If we consider very large problems where we can not afford n number of iterations, the above theorem says that:

- The function value can be expected to decrease fast.
- The convergence to the optimal solution x^* can be arbitrarily slow.

6.2 Lower Complexity Bound for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$

Gradient Based Method: Iterative method \mathcal{M} generated by a sequence such that

$$x_k \in x_0 + \operatorname{span}\{\nabla f(x_0), \nabla f(x_1), \dots, \nabla f(x_{k-1})\}, \quad k \ge 1.$$

Let us define

$$\ell^2 := \left\{ \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Consider the problem class as follows

Model:	$\min_{oldsymbol{x} \in \ell^2} f(oldsymbol{x})$
	$f\in {\mathcal S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$
Oracle:	Only function and gradient values are available
Approximate solution:	Find $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\begin{cases} f(\bar{x}) - f(x^*) < \varepsilon \\ \ \bar{x} - x^*\ _2^2 < \varepsilon \end{cases}$

Theorem 6.2 For any $x_0 \in \ell^2$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$ such that for any gradient based method of type \mathcal{M} , we have

$$egin{array}{ll} f(m{x}_k) - f(m{x}^*) & \geq & rac{\mu}{2} \left(rac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{\sqrt{L/\mu} + 1}
ight)^{2k} \|m{x}_0 - m{x}^*\|_2^2, \ & \|m{x}_k - m{x}^*\|_2^2 & \geq & \left(rac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{\sqrt{L/\mu} + 1}
ight)^{2k} \|m{x}_0 - m{x}^*\|_2^2, \end{array}$$

where x^* is the minimum of f(x).

Proof:

This type of methods are invariant with respect to a simultaneous shift of all objects in the space of variables. Therefore, we can assume that $x_0 = \{0\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Consider the following quadratic function

$$f_{\mu,L}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu(L/\mu - 1)}{8} \left\{ [\boldsymbol{x}]_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ([\boldsymbol{x}]_i - [\boldsymbol{x}]_{i+1})^2 - 2[\boldsymbol{x}]_1 \right\} + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2.$$

Then

$$oldsymbol{
abla} oldsymbol{f}_{\mu,L}(oldsymbol{x}) = \left(rac{\mu(L/\mu-1)}{4}oldsymbol{A} + \mu oldsymbol{I}
ight)oldsymbol{x} - rac{\mu(L/\mu-1)}{4}oldsymbol{e}_1,$$

where A is the same tridiagonal matrix defined in Theorem 6.1, but with infinite dimension and $e_1 \in \ell^2$ is a vector where only the first element is one.

After some calculations, we can show that $\mu \mathbf{I} \leq \nabla^2 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \leq L\mathbf{I}$ and therefore, $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$, due to Corollary 5.22.

The minimal optimal solution of this function is:

$$[x^*]_i := q^i = \left(\frac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{\sqrt{L/\mu} + 1}\right)^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\boldsymbol{x}^*]_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{2i} = \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2}.$$

Now, since $\nabla f_{\mu,L}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = -\frac{\mu(L/\mu-1)}{4}\boldsymbol{e}_1$, and \boldsymbol{A} is a tridiagonal matrix, $[\boldsymbol{x}_k]_i = 0$ for $i = k+1, k+2, \ldots$, and

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 \ge \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} [\boldsymbol{x}^*]_i^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} q^{2i} = \frac{q^{2(k+1)}}{1-q^2} = q^{2k} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2.$$

Finally, the first inequality follows from Corollary 5.17.

7 The Steepest Descent Method for Differentiable Convex and Differentiable Strongly Convex Functions with Lipschitz Continuous Gradients

Let us consider the steepest descent method with constant step h.

Theorem 7.1 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $0 < h < \frac{2}{L}$. The steepest descent method with constant step generates a sequence which converges as follows:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le \frac{2(f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)) \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2}{2\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 + kh(2 - Lh)(f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*))}.$$

Proof:

Denote $r_k = \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2$. Then

$$r_{k+1}^{2} = \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}^{*} - h\nabla\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= r_{k}^{2} - 2h\langle\nabla\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k}), \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\rangle + h^{2}\|\nabla\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= r_{k}^{2} - 2h\langle\nabla\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) - \nabla\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{*}), \mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\rangle + h^{2}\|\nabla\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq r_{k}^{2} - h\left(\frac{2}{L} - h\right)\|\nabla\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2},$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 5.13.

Therefore, since $0 < h < \frac{2}{L}$, $r_{k+1} < r_k < \cdots < r_0$.

Now

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}) + \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}), \boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}_{k} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}_{k}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}) - h \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{L}{2} \|-h \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}) - \omega \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2} < f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}), \tag{11}$$

where $\omega = h(1 - \frac{L}{2}h)$. Denoting by $\Delta_k = f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$, from the convexity of $f(\boldsymbol{x})$, Theorem 5.7, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\Delta_k = f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k), \boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle \le \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2 r_k \le \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2 r_0.$$
(12)

Combining (11) and (12),

$$\Delta_{k+1} \le \Delta_k - \frac{\omega}{r_0^2} \Delta_k^2.$$

Thus dividing by $\Delta_k \Delta_{k+1}$,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_{k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\Delta_k} + \frac{\omega}{r_0^2} \frac{\Delta_k}{\Delta_{k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\Delta_k} + \frac{\omega}{r_0^2}.$$

since $\frac{\Delta_k}{\Delta_{k+1}} \geq 1$. Summing up these inequalities we get

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_{k+1}} \ge \frac{1}{\Delta_0} + \frac{\omega}{r_0^2} (k+1).$$

To obtain the optimal step size, it is sufficient to find the maximum of the function $\omega := \omega(h) = h(1 - \frac{L}{2}h)$ which is $h^* := 1/L$.

