$$= f(\boldsymbol{x}) - h\left(1 - \frac{h}{2}L\right) \|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})\|_{2}^{2}.$$
 (5)

Thus, one step of the steepest descent method decreases the value of the objective function at least as follows for  $h^* = 1/L$ .

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2L} \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \|_2^2.$$

Now, for the Goldstein-Armijo Rule, since  $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k - h_k \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$ , we have:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \leq \beta h_k \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \|_2^2,$$

and from (5)

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \ge h_k \left(1 - \frac{h_k}{2}L\right) \|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2.$$

Therefore,  $h_k \ge 2(1-\beta)/L$ .

Also, substituting in

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \ge \alpha h_k \|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2 \ge \frac{2}{L} \alpha (1-\beta) \|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2.$$

Thus, in the three step-size strategies excepting the BB step size considered here, we can say that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) \geq rac{\omega}{L} \| \boldsymbol{
abla} f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \|_2^2$$

for some positive constant  $\omega$ .

Summing up the above inequality we have:

$$\frac{\omega}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2 \le f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - f(\boldsymbol{x}_{N+1}) \le f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - f^*$$

where  $f^*$  is the optimal value of the problem.

As a simple consequence we have

$$\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2 \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Finally,

$$g_N^* := \min_{0 \le k \le N} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \left[ \frac{L}{\omega} (f(x_0) - f^*) \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (6)

**Remark 5.8**  $g_N^* \to 0$ , but we cannot say anything about the rate of convergence of the sequence  $\{f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\}$  or  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_k\}$ .

**Example 5.9** Consider the function  $f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}y^4 - \frac{1}{2}y^2$ .  $(0,-1)^T$  and  $(0,1)^T$  are local minimal solutions, but  $(0,0)^T$  is a stationary point.

If we start the steepest descent method from  $(1,0)^T$ , we will only converge to the stationary point.

We focus now on the following problem class:

Model:	1. $\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\boldsymbol{x})$
	2. $f \in \mathcal{C}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$
	3. $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is bounded from below
Oracle:	Only function and gradient values are available
Approximate solution:	Find $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$ and $\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})\ _2 < \epsilon$

From (6), we have

$$g_N^* < \varepsilon$$
 if  $N+1 > \frac{L}{\omega \varepsilon^2} (f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - f^*).$ 

**Remark 5.10** This is much better than the result of Theorem 5.6, since *it does not depend on n*.

Finally, consider the following problem under Assumption 5.11.

$$\min_{oldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n}f(oldsymbol{x})$$

## Assumption 5.11

- 1.  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n);$
- 2. There is a local minimum  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  of the function  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ ;
- 3. We know some bound  $0 < \ell \le L < \infty$  for the Hessian at  $x^*$ :

$$\ell \boldsymbol{I} \preceq \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \preceq L \boldsymbol{I};$$

4. Our starting point  $x_0$  is close enough to  $x^*$ .

**Theorem 5.12** Let f(x) satisfy our assumptions above and let the starting point  $x_0$  be close enough to a local minimum:

$$r_0 = \| \boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2 < \bar{r} := \frac{2\ell}{M}$$

Then, the steepest descent method with step-size  $h^* = 2/(L + \ell)$  converges as follows:

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 \le \frac{\bar{r}r_0}{\bar{r} - r_0} \left(1 - \frac{2\ell}{L + 3\ell}\right)^k.$$

This rate of convergence is called (R-)*linear*.

## Proof:

In the steepest descent method, the iterates are  $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k - h_k \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$ . Since  $\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = 0$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \int_0^1 \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^* + \tau(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*))(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*)d\tau = \boldsymbol{G}_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*),$$

and therefore,

$$x_{k+1} - x^* = x_k - x^* - h_k G_k (x_k - x^*) = (I - h_k G_k) (x_k - x^*).$$

Let  $r_k = \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2$ . From Lemma 3.7,

$$\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) - \tau M r_k \boldsymbol{I} \preceq \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^* + \tau(\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*)) \preceq \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \tau M r_k \boldsymbol{I}.$$

Integrating all parts from 0 to 1 and using our hypothesis,

$$(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2}M)\mathbf{I} \preceq \mathbf{G}_k \preceq (L + \frac{r_k}{2}M)\mathbf{I}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(1-h_k(L+\frac{r_k}{2}M)\right)\mathbf{I} \preceq \mathbf{I} - h_k \mathbf{G}_k \preceq \left(1-h_k(\ell-\frac{r_k}{2}M)\right)\mathbf{I}.$$

We arrive at

$$\|I - h_k G_k\|_2 \le \max\{|a_k(h_k)|, |b_k(h_k)|\}$$

where  $a_k(h) = 1 - h(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2}M)$  and  $b_k(h) = h(L + \frac{r_k}{2}M) - 1$ .

Notice that  $a_k(0) = 1$  and  $b_k(0) = -1$ .

Now, let us use our hypothesis that  $r_0 < \bar{r}$ .

