#### 3.1 Exercises

1. Prove Lemma 3.6.

# 4 Optimality Conditions for Differentiable Functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and s be a direction in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\|s\|_2 = 1$ . Consider the local decrease (or increase) of f(x) along s:

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{s}) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} + \alpha \boldsymbol{s}) - f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) \right].$$

Since  $f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} + \alpha \boldsymbol{s}) - f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \alpha \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}), \boldsymbol{s} \rangle + o(\|\alpha \boldsymbol{s}\|_2)$ , we have  $\Delta(\boldsymbol{s}) = \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}), \boldsymbol{s} \rangle$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $-\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2 \le \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \le \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_2$ ,

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{s}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}), \boldsymbol{s} \rangle \geq - \| \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) \|_2$$

Choosing in particular the direction  $\bar{s} = -\nabla f(\bar{x}) / \|\nabla f(\bar{x})\|_2$ ,

$$\Delta(\bar{\boldsymbol{s}}) = -\left\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}), \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})}{\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})\|_2} \right\rangle = -\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})\|_2$$

Thus, the direction  $-\nabla f(\bar{x})$  is the direction of the fastest local decrease of f(x) at point  $\bar{x}$ .

Theorem 4.1 (First-order necessary optimality condition) Let  $x^*$  be a local minimum of the differentiable function f(x). Then

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0.$$

Proof:

Let  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  be the local minimum of  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ . Then, there is r > 0 such that for all  $\boldsymbol{y}$  with  $\|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 \le r$ ,  $f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$ .

Since f is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle + o(\|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}^*).$$

Dividing by  $\|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2$ , and taking the limit  $\boldsymbol{y} \to \boldsymbol{x}^*$ ,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \boldsymbol{s} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_2 = 1.$$

Consider the opposite direction -s, and then we conclude that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \boldsymbol{s} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_2 = 1$$

Choosing  $\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{e}_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n), we conclude that  $\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = 0$ .

**Remark 4.2** For the first-order sufficient optimality condition, we need convexity for the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$ .

**Corollary 4.3** Let  $x^*$  be a local minimum of a differentiable function f(x) subject to linear equality constraints

$$oldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{L} := \{oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} = oldsymbol{b}\} 
eq \emptyset,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , m < n.

Then, there exists a vector of multipliers  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\nabla f(x^*) = A^T \lambda^*$$

#### Proof:

Consider the vectors  $u_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., k) with  $k \ge n - m$  which form an orthonormal basis of the null space of A. Then,  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  can be represented as

$$oldsymbol{x} = oldsymbol{x}(oldsymbol{t}) := oldsymbol{x}^* + \sum_{i=1}^k t_i oldsymbol{u}_i, \quad oldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Moreover, the point t = 0 is the local minimal solution of the function  $\phi(t) = f(x(t))$ .

From Theorem 4.1,  $\phi'(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . That is,

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt_i}(\mathbf{0}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \boldsymbol{u}_i \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

Now there is  $t^* \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\nabla f(x^*) = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i^* u_i + A^T \lambda^*.$$

For each i = 1, 2, ..., k,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \boldsymbol{u}_i \rangle = t_i^* = 0.$$

Therefore, we have the result.

The following type of result is called *theorems of the alternative*, and are closed related to duality theory in optimization.

**Corollary 4.4** Given  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ , either

$$\begin{cases} \langle \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle < \eta \\ \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b} \end{cases} \text{ has a solution } \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{cases}
\langle \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle > 0 \\
\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{0} \\
\text{or} \\
\begin{cases}
\langle \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle \ge \eta \\
\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{c}
\end{pmatrix}$$
has a solution  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , (2)

but never both

Proof:

Let us first show that if  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying (1),  $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfying (2). Let us assume by contradiction that  $\exists \lambda$ . Then  $\langle \lambda, Ax \rangle = \langle \lambda, b \rangle$  and in the homogeneous case it gives  $0 = \langle \lambda, b \rangle > 0$  and in the non-homogeneous case it gives  $\eta > \langle c, x \rangle = \langle \lambda, b \rangle \ge \eta$ . Both of cases are impossible.

Now, let us assume that  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying (1). If additionally  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that Ax = b, it means that the columns of the matrix A do not spam the vector b. Therefore, there is  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ which is orthogonal to all of these columns and  $\langle b, \lambda \rangle \neq 0$ . Selecting the correct sign, we constructed a  $\lambda$  which satisfies the homogeneous system of (2). Now, if for all x such that Ax = b we have  $\langle c, x \rangle \geq \eta$ , it means that the minimization of the function  $f(x) = \langle c, x \rangle$  subject to Ax = b has an optimal solution  $x^*$  with  $f(x^*) \geq \eta$  (since  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that Ax = b, we can always assume that  $m \leq n$  eliminating redundant linear constraints from the system. If n = m and A is nonsingular, take  $\lambda = A^{-T}c$ . Otherwise, we can eliminate again redundant linear constraint to have n > m). From Corollary 4.3,  $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $A^T\lambda = c$ , and  $\langle b, \lambda \rangle = \langle x^*, A^T\lambda \rangle = \langle x^*, c \rangle \geq \eta$ .