Corollary 7.2 If $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the steepest descent method with constant step h = 1/L yields

$$f(x_k) - f(x^*) \le \frac{2L||x_0 - x^*||_2^2}{k+4}.$$

That is, $\{f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges R-sublinearly to $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$.

Proof:

Left for exercise.

Theorem 7.3 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $0 < h \leq \frac{2}{\mu+L}$. The steepest descent method with constant step generates a sequence which converges as follows:

$$egin{array}{ll} \|m{x}_k - m{x}^*\|_2^2 & \leq & \left(1 - rac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L}
ight)^k \|m{x}_0 - m{x}^*\|_2^2, \ f(m{x}_k) - f(m{x}^*) & \leq & rac{L}{2} \left(1 - rac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L}
ight)^k \|m{x}_0 - m{x}^*\|_2^2. \end{array}$$

If $h = \frac{2}{\mu + L}$, then

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le \frac{L}{2} \left(\frac{L/\mu - 1}{L/\mu + 1} \right)^{2k} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2,$$
 $\|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 \le \left(\frac{L/\mu - 1}{L/\mu + 1} \right)^k \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2.$

That is, $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{f(x_k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges R-linearly to x^* and $f(x^*)$, respectively.

Proof:

Denote $r_k = \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2$. Then

$$r_{k+1}^{2} = \|\boldsymbol{x}_{k} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} - h\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= r_{k}^{2} - 2h\langle\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}), \boldsymbol{x}_{k} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\rangle + h^{2}\|\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= r_{k}^{2} - 2h\langle\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}), -\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}), \boldsymbol{x}_{k} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\rangle + h^{2}\|\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq r_{k}^{2} - 2h\left(\frac{\mu L}{\mu + L}r_{k}^{2} + \frac{1}{\mu + L}\|\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}) - \nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^{*})\|_{2}^{2}\right) + h^{2}\|\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L}\right)r_{k}^{2} + h\left(h - \frac{2}{\mu + L}\right)\|\nabla\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

from Theorems 5.13 and 5.23, and it proves the first two inequalities.

Now, for $h = 2/(L + \mu)$ and again from Theorem 5.13,

$$egin{aligned} f(oldsymbol{x}_k) - f(oldsymbol{x}^*) - \langle oldsymbol{
abla} f(oldsymbol{x}^*), oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{x}^*
angle & \leq & rac{L}{2} \left(rac{L/\mu - 1}{L/\mu + 1}
ight)^{2k} r_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.4 (Yuan 2010) ² In the special case of a strongly convex quadratic function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle a, x \rangle + \alpha$ with $\lambda_1(A) = L \geq \lambda_n(A) = \mu > 0$, we can obtain

$$\|oldsymbol{x}_k - oldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 \leq \left(rac{L/\mu - 1}{L/\mu + \sqrt{rac{\mu}{2L}}}
ight)^k \|oldsymbol{x}_0 - oldsymbol{x}^*\|_2$$

for the steepest descent method with "exact line search".

- Note that the previous result for the steepest descent method, Theorem 4.18, was only a local result. Theorems 7.1 and 7.3 guarantee that the steepest descent method converges for any starting point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (due to convexity).
- Comparing the rate of convergence of the steepest descent method for the classes $\mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Theorems 7.1, Corollary 7.2, and 7.3, respectively) with their lower complexity bounds (Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, respectively), we possible have a huge gap.

7.1 Exercises

- 1. Prove Corollary 7.2.
- 2. Consider a sequence $\{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ which converges to zero.

The sequence is said to converge Q-sublinearly if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup \left| \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{\beta_k} \right| = 1.$$

A zero converging sequence $\{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is said to converge R-sublinearly if it is dominated by a Q-sublinearly converging sequence. That is, if there is a Q-sublinearly converging sequence $\{\hat{\beta}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that $0 \leq |\beta_k| \leq \hat{\beta}_k$.

- (a) Give an example of a Q-sublinear converging sequence which is not Q-linear converging sequence.
- (b) Give an example of a R-sublinear converging sequence which is not R-linear converging sequence.

8 The Optimal Gradient Method (First-Order Method, Accelerated Gradient Method, Fast Gradient Method)

This algorithm was proposed for the first time by Nesterov³ in 1983. In [Nesterov03], he gives a reinterpretation of the algorithm and provides another justification of it which attains the same complexity bound of the original article.

²Y.-X. Yuan, "A short note on the Q-linear convergence of the steepest descent method", Mathematical Programming 123 (2010), pp. 339–343.

³Y. Nesterov, "A method for solving the convex programming problem with convergence rate $\mathcal{O}(1/k^2)$," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **269** (1983), pp. 543–547.