## Area minimizing surfaces

## A review of surface theory.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a domain in the $u v$-plane and $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ an immersion. We often refer to such an immersion as a surface. Then the unit normal vector of $f$ is given by (with $\pm$-ambiguity)
(1.1) $\quad \nu:=\frac{f_{u} \times f_{v}}{\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right|}: D \longrightarrow S^{2}=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}| | \boldsymbol{x} \mid=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$,
where " $x$ " denotes the vector product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The first and the second fundamental forms are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =d f \cdot d f=E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2} \\
I I & =-d f \cdot d \nu=L d u^{2}+2 M d u d v+N d v^{2}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where "." denotes the canonical inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E:=f_{u} \cdot f_{u}, \quad F:=f_{u} \cdot f_{v}=f_{v} \cdot f_{u}, \quad G:=f_{v} \cdot f_{v}, \\
& L:=-f_{u} \cdot \nu_{u}, \quad M:=-f_{u} \cdot \nu_{v}=-f_{v} \cdot \nu_{u}, \quad N:=-f_{v} \cdot \nu_{v} \\
& =f_{u u} \cdot \nu, \quad=f_{u v} \cdot \nu, \quad=f_{v v} \cdot \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

are called the entries of the first and the second fundamental forms with respect to the parameters $(u, v)$. The area of the image of a compact region $\Omega \subset D$ is computed as
(1.3) $\mathcal{A}(\Omega):=\iint_{\Omega} d A=\iint_{\Omega}\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| d u d v$,
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where $d A=\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| d u d v=\sqrt{E G-F^{2}} d u d v$ is said to be the area element of the surface.

The derivatives of $\nu$ is written as (the Weingarten Formula)
(1.4) $\quad \nu_{u}=-A_{1}^{1} f_{u}-A_{1}^{2} f_{v}, \quad \nu_{v}=-A_{2}^{1} f_{u}-A_{2}^{2} f_{v}$,

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{1} & A_{2}^{1} \\
A_{1}^{2} & A_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L & M \\
M & N
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The matrix $A$ is called the Weingarten matrix, and the determinant $K$ and the half $H$ of the trace of $A$ are called the Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature, respectively:
(1.5) $K:=\operatorname{det} A=\frac{L N-M^{2}}{E G-F^{2}}$,

$$
H:=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} A=\frac{A_{1}^{1}+A_{2}^{2}}{2} .
$$

## Area minimizing surfaces.

The purpose of this section is to show the following fact:
For a given simple closed curve $C$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the surface which minimizing area among all surfaces bounded by $C$ is a surface whose mean curvature vanishes identically.

Setting up. As the description of the above fact is rather intuituive, we will formulate the problem.

Let $C$ be a simple closed smooth curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and set

$$
\mathcal{S}_{C}:=\left\{f: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} ; \begin{array}{l}
f \text { is a } C^{\infty} \text { _immersion }  \tag{1.6}\\
f(\partial D)=C
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $D$ (resp. $\bar{D}$ ) is the open (resp. closed) unit disc and $\partial D$ is its boundary: ${ }^{1}$

$$
\text { (1.7) } \begin{aligned}
\bar{D}:=D \cup \partial D, \quad & :=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; u^{2}+v^{2}<1\right\}, \\
\partial D & :=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; u^{2}+v^{2}=1\right\} \\
& =\{(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) ; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Roughly speaking, $\mathcal{S}_{C}$ is "the set of the surfaces bounded by $C$ ". Then we set the area functional as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{S}_{C} \ni f \longmapsto \mathcal{A}(f)=\iint_{\bar{D}}\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| d u d v . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these notations, our result can be stated as the following:
Theorem 1.1. If a surface $f \in \mathcal{S}_{C}$ attains the minimum of the area functional $\mathcal{A}$, the mean curvature of $f$ vanishes identically.

Taking this fact into account, we define
Definition 1.2. A surface whose mean curvature vanishes identically is said to be minimal.

Remark 1.3. As Theorem 1.1 is a necessary condition for the minimizer, a minimal surface is not necessarily a minimizer of the area functional.

