1. Let $G=\left(N,\left(S_{i}\right)_{i \in N},\left(u_{i}\right)_{i \in N}\right)$ be a game in strategic form where $S_{i}$ is finite for all $i \in N$ and consider its mixed extension, $\left(N,\left(\Delta\left(S_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in N},\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{i \in N}\right)$. Denote by $\pi_{i}\left(s_{i}, \sigma_{-i}\right)$ the expected payoff when player $i$ chooses pure strategy $s_{i} \in S_{i}$ and the other players $j \neq i$ choose mixed strategies $\sigma_{j} \in \Delta\left(S_{j}\right)$. Let $\sigma_{i} \in \Delta\left(S_{i}\right)$ be any mixed strategy. Using the definition of $\pi_{i}$ in the lecture notes, show that the following hold:
(a)

$$
\pi_{i}\left(s_{i}, \sigma_{-i}\right)=\sum_{s_{-i} \in S_{-i}}\left(\prod_{j \neq i} \sigma_{j}\left(s_{j}\right)\right) u_{i}\left(s_{i}, s_{-i}\right)
$$

(b)

$$
\pi_{i}\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{-i}\right)=\sum_{s_{i} \in S_{i}} \sigma_{i}\left(s_{i}\right) \pi_{i}\left(s_{i}, \sigma_{-i}\right)
$$

2. Consider the same setup as Question 1. Let $\sigma_{-i} \in \prod_{j \neq i} \Delta\left(S_{j}\right)$ be a profile of mixed strategies for players $j \neq i$, and for a mixed strategy $\sigma_{i}$ of player $i$, let $C\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\left\{s_{i} \in S_{i}: \sigma_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)>0\right\}$ be the set of pure strategies that $\sigma_{i}$ places a positive probability on. Then, using Question 1 part (b), show that the following inequality holds.

$$
\pi\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{-i}\right) \leq \max _{s_{i} \in C\left(\sigma_{i}\right)} \pi\left(s_{i}, \sigma_{-i}\right)
$$

3. Let $G=\left(N,\left(S_{i}\right)_{i \in N},\left(u_{i}\right)_{i \in N}\right)$ be a game in strategic form. Suppose that $s_{i} \in S_{i}$ is strictly dominated by $s_{i}^{\prime} \in S_{i}$, and $s_{i}^{\prime}$ is strictly dominated by $s_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in S_{i}$.
(a) Show that $s_{i}$ is strictly dominated by $s_{i}^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) Is the statement in part (a) true if "strictly dominated" is replaced by "weakly dominated?" If the statement is true, give a proof of the modified statement; if it is false, then provide a counterexample.
4. (Bertrand Duopoly) Suppose there are two firms producing the same good and each firm has the same technology in that it costs $c>0$ for each unit produced. Each firm chooses (independently and simultaneously) a price out of the set of all positive real numbers. The firm with the lower price can then produce an amount that is decided by the demand function $D$, so that if a firm that chose $p$ would have to produce $D(p)$ units. The firm with the higher price does not produce any units and is left out of the market. If both firms choose the same price, a coin is flipped that
gives each firm a probability of $1 / 2$ of winning, and the winner supplies the market, while the loser does not. Suppose that $D(p)=\max \{a-p, 0\}$ represents the market demand as a function of $p$ where $a>c$.
(a) Formulate this situation as a game in strategic form.
(b) Does each firm have a strictly dominated strategy? If so, find all such strategies.
(c) Does each firm have a weakly dominated strategy? If so, find all such strategies.
