Programming Language Design

2015

Week #8: Type Inference & The Expression Problem Instructor: Hidehiko Masuhara

Quiz 1/3 (5 min.)

- Enumerate the *advantages* of dynamically-typed languages over statically-typed languages
- 2. Enumerate the *disadvantages* of dynamically-typed languages over statically-typed languages

Quiz 2/3 (5 min.) Criticize a library for file systems

 count() recursively sums up the total number of files
 (Note: library users can't modify the definitions of the library itself)



Quiz 3/3 (10 min.) **Design a library for file systems** A File has a name and a size A Dir has children (of Files and Dirs) Library users want to (all recursively) Count total number of files in a directory* \succ know total size of files in a directory* \succ find a file in a directory^{*} and to know its size ► etc.

(*files in a directory include those in subdirectories) Note: users can't modify the library definition Note: traversing directories should be implemented inside of the library

Type Inference

a technique to determine types of expressions in untyped programs

➢eg. given:
 def sumOf(f,n) {
 if (n==0) return 0;
 else return f(n) + sumOf(f,n-1); }
 infers: "sunOf : ((int→int) × int)→int"
 ■ successful in functional languages
 (eg. ML, Haskell)

Method of type inference

Classical type-checking

expression" means express and stateme

- Type-checking rules
 - Rule: (for each type of expression) permitted combinations of types of subexpressions and a type of result
 - ♦ eg: if e1 then e2 else e3 → e1 is bool, e2 and e3 have the same result type
 - Implementation: 1. typing each expression, 2. check again the rule
- Typing (giving a type to an expression)
 - Types of variables & functions are declared in a program
 - Interpret the type-checking rule as "deriving a result type from types of subexpressions".

eg. if e1 then e2 elce e3 ➔ recult type – recult type ef e2

def s(f,n) { return f(n+1); }

types of constants and built-in functions are predetermined

search for a type assignments that satisfies the rules

- Search problem; hence more than one solution
- Choose the most general solution
 - if there is only one most general solution, it is called a principal type
 - often requires generic types

Type inference in OOPL

usu. requires some type information from programmers

eg. Scala: infers only local variables

object InferenceTest1 extends Application {
 val x = 1 + 2 * 3
 val y = x.toString()
 def succ(z: Int) = z + 1

(from scala-lang.org)

Type inference in OOPL Why Scala infers only local variable types?

because objects are evil !

class Person { String name; }
class File { byte[] name; }
def printName(obj) { print(obj.name); }

>what's the type of obj?

>then what's the return type of obj.name?

Can dynamically-typed languages have type inference?

Dynamically-typed languages

- Lisp, Smalltalk, Python, Ruby, etc.
- > Type-checks at runtime
 - by attaching type information to values
 - by selecting appropriate operations based on the attached types
 - eg. def double(x) { return x+x; }
 - \rightarrow check type of x upon +, and do iadd, fadd, or error
- If we can infer types, we can eliminate runtime type checking!

because correct programs do not "get wrong"

Can dynamically-typed languages have type inference?

- We already know full type inference for OOP is difficult (if not impossible)
- What other difficulties?
 - Implicit union types:

def sq(x) {

if (x<0) return "NG"; else return sqrt(x); }

Recursive functions:

(lambda (f) ((lambda (x) (f (x x))) (lambda (x) (f (x x)))))



 Consequence: a compiler can generate code with assuming that x always has a fixnum
 No guarantee if the assumption is wrong

Type inference in dynamically-typed languages: soft typing [CF91]

- a system that infers parts of a program
- Purpose: statically detecting type errors, eliminating redundant runtime type checking
- Method:
 - > mostly the same as in statically typed languages
 - use a union type when it cannot determine to one type

eg. def sq(x) {
 if (x<0) return "NG"; else return sqrt(x); }

 \rightarrow sq : int -> string + float

leave runtime type checking if for undecidable expressions eg. sq(123)*2

Gradual typing [ST07]

- Motivation: dynamically-typed languages are better for quick prototyping as we don't need to think about types
- Statically-typed languages are better in finding type errors before execution
- Start development in a DTL, and then migrate into a STL
 - > but it is hard to migrate all at once
 - \succ so, migrate gradually \rightarrow gradual typing
 - the programmer can choose typed and untype definition on a per-module basis

 challenges: passing an untyped function to the typed world, and vice versa



Example of gradual typing IST has at least a class Point { field x of int var x = 0Point is infered as function bool [x:int, equal(o : [x:int]) { equal: [x:int]->bool] return this.x==0.x } var p = new Pointvar q = new Point statically safe p.equal(q)

Example of gradual typing [ST07]

class Point {
 var x = 0
 function bool
 equal(o : [x:int]) {
 return this.x==0.x }}
var p = new Point
 p.equal("hello")
 (Section 2)

(statically) type error

Languages with gradual types

 Newspeak [Bracha08]: a la Smalltalk (called *optional typing*)
 TypedClojure: extension to Clojure
 TypeScript: extension to Javascript
 Dart: a la Java

Implicit type conversion

- how "int i=...; float f=...; return i + f;" returns float?
 - \succ due to a rule "int + float \rightarrow float", or
 - implicitly converted to "return itof(i)+f;" (so called upcasting: conversion to more general type)
- (Java)Person x=...; println("x=" + x);
 - > implicitly converted to println("x=" + x.toString());
 - NB. no subtyping between Person & String
 - but only between specific types

User-defined implicit type conversion (Scala) quoted from [OSV08] *implicit* def stringWrapper(s: String) = new RandomAccessSeq[Char] { ... } def printWithSpaces(seq: RandomAccessSeq[Char]) = ...

printWithSpaces("xyz"

converted by using stringWrapper

The Expression Problem [Wadler98]

A problem of extending data structure and its operations in a type-safe way \triangleright known for a long time (named by [Wadler98]) Extending = without changing existing definitions, realizing > addition of new variant to the data structure

➤addition of new operations

EP: a simple interpreter

(single inheritance) Exp represents AST nodes eval() computes a value of an expression new Add(new Num(1), new Num(2)).eval() → 3



EP: addition of a data variant

 to support subtraction
 by adding Sub with eval
 without changing existing classes!



EP: addition of operations

- to print an expression as a string
- NG: adding print() to Exp ← existing class!
- 2. Define PExp as a subclasses of Exp \rightarrow OK?

cannot inherit definition of eval



EP: addition of operations

- to print an expression as a string
- NG: adding print() to Exp ← existing class!
- 2. Define PExp as a subclasses of Exp \rightarrow OK?

subexpression of PAdd is Exp \rightarrow no print method



EP: using the Visitor pattern

- one of the GoF design patterns
- to separate data structure from its operations
- additional operations without changing existing code!



EP: using the Visitor pattern



A solution with Mixin layers

 (review)mixin: a class parameterized with its superclass
 Mixin layers: nested mixins [SB98]





A solution of EP with Mixin layers(and type variables) [ZO04]



M: define as mixin E: a type variable constrained as subtype of Exp Subexpression in Add is of type E adding data variant: straightforward

A solution of EP with Mixin layers: addition of operation

EP: addition of operations

- to print an expression as a string
- NG: adding print() to Exp ← existing class!
- 2. Define PExp as a subclasses of Exp \rightarrow OK?

BASE

subexpression of PAdd is Exp \rightarrow no print method





A solution of EP with generics and constrained type