[^0]Variations of surfaces. To show Theorem 1.1, we want to "differentiate" the functional $\mathcal{A}$.
Definition 1.4. For a surface $f \in \mathcal{S}_{C}$, a variation (fixing the boundary) of $f$ is a $C^{\infty}$-map

$$
\mathcal{F}: \bar{D} \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ni(u, v ; t) \longmapsto f^{t}(u, v):=\mathcal{F}(u, v ; t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

such that $f^{0}=f$ and $f^{t} \in \mathcal{S}_{C}$ for each $t \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon$ is a positive number. The vector-valued function

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(u, v):=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} f^{t}(u, v) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the variational vector field of the variation $\mathcal{F}$.
Lemma 1.5. For a variation $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}_{c}$ with variational vector field $V$, it holds that

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} f(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \times V(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)=\mathbf{0}
$$

Proof. Since $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ is a parametrization of $\partial D, \gamma^{t}(\theta):=$ $f^{t}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \in C$ for all $t$ and $\theta$. Thus, two vectors in the lefthand side of the first assertion are both tangent to $C$, proving the lemma.

## The first variation formula.

Theorem 1.6. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ be a variation of $f \in \mathcal{S}_{C}$ with variational vector field $V$. Then it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}\left(f^{t}\right)=-2 \iint_{\bar{D}} H(V \cdot \nu) d A \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H, \nu$ and $d A$ are the mean curvature, the unit normal vector and the area element of $f$, respectively.
Proof. By the definition of the area (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*): & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}\left(f^{t}\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \iint_{\bar{D}}\left|f_{u}^{t} \times f_{v}^{t}\right| d u d v \\
& =\left.\iint_{\bar{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}\left|f_{u}^{t} \times f_{v}^{t}\right| d u d v \\
& =\iint_{\bar{D}} \frac{\left(V_{u} \times f_{v}+f_{u} \times V_{v}\right) \cdot\left(f_{u} \times f_{v}\right)}{\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right|} d u d v \\
& =\iint_{\bar{D}}\left(V_{u} \times f_{v}+f_{u} \times V_{v}\right) \cdot \nu d u d v \\
& =\iint_{\bar{D}}\left(\left(V_{u} \times f_{v}\right) \cdot \nu+\left(f_{u} \times V_{v}\right) \cdot \nu\right) d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, by the formula of scalar triple product

$$
(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b}) \cdot \boldsymbol{c}=(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c}) \cdot \boldsymbol{a}=(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a}) \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*) & =\iint_{\bar{D}}\left(\left(\nu \times f_{v}\right) \cdot V_{u}+\left(f_{u} \times \nu\right) \cdot V_{v}\right) d u d v \\
& =(\mathrm{II})-(\mathrm{I}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(\mathrm{I}):=\iint_{\bar{D}}\left[\left(\left(\nu \times f_{v}\right) \cdot V\right)_{u}+\left(\left(f_{u} \times \nu\right) \cdot V\right)_{v}\right] d u d v
$$

$$
\left.\left.(\mathrm{II}):=\iint_{\bar{D}}\left[\left(\left(\nu \times f_{v}\right)_{u} \cdot V\right)+\left(f_{u} \times \nu\right)_{v} \cdot V\right)\right)\right] d u d v
$$

By the Green-Stokes formula, (I) is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{I}) & =\iint_{\bar{D}}\left[\left(\left(\nu \times f_{v}\right) \cdot V\right)_{u}-\left(\left(\nu \times f_{u}\right) \cdot V\right)_{v}\right] d u d v \\
& =\int_{\partial D} \nu \cdot\left(\left(f_{u} d u+f_{v} d v\right) \times V\right) \\
& =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \nu \cdot\left(\frac{d}{d \theta} f(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \times V(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)\right) d \theta=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the last assertion is obtained by Lemma 1.5. On the other hand, using the Weingarten formula (1.4), (II) is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{II}):= & \iint_{\bar{D}}\left[\left(\nu_{u} \times f_{v}\right) \cdot V+\left(\nu \times f_{v u}\right) \cdot V\right. \\
& \left.+\left(f_{u v} \times \nu\right) \cdot V+\left(f_{u} \times \nu_{v}\right) \cdot V\right] d u d v \\
= & \iint_{\bar{D}}\left[\left(\nu_{u} \times f_{v}\right) \cdot V+\left(f_{u} \times \nu_{v}\right) \cdot V\right] d u d v \\
= & -\iint_{\bar{D}}\left[\left(\left(A_{1}^{1} f_{u}+A_{1}^{2} f_{v}\right) \times f_{v}\right) \cdot V\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(f_{u} \times\left(A_{2}^{1} f_{u}+A_{2}^{2} f_{v}\right)\right) \cdot V\right] d u d v \\
= & -\iint_{\bar{D}}\left(A_{1}^{1}+A_{2}^{2}\right)\left(f_{u} \times f_{v}\right) \cdot V d u d v \\
= & -\iint_{\bar{D}} 2 H(\nu \cdot V)\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We need the following "the fundamental lemma for calculus of variations".