If  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$  is twice differentiable at  $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then for  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$abla f(oldsymbol{y}) = 
abla f(oldsymbol{x}) + 
abla^2 f(oldsymbol{x}) (oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}) + oldsymbol{o}(\|oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}\|_2),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{o}(r)$  is such that  $\lim_{r\to 0} \|\boldsymbol{o}(r)\|_2/r = 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{o}(0) = 0$ .

Theorem 4.5 (Second-order necessary optimality condition) Let  $x^*$  be a local minimum of a twice continuously differentiable function f(x). Then

$$\boldsymbol{
abla} f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{
abla}^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \succeq \boldsymbol{O}.$$

Proof:

Since  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  is a local minimum of  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that for all  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  which satisfy  $\|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 \leq r$ ,  $f(\boldsymbol{y}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$ .

From Theorem 4.1,  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ . Then

$$f(y) = f(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f(x^*)(y - x^*), y - x^* \rangle + o(||y - x^*||_2^2) \ge f(x^*).$$

And  $\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{s} \rangle \geq 0, \ \forall \boldsymbol{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } \|\boldsymbol{s}\|_2 = 1.$ 

**Theorem 4.6 (Second-order sufficient optimality condition)** Let the function f(x) be twice continuously differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $x^*$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0, \quad \nabla^2 f(x^*) \succ O.$$

Then,  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  is a strict local minimum of  $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ .

Proof:

In a small neighborhood of  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$ , function  $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$  can be represented as:

$$f(y) = f(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 f(x^*)(y - x^*), y - x^* \rangle + o(||y - x^*||_2^2)$$

Since  $o(r)/r \to 0$ , there is a  $\bar{r} > 0$  such that for all  $r \in [0, \bar{r}]$ ,

$$|o(r)| \leq \frac{r}{4}\lambda_1(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*)),$$

where  $\lambda_1(\nabla^2 f(x^*))$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the symmetric matrix  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  which is positive. Then

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*)) \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 + o(\| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2).$$

Considering that  $\bar{r} < 1$ ,  $|o(r^2)| \le r^2/4\lambda_1(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*))$  for  $r \in [0, \bar{r}]$ , finally

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_1(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}^*)) \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 > f(\boldsymbol{x}^*).$$

## 4.1 Exercises

1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  continuously differentiable functions and  $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Define the following optimization problem.

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} ext{minimize} & f(oldsymbol{x}) \ ext{subject to} & g(oldsymbol{x}) = oldsymbol{h} \ & oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array}
ight.$$

Write the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions corresponding to the above problem.

- 2. In view of Theorem 4.6, find a twice continuously differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which satisfies  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ ,  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq O$ , but  $x^*$  is not a local minimum of f(x).
- 3. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous differentiable and convex function. If  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is such that  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ , then show that  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a global minimum for  $f(\mathbf{x})$ .

# 5 Algorithms for Minimizing Unconstrained Functions

### 5.1 General Minimization Problem and Terminologies

**Definition 5.1** We define the general minimization problem as follows

$$\begin{cases} \text{minimize} & f(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & f_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \& 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ & \boldsymbol{x} \in S, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, f_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \ (j = 1, 2, ..., m)$ , the symbol & could be  $=, \geq, \text{ or } \leq, \text{ and } S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 5.2** The *feasible set* Q of (3) is

$$Q = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in S \mid f_j(\boldsymbol{x}) \& 0, \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, m) \}.$$

In the following items we assume  $S \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- If  $Q \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$ , (3) is a unconstrained optimization problem.
- If  $Q \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$ , (3) is a constrained optimization problem.
- If all functionals  $f(\mathbf{x}), f_j(\mathbf{x})$  are differentiable, (3) is a smooth optimization problem.
- If one of functionals  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $f_j(\mathbf{x})$  is non-differentiable, (3) is a non-smooth optimization problem.
- If all constraints are linear  $f_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{a}_j, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + b_j \ (j = 1, 2, ..., m), \ (3)$  is a linear constrained optimization problem.
  - In addition, if  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is linear, (3) is a linear programming problem.
  - In addition, if  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is quadratic, (3) is a quadratic programming problem.
- If  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $f_j(\mathbf{x})$  (j = 1, 2, ..., m) are quadratic, (3) is a quadratically constrained quadratic programming problem.

**Definition 5.3**  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  is called a global optimal solution of (3) if  $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x})$ ,  $\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in Q$ . Moreover,  $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$  is called the global optimal value.  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  is called a *local optimal solution* of (3) if there exists an open ball  $B(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \varepsilon) := \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^*||_2 < \varepsilon\}$  such that  $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in B(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \varepsilon) \cap Q$ . Moreover,  $f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)$  is called a *local optimal value*.

### 5.2 Complexity Bound for a Global Optimization Problem on the Unit Box

Consider one of the simplest problems in optimization, that is, minimizing a function on the n-dimensional box.

$$\begin{cases} \text{minimize} & f(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & \boldsymbol{x} \in B_n := \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 \le [\boldsymbol{x}]_i \le 1, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}. \end{cases}$$
(4)

To be coherent, we use the  $\ell_{\infty}$ -norm:

$$\|oldsymbol{x}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |[oldsymbol{x}]_i|.$$

Let us also assume that  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $B_n$ :

$$|f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| \le L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_{\infty}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in B_n.$$

Let us define a very simple method to solve (4), the **uniform grid method**.