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|\mathbf{g}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma)\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in Q.$$

*Proof:* Let us use the following notation:  $\mathbf{x}_f := \mathbf{x}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma)$  and  $\mathbf{g}_f := \mathbf{g}_f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma)$ .

From Lemma 9.1 and Corollary 9.3 (taking  $f(\mathbf{x})$  in there as  $f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2$ ), we have  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 &\geq f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}) \\
&= f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 \\
&\geq f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}_f) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_f - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_f\|_2^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 \\
&= f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}_f) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_f - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \langle \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}_f, 2\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_f - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \rangle \\
&= f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}_f) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_f - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \langle \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}_f, 2(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}_f \rangle \\
&= f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbf{x}_f) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_f - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \langle \mathbf{g}_f, \mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|\mathbf{g}_f\|_2^2 \\
&\geq f(\mathbf{x}_f) + \langle \mathbf{g}_f, \mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|\mathbf{g}_f\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to the fact that  $\gamma \geq L$ . ■

Now, we are ready to define our estimated sequence. Assume that  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu, L}^{1,1}(Q)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) possible with  $\mu = 0$  (which means that  $f_i \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(Q)$ ),  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in Q$ , and  $\gamma_0 > 0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0(\mathbf{x}) &:= f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2, \\
\phi_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}) &:= (1 - \alpha_k) \phi_k(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_k \left[ f(\mathbf{x}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)) + \frac{1}{2L} \|\mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)\|_2^2 + \langle \mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_k \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_k\|_2^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

for the sequences  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  and  $\{\mathbf{y}_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  which will be defined later.

Similarly to the previous subsection, we can prove that  $\{\phi_k(\mathbf{x})\}_{k=0}^\infty$  can be written in the form

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_k^* + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}_k\|_2^2$$

for  $\phi_0^* = f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{k+1} &= (1 - \alpha_k) \gamma_k + \alpha_k \mu \\
\mathbf{v}_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1}} [(1 - \alpha_k) \gamma_k \mathbf{v}_k + \alpha_k \mu \mathbf{y}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)], \\
\phi_{k+1}^* &= (1 - \alpha_k) \phi_k^* + \alpha_k f(\mathbf{x}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)) + \left( \frac{\alpha_k}{2L} - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \right) \|\mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k (1 - \alpha_k) \gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} \left( \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{v}_k\|_2^2 + \langle \mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L), \mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{y}_k \rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\phi_0^* \geq f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . Assuming that  $\phi_k^* \geq f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{k+1}^* &\geq (1 - \alpha_k) f(\mathbf{x}_k) + \alpha_k f(\mathbf{x}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)) + \left( \frac{\alpha_k}{2L} - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \right) \|\mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k (1 - \alpha_k) \gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} \langle \mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L), \mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{y}_k \rangle \\
&\geq f(\mathbf{x}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)) + \left( \frac{1}{2L} - \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2\gamma_{k+1}} \right) \|\mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_k) \left\langle \mathbf{g}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L), \frac{\alpha_k \gamma_k}{\gamma_{k+1}} (\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{y}_k) + \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{y}_k \right\rangle + \frac{(1 - \alpha_k) \mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{y}_k\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 9.6.

Therefore, if we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} &= \mathbf{x}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L), \\ L\alpha_k^2 &= (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu, \\ \gamma_{k+1} &:= L\alpha_k^2, \\ \mathbf{y}_k &= \frac{1}{\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu}(\alpha_k\gamma_k\mathbf{v}_k + \gamma_{k+1}\mathbf{x}_k), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $\phi_{k+1}^* \geq f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})$  as desired.

Hereafter, we assume that  $L > \mu$  to exclude the trivial case  $L = \mu$  with finished in one iteration.

| <b>Constant Step Scheme for the Optimal Gradient Method for the Min-Max Problem</b> |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Step 0:</b>  | Choose $\mathbf{x}_0 \in Q$ , $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{\alpha_0(\alpha_0 L - \mu)}{1 - \alpha_0} > 0$ , $\mu \leq \frac{\alpha_0(\alpha_0 L - \mu)}{1 - \alpha_0} \leq L$ , set $\mathbf{y}_0 := \mathbf{x}_0$ , $k := 0$ .   |
| <b>Step 1:</b>  | Compute $f_i(\mathbf{y}_k)$ and $\nabla \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{y}_k)$ ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ).   |
| <b>Step 2:</b>  | Set $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} := \mathbf{x}_f(\mathbf{y}_k; L) := \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in Q} \left[ \max_{i=1,2,\dots,m} f_i(\mathbf{y}_k) + \langle \nabla \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{y}_k), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_k \rangle + \frac{\alpha_k(\alpha_k L - \mu)}{2(1 - \alpha_k)} \ \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_k\ _2^2 \right]$ . |
| <b>Step 3:</b>  | Compute $\alpha_{k+1} \in (0, 1)$ from the equation $\alpha_{k+1}^2 = (1 - \alpha_{k+1})\alpha_k^2 + \frac{\mu}{L}\alpha_{k+1}$ .   |
| <b>Step 4:</b>  | Set $\beta_k := \frac{\alpha_k(1 - \alpha_k)}{\alpha_k^2 + \alpha_{k+1}}$ .   |
| <b>Step 5:</b>  | Set $\mathbf{y}_{k+1} := \mathbf{x}_{k+1} + \beta_k(\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k)$ , $k := k + 1$ and go to Step 1.  |

The rate of converge of this method is exactly the same as Theorem 8.6 for  $\gamma_0 := \alpha_0(\alpha_0 L - \mu)/(1 - \alpha_0)$ , but we need to solve a convex program in Step 2 for each iteration, and it can turn the method computationally expensive.

## 9.1 Exercises

1. Prove Lemma 9.4.