Lemma 1.7. Assume a smooth function $h: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\iint_{\bar{D}} h(u, v) \varphi(u, v) d u d v=0
$$

for all $C^{\infty}$-function with $\left.\varphi\right|_{\partial D}=0$. Then $h=0$ on $D$.
Proof. Assume $h\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)>0$ (resp. $\left.<0\right)\left(\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in D\right)$. By a continuity, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $h(u, v)>-$ on an $\varepsilon$-ball $B:=B_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ centered at $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$. Let $\varphi$ be a non-negative $C^{\infty}$-function on $\bar{D}$ such that $\varphi>0$ on $B$ and 0 on $\bar{D} \backslash B$. Then

$$
\iint_{\bar{D}} h \varphi d u d v=\iint_{B} h \varphi d u d v>0 \quad(\text { resp. }<0)
$$

a contradiction.
Proof of Theorem 1.6. Assume $f \in \mathcal{S}_{C}$ minimizes the area. Then for any variation $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ of $f, \mathcal{A}\left(f^{t}\right)$ is not less than $\mathcal{A}(f)=$ $\mathcal{A}\left(f^{0}\right)$. Then by Theorem 1.6, it holds that

$$
0=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}\left(f^{t}\right)=-2 \int_{\bar{D}} H(V \cdot \nu)\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| d u d v
$$

Let $\varphi$ be a $C^{\infty}$-function on $\bar{D}$ with $\left.\varphi\right|_{\partial D}=0$. Then $f^{t}:=f+t \varphi \nu$ is a variation of $f$ with variational vector field $V=\varphi \nu$. Thus,

$$
\iint H\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| \varphi=0
$$

Since $\varphi$ is arbitrary, Lemma 1.7 yields the conclusion.

## Surfaces of constant mean curvature

Closed surfaces A closed surface in the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a $C^{\infty}$-immersion $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of a compact, connected 2 dimensional manifold $\Sigma$ into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Taking a local coordinate neighborhood ( $U ; u, v$ ) of $\Sigma, f$ can be identified a parametrized surface $f(u, v)$ as in the previous section.

Throughout this section, we assume that $\Sigma$ is oriented, that is, an atlas $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha} ; u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}\right) \mid \alpha \in A\right\}$ of $\Sigma$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(u^{\beta}, v^{\beta}\right)}{\partial\left(u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}\right)}:=\operatorname{det} J_{\alpha \beta}>0 \quad \text { on } U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ with $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ is specified. Here $J_{\alpha \beta}$ is the Jacobian matrix of the coordinate change $\left(u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}\right) \mapsto\left(u^{\beta}, v^{\beta}\right)$

$$
J_{\alpha \beta}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u^{\beta}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} & \frac{\partial u^{\beta}}{\partial v^{\alpha}}  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{\partial v^{\beta}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} & \frac{\partial v^{\beta}}{\partial v^{\alpha}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Fix a coordinate neighborhood $(U ; u, v)$. Then the immersion $f:(u, v) \mapsto f(u, v)$ is considered as a vector-valued smooth function on $U$, and so are there derivatives $f_{u}$ and $f_{v}$. Then the unit normal vector $\nu$, the first fundamental form $d s^{2}$, the second fundamental form $I I$, the area element $d A$, the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the mean curvature $H$ are defined as in (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.5) in the previous section. Moreover, one can prove easily that they are independent on choice of local coordinate systems (cf. [2-1] and/or [2-2]).

[^1]Definition 2.1. Let $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an oriented closed surface. Then the area $\mathcal{A}(f)$ of $f(\Sigma)$ and the (signed) volume $\mathcal{V}(f)$ of the region bounded by $f(\Sigma)$ are defined as

$$
\mathcal{A}(f):=\int_{\Sigma} d A, \quad \mathcal{V}(f):=\frac{1}{3} \int_{\Sigma} f \cdot \nu d A
$$

where "." denotes the canonical inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}, \nu$ is the unit normal vector as in (1.1), and $d A$ denotes the area element which is represented by $d A:=\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| d u d v$ on each coordinate neighborhood $(U ; u, v)$.