When  $a_k(h) = b_k(h)$ , we have  $1 - h(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2}M) = h(L + \frac{r_k}{2}M) - 1$ , and therefore

$$h_k^* = \frac{2}{L+\ell}.$$

(Surprisingly, it does not depend neither on M nor  $r_k$ ). Finally,

$$r_{k+1} = \| \boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2 \le \left( 1 - \frac{2}{L+\ell} \left( \ell - \frac{r_k}{2} M \right) \right) \| \boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2.$$

That is,

$$r_{k+1} \le \left(\frac{L-\ell}{L+\ell} + \frac{r_k M}{L+\ell}\right) r_k.$$

and  $r_{k+1} < r_k < \bar{r}$ .

Now, let us analyze the rate of convergence. Multiplying the above inequality by  $M/(L+\ell)$ ,

$$\frac{Mr_{k+1}}{L+\ell} \le \frac{M(L-\ell)}{(L+\ell)^2} r_k + \frac{M^2 r_k^2}{(L+\ell)^2}.$$

Calling  $\alpha_k = \frac{Mr_k}{L+\ell}$  and  $q = \frac{2\ell}{L+\ell}$ , we have

$$\alpha_{k+1} \le (1-q)\alpha_k + \alpha_k^2 = \alpha_k(1+\alpha_k - q) = \frac{\alpha_k(1-(\alpha_k - q)^2)}{1-(\alpha_k - q)}.$$
(7)

Now, since  $r_k < \frac{2\ell}{M}$ ,  $\alpha_k - q = \frac{Mr_k}{L+\ell} - \frac{2\ell}{L+\ell} < 0$ , and  $1 + (\alpha_k - q) = \frac{L-\ell}{L+\ell} + \frac{Mr_k}{L+\ell} > 0$ . Therefore,  $-1 < \alpha_k - q < 0$ , and (7) becomes  $\leq \frac{\alpha_k}{1+q-\alpha_k}$ .

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{k+1}} \ge \frac{1+q}{\alpha_k} - 1.$$
$$\frac{q}{\alpha_{k+1}} - 1 \ge \frac{q(1+q)}{\alpha_k} - q - 1 = (1+q)\left(\frac{q}{\alpha_k} - 1\right).$$

and then,

$$\frac{q}{\alpha_k} - 1 \ge (1+q)^k \left(\frac{q}{\alpha_0} - 1\right) = (1+q)^k \left(\frac{2\ell}{L+\ell} \frac{L+\ell}{Mr_0} - 1\right) = (1+q)^k \left(\frac{\bar{r}}{r_0} - 1\right).$$

Finally, we arrive at

$$r_k = \| \boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2 \le \frac{\bar{r}r_0}{\bar{r} - r_0} \left( 1 - \frac{2\ell}{L + 3\ell} \right)^k$$

## 5.4The Newton Method

Example 5.13 Let us apply the Newton method to find the root of the following function

$$\phi(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}.$$

Clearly  $t^* = 0$ .

The Newton method will give:

$$t_{k+1} = t_k - \frac{\phi(t_k)}{\phi'(t_k)} = t_k - t_k(1 + t_k^2) = -t_k^3.$$

Therefore, the method converges if  $|t_0| < 1$ , it oscillates if  $|t_0| = 1$ , and finally, diverges if  $|t_0| > 1$ .

## Assumption 5.14

- 1.  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n);$
- 2. There is a local minimum  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  of the function  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ ;
- 3. The Hessian is positive definite at  $x^*$ :

$$\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq \ell I, \quad \ell > 0;$$

4. Our starting point  $x_0$  is close enough to  $x^*$ .

**Theorem 5.15** Let the function f(x) satisfy the above assumptions. Suppose that the initial starting point  $x_0$  is close enough to  $x^*$ :

$$\|m{x}_0 - m{x}^*\|_2 < ar{r} := rac{2\ell}{3M}.$$

Then  $\|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 < \bar{r}$  for all k of the Newton method and it converges (Q-)quadratically:

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 \le \frac{M\|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2}{2(\ell - M\|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2)}$$

Proof:

Let  $r_k = \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2$ . From Lemma 3.7 and the assumption, we have for k = 0,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}) \succeq \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}) - Mr_{0}\boldsymbol{I} \succeq (\ell - Mr_{0})\boldsymbol{I}.$$
(8)

Since  $r_0 < \bar{r} = \frac{2\ell}{3M} < \frac{\ell}{M}$ , we have  $\ell - Mr_0 > 0$  and therefore,  $\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$  is invertible. Consider the Newton method for k = 0,  $\boldsymbol{x}_1 = \boldsymbol{x}_0 - [\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)]^{-1} \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$ .

Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} {\bm x}_1 - {\bm x}^* &=& {\bm x}_0 - {\bm x}^* - [{\bm \nabla}^2 {\bm f}({\bm x}_0)]^{-1} {\bm \nabla} {\bm f}({\bm x}_0) \\ &=& {\bm x}_0 - {\bm x}^* - [{\bm \nabla}^2 {\bm f}({\bm x}_0)]^{-1} \int_0^1 {\bm \nabla}^2 {\bm f}({\bm x}^* + \tau({\bm x}_0 - {\bm x}^*))({\bm x}_0 - {\bm x}^*) d\tau \\ &=& [{\bm \nabla}^2 {\bm f}({\bm x}_0)]^{-1} {\bm G}_0({\bm x}_0 - {\bm x}^*) \end{array}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{G}_0 = \int_0^1 [\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^* + \tau(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*))] d\tau.$