Remark 2.2. If the surface $f$ is an embedding, that is, the map $f$ is injective (in this case), the image $f(\Sigma)$ bounds a bounded and connected region $D$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and the volume of $D$ coincide with the absolute value of $\mathcal{V}(f)$.

Obviously, these two functionals have the following properties:

Lemma 2.3. For an immersion $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ and a positive number $\lambda>0, \mathcal{A}(\lambda f)=\lambda^{2} \mathcal{A}(f)$, and $\mathcal{V}(\lambda f)=\lambda^{3} \mathcal{V}(f)$ hold.

Example 2.4 (The round sphere). Let $R>0$ be a constant and denote by

$$
S^{2}(R):=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}| | \boldsymbol{x} \mid=R\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

the sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of radius $R$ centered at the origin. Then the inclusion map

$$
\iota: S^{2}(R) \ni \boldsymbol{x} \longmapsto \iota(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

is an embedding. A map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) & \times(-\pi, \pi) \ni(u, v) \\
& \longmapsto(R \cos u \cos v, R \cos u \sin v, R \sin u) \in S^{2}(R)
\end{aligned}
$$

gives a local coordinate system of $S^{2}(R)$, and we have

$$
d A=R^{2} \cos u d u d v, \quad \nu=-(\cos u \cos v, \cos u \sin v, \sin u)
$$

Since this coordinate neighborhood covers an open dense subset of $S^{2}(R)$, "integration over $S^{2}(R)$ " is replaced by "integration over $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \times[-\pi, \pi]$ ":

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\iota) & =\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d u \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d v R^{2} \cos u \\
& =2 \pi R^{2} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \cos u d u=4 \pi R^{2} \\
\mathcal{V}(\iota) & =\frac{1}{3} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} R^{3} \cos u d u d v=-\frac{4}{3} \pi R^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Gaussian and the mean curvature are computed as

$$
K=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad H=\frac{1}{R}
$$

respectively, which are constant on the surface. We call $S_{R}^{2}$ the round sphere of radius $R$.

Area minimizing surfaces with a volume constraint. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact, connected and oriented 2-manifold and consider

$$
\text { (2.3) } \quad \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)=\left\{f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid f \text { is an immersion }\right\} .
$$

In addition, for a fixed positive constant $V_{0}$. we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, V_{0}\right):=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \mid \mathcal{V}(f)=V_{0}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, V_{0}\right)$ is the set of immersions of $\Sigma$ into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ bounding given volume $V_{0}$.

In this section, we shall prove
Theorem 2.5. If $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, V_{0}\right)$ minimizes the area in $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, V_{0}\right)$, the mean curvature of $f_{0}$ is non-zero constant.

Theorem 2.5 and Example 2.4 give rise to the following question, known as Heinz-Hopf's problem:
Question 2.6. Are there a closed surface of constant mean curvature which is not congruent to the round sphere?

Variation formula for the area and the volume Similar to the previous section, we define variations of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ :

Definition 2.7. A variation of an immersion $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a $C^{\infty}$-map $F:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ satisfying

- $f^{t}:=F(t, *): \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is an immersion for each $t \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$,
- $f^{0}=F(0, *)$ coincides with $f$.

The variational vector field $V$ of a variation $F=\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ is a vector-valued function $V$ on $\Sigma$ defined by

$$
V(p):=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} F(t, p) \quad(p \in \Sigma)
$$

Similar to variational formula in Section 1, we have
Theorem 2.8. Let $\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ be a variation of an immersion $f: \Sigma \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}\left(f^{t}\right)=-2 \int_{\Sigma} H \varphi d A,\left.\quad \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{V}\left(f^{t}\right)=\int_{\Sigma} \varphi d A
$$

hold, where $\varphi:=V \cdot \nu, V$ is the variational vector field of $\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ and $\nu$ is the unit normal vector field of $f$.

Proof. Since almost all part of the computation in the previous section are coordinate-independent, we can show the result in a similar way to them.

Here, we shall prove the formula for the volume functional Let $(U ; u, v)$ be a local coordinate system. Then it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: & =f^{t} \cdot \nu^{t}\left|f_{u}^{t} \times f_{v}^{t}\right|=f^{t} \cdot \frac{f_{u}^{t} \times f_{v}^{t}}{\left|f_{u}^{t} \times f_{v}^{t}\right|}\left|f_{u}^{t} \times f_{v}^{t}\right| \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(f^{t}, f_{u}^{t}, f_{v}^{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating this in $t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} \Phi & =\operatorname{det}\left(\dot{f}^{t}, f_{u}, f_{v}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(f, \dot{f}_{u}^{t}, f_{v}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u}, \dot{f}_{v}^{t}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(V, f_{u}, f_{v}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(f, V_{u}, f_{v}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u}, V_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot{*}=\left.(\partial / \partial t)\right|_{t=0}$. Here, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(V, f_{u}, f_{v}\right) & =V \cdot\left(f_{u} \times f_{v}\right)=(V \cdot \nu)\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right|, \\
\operatorname{det}\left(f, V_{u}, f_{v}\right) & =\left(\operatorname{det}\left(f, V, f_{v}\right)\right)_{u}-\operatorname{det}\left(f, V, f_{u v}\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(f_{u}, V, f_{v}\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det}\left(f, V, f_{v}\right)\right)_{u}-\operatorname{det}\left(f, V, f_{u v}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(V, f_{u}, f_{v}\right) \\
\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u}, V_{v}\right) & =\left(\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u}, V\right)\right)_{v}-\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u v}, V\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(f_{v}, f_{u}, V\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u}, V\right)\right)_{v}-\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u v}, V\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(V, f_{u}, f_{v}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} \Phi\right. & \Phi) d u \wedge d v=3(V \cdot \nu)\left|f_{u} \times f_{v}\right| d u \wedge d v \\
& +\left(\left(\operatorname{det}\left(f, V, f_{v}\right)\right)_{u}+\left(\operatorname{det}\left(f, f_{u}, V\right)\right)_{v}\right) d u \wedge d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, setting

$$
\alpha:=\operatorname{det}\left(f, V, f_{u}\right) d u+\operatorname{det}\left(f, V, f_{v}\right) d v=\operatorname{det}(f, V, d f),
$$

we have the coordinate-independent expression

$$
\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} \Phi\right) d u \wedge d v=3(V \cdot \nu) d A+d \alpha
$$

and then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{V}\left(f^{t}\right) & =\frac{1}{3} \int_{\Sigma}\left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} \Phi\right) d u \wedge d v \\
& =\int_{\Sigma}(V \cdot \nu) d A+\frac{1}{3} d \alpha=\int_{\Sigma}(V \cdot \nu) d A
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the formula.

Proof of Theorem 2．5．Let $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, V_{0}\right)$ be an immersion minimizing area in $\mathcal{S}\left(\Sigma, V_{0}\right)$ ．Then it holds that

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}\left(f^{t}\right)=0 \quad \begin{align*}
& \text { for any volume preserving }  \tag{2.5}\\
& \text { variation }\left\{f^{t}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Here，a variation $\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ of $f_{0}$ is said to be volume preserving if $\mathcal{V}\left(f^{t}\right)=\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)$ for all $t$ ．

Let $\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ be a（not necessarily volume preserving）variation of $f_{0}$ ．Then，by Lemma 2．3，$\left\{\tilde{f}^{t}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\tilde{f}^{t}:=\frac{\mathcal{V}\left(f^{t}\right)^{-1 / 3}}{\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)^{1 / 3}} f^{t}
$$

is volume preserving variation，and the map $\left\{f^{t}\right\} \mapsto\left\{\tilde{f}^{t}\right\}$ is a surjection to the set of volume preserving variations．That is， （2．5）is equivalent to
（2．6）$\left.\quad \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}\left(f^{t}\right)^{-1 / 3}}{\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)^{-1 / 3}} f^{t}\right)=0 \quad$ for any variation $\left\{f^{t}\right\}$ ．
Here，by Theorem 2．8，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} & \mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{V}\left(f^{t}\right)^{-1 / 3} f^{t}\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mathcal{V}\left(f^{t}\right)^{-2 / 3} \mathcal{A}\left(f^{t}\right) \\
& =-\frac{2}{3} \dot{\mathcal{V}}\left(f^{t}\right) \mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)^{-5 / 3} \mathcal{A}\left(f_{0}\right)+\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)^{-2 / 3} \dot{\mathcal{A}}\left(f^{t}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)^{-2 / 3}\left(-\frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathcal{A}\left(f_{0}\right)}{\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)} \dot{\mathcal{V}}\left(f^{t}\right)+\dot{\mathcal{A}}\left(f^{t}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)^{-2 / 3}\left(\int_{\Sigma}\left(-\frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathcal{A}\left(f_{0}\right)}{\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)}-2 H\right) \varphi d A\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot{*}=\left.(d / d t)\right|_{t=0}$ and $\varphi=V \cdot \nu$ ．Then by Lemma 1．7，

$$
-\frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathcal{A}\left(f_{0}\right)}{\mathcal{V}\left(f_{0}\right)}-2 H=0
$$

holds，and then $H$ is constant．
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## Exercises

2－1 ${ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ Let $\mathcal{C}:=\left\{\gamma: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid \gamma^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{0}\right\}$ be the set of regular closed curves on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ．
（1）Define the area $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)$ of the region bounded by $\gamma$ ．
（2）Let $\mathcal{C}(a)$ be the set of curves $\gamma$ with $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)=a$ ．Show that if a curve $\gamma_{0} \in \mathcal{C}(a)$ minimizes the length in $\mathcal{C}(a)$ ，the curvature of $\gamma_{0}$ is constant．

Hint：A curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(a)$ can be parametrized $\gamma(t)=$ ${ }^{t}(x(t), y(t))$ as a $2 \pi$－periodic function．The length $\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ and the curvature function $\kappa$ of $\gamma$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\qquad \mathcal{L}(\gamma) & :=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|\dot{\gamma}(t)| d t, \quad \kappa(t):=\frac{\operatorname{det}(\dot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t))}{|\dot{\gamma}(t)|^{3}} \\
\text { where } \cdot & =d / d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Examples of Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces

Planar curves. Let $\gamma: I \ni s \mapsto \gamma(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a smooth map defined on an $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then $\gamma$ is called a regular curve if $\dot{\gamma} \neq 0$ on $I$, where $=d / d s$. The parameter $s$ is called an arc length parameter if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\dot{\gamma}(s)|=\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d s}(s)\right|=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $I$.
Lemma 3.1. A regular curve $\gamma: I \ni t \mapsto \gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ can be reparametrized by an arc length parameter. Moreover, such an arc length parameter is unique up to additive constants.
Proof. Fix $t_{0} \in I$ and define a function $s: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
s(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d t}(u)\right| d u
$$

Then $s: I \rightarrow J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $d s / d t>0$. Hence there exists the smooth inverse $J \ni s \mapsto t(s) \in I$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}(s):=\gamma(t(s))$ is the desired reparametrization. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{d \tilde{\gamma}(s)}{d s}\right| & =\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d t}(t(s)) \frac{d t}{d s}(s)\right|=\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d t}(t(s)) \frac{1}{d s / d t(t(s))}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d t}(t(s)) \frac{1}{|d \gamma / d t(t(s))|}\right|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]So we have the first assertion. Let $s$ and $u$ be two arc length parameters. Then there exists a parameter change $u=u(s)$, which is strictly increasing function such that

$$
1=\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d s}\right|==\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d u} \frac{d u}{d s}\right|=\frac{d u}{d s}\left|\frac{d \gamma}{d u}\right|=\frac{d u}{d s}
$$

Hence $u=s+$ constant, proves the second assertion.
Throughout this section, we assume that planar curves are parameterized by arc length parameter.

Let $\gamma(s)={ }^{t}(x(s), y(s)) \quad(s \in I)$ be a parametrized planar curve where $s$ is an arc length parameter. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{e}(s):=\dot{\gamma}(s)=\binom{\dot{x}(s)}{\dot{y}(s)}, \quad \boldsymbol{n}(s):=\binom{-\dot{y}(s)}{\dot{x}(s)}
$$

are mutually perpendicular orthogonal vectors for each $s \in I$. Thus we have obtained a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(s):=(\boldsymbol{e}(s), \boldsymbol{n}(s)): I \longmapsto \mathrm{SO}(2), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ is the set (a group) of $2 \times 2$-orthogonal matrix of determinant 1. We call $\mathcal{F}$ the frame of $\gamma$. Note that

$$
\mathrm{SO}(2)=\{R(\theta) \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad R(\theta):=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta  \tag{3.3}\\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.2 (The Frenet formula). Let $\mathcal{F}(s)$ be the frame of the curve $\gamma(s)$ where $s$ is an arc length parameter defined on an
interval $I$. Then there exists a unique smooth function $\kappa: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\dot{\mathcal{F}}=\mathcal{F} \Omega \quad \Omega(s):=\kappa(s)\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1  \tag{3.4}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a function valued on $\mathrm{SO}(2), \mathcal{F}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{F}}$ is valued on the set of skew-symmetric matrices. In fact, since ${ }^{t} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{t}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{F}}\right) & ={ }^{t}\left({ }^{t} \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{F}}\right)={ }^{t} \dot{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}=\frac{d}{d s} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \\
& =-\mathcal{F}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{F}} F^{-1} \mathcal{F}=-\mathcal{F}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{F}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there exists a function $\kappa(s)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{F}}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -\kappa \\
\kappa & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

proving the theorem.
We call the function $\kappa$ the curvature of the curve $\gamma$.
Proposition 3.3. Let $\gamma(s)={ }^{t}(x(s), y(s))$ be a planar curve parametrized by the arc length $s$. Then its curvature satisfies

$$
\kappa=\dot{x} \ddot{y}-\dot{y} \ddot{x} .
$$

Theorem 3.4 (The fundamental theorem for planar curves). Let $\kappa: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Then there exists a curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ parametrized by the arc length whose curvature is $\kappa$. Moreover, such a curve $\gamma$ is unique up to rotations and translations of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Proof. First we shall prove uniqueness: Let $\gamma_{j}(j=1,2)$ be curves with curvature $\kappa$, and denote by $\mathcal{F}_{j}(j=1,2)$ the frame of $\gamma_{j}$. Then by (3.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d s}\left(\mathcal{F}_{2} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}\right) & =\frac{d}{d s}\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}{ }^{t} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)==\dot{\mathcal{F}}_{2}{ }^{t} \mathcal{F}_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{2}{ }^{t} \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \\
& =\mathcal{F}_{2} \Omega^{t} \mathcal{F}_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{2}{ }^{t} \mathcal{F}_{1} \Omega=\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\Omega+{ }^{t} \Omega\right)^{t} \mathcal{F}_{1}=O
\end{aligned}
$$

holds, and thus there exist constant matrix such that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2} \mathcal{F}_{1}^{-1}=A \quad(A \in \mathrm{SO}(2))
$$

that is, $\mathcal{F}_{2}=A \mathcal{F}_{1}$. Comparing the first column of this, we have

$$
\dot{\gamma}_{2}=A \dot{\gamma}_{1} \quad \text { and then } \quad \gamma_{2}=A \dot{\gamma}_{1}+\boldsymbol{a}
$$

where $A \in \mathrm{SO}(2)$ and $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Hence the uniqueness part holds. Next, we prove existence: fix $s_{0} \in I$ and set

$$
\gamma(s):=\int_{s_{0}}^{s}\left(\cos \int_{s_{0}}^{u} \kappa(t) d t, \sin \int_{s_{0}}^{u} \kappa(t) d t\right) d u .
$$

Then one can check that $s$ is the arc length parameter of $\gamma(s)$, and $\kappa(s)$ is the curvature.

Surfaces of revolution. Let $\gamma(s)=(x(s), y(s))$ be a regular curve parametrized by the arc length $s$, satisfying $y(s)>0$ for all $s$. Then the surface of revolution of $\gamma$ about the $x$-axis is parametrized as
(3.5) $f(t, s):=(x(s), y(s) \cos t, y(s) \sin t), d$
$(t, s) \in S^{1} \times I$.

The curve $\gamma$ is called the profile curve of the surface (3.5).
Noticing $\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}=1$, the first fundamental form $I$ and the second fundamental form of $f$ are expressed as
$I=y^{2} d t^{2}+d s^{2}, \quad I I=-\dot{x} y d t^{2}+(\dot{x} \ddot{y}-\dot{y} \ddot{x}) d s^{2}=-\dot{x} y d t^{2}+\kappa d s^{2}$,
where $\kappa$ is the curvature of the profile curve (cf. Proposition 3.3). Hence we have

Proposition 3.5. The mean curvature function $H$ of the surface (3.5) is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 H=\kappa-\frac{\dot{x}}{y} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Delaunay surfaces.

Theorem 3.6. Let $H$ be a non-zero constant. Then the profile curve $(x(s), y(s))$ of a surface of revolution with constant mean curvature $H$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(s)=\frac{1}{2 H} \sqrt{(2 H a+1)^{2}-2(2 H a+1) \cos 2 H s}, \\
& x(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{1+(2 a H+1) \cos 2 H u}{y(u)} d u, \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

up to horizontal translations and parameter changes, where a is a constant.

Proof. Let $\gamma(s):=(x(s), y(s))$ be the profile curve of given surface of revolution with constant mean curvature $H$. Then by
(3.6), the curvature function $\kappa$ of $\gamma$ satisfies

$$
\kappa=2 H+\frac{\dot{x}}{y} .
$$

Thus, the frame $\mathcal{F}$ of $\gamma$ satisfies the Frenet formula (Theorem 3.2):

$$
\dot{\mathcal{F}}=\left(2 H+\frac{\dot{x}}{y}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1  \tag{3.8}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We shall find the curve solving this differential equation. Set

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}:=y \mathcal{F}
$$

Then, noticing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}=1, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equation (3.8) is equivalent to

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}=2 H \mathcal{F}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 H  \tag{3.10}\\
2 H & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let
(3.11) $\quad A(s):=\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(s) \mathcal{F}_{0}(s)^{-1}$,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{0}(s):=R(2 H s)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos 2 H s & -\sin 2 H s \\
\sin 2 H s & \cos 2 H s
\end{array}\right)
$$

Substituting $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}=A \mathcal{F}_{0}$ into (3.10), we have

$$
\dot{A}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1  \tag{3.12}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{F}_{0}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\sin 2 H s & -\cos 2 H s \\
\cos 2 H s & \sin 2 H s
\end{array}\right)
$$

and then

$$
A=\frac{-1}{2 H}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos 2 H s & \sin 2 H s  \tag{3.13}\\
-\sin 2 H s & \cos 2 H s
\end{array}\right)+C
$$

where $C$ is a constant matrix．Summing up，it holds that
（3．14）$y \mathcal{F}=\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}=A \mathcal{F}_{0}$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 H}\left(C\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos 2 H s & -\sin 2 H s \\
\sin 2 H s & \cos 2 H s
\end{array}\right)-\mathrm{id}\right) .
$$

Since right－hand side is a periodic function and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{SO}(2), y^{2}$ （and then $y$ ）is a periodic function．Hence $y$ must take both maximum and minimum．By a change of parameter $s$ to $s+$ constant and a horizontal translation $x \mapsto x+$ constant，we may assume $y$ takes its maximum at $s=0$ ，and $x(0)=0$ ．Moreover， by the reflection of the $y$－axis，we may assume $\dot{x}(0) \geqq 0$ without loss of generality．Hence we can assume an initial condition
$(x(0), y(0))=(0, a), \quad(\dot{x}(0), \dot{y}(0))=(1,0), \quad \ddot{y}(0)=\kappa(0) \leqq 0$.
Substituting these into（3．14），we have $C=(2 H a+1) \mathrm{id}$ ：
（3．15）$y \mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{2 H}\left((2 H a+1)\left(\begin{array}{rr}\cos 2 H s & -\sin 2 H s \\ \sin 2 H s & \cos 2 H s\end{array}\right)-\mathrm{id}\right)$ ．
Taking the determinant of this，we have

$$
\left.y^{2}=\frac{1}{(2 H)^{2}}((2 H a+1) \cos 2 H s-1)^{2}+\left(2 H a^{2}+1\right) \sin ^{2} 2 H s\right)
$$

and then

$$
y=\frac{1}{2 H} \sqrt{(2 H a+1)^{2}-2(2 H a+1) \cos 2 H s}
$$

On the other hand，the（1，1）－component of（？？）is expressed as

$$
y \dot{x}=\frac{1}{2 H}(1+(2 a H+1) \cos 2 H s) .
$$

Thus we have the conclusion．
The surfaces in（3．7）are called the Delaunay surfaces．
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## Exercises

$3-\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{H}}$ Draw pictures of Delaunay curves for $H=\frac{1}{2}$ ．
$3-2^{\mathrm{H}}$ Classify minimal surfaces of revolution．


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A map $f$ defined on $\bar{D}$ is said to be $C_{\widetilde{D}}^{\infty}$ if there exists a open set $\widetilde{D}$ containing $\bar{D}$ and a $C^{\infty} \operatorname{map} \tilde{f}$ defined on $\widetilde{D}$ such that $\left.\tilde{f}\right|_{\bar{D}}=f$.

[^1]:    17. April, 2018. Revised: 24. April, 2018
[^2]:    24. April, 2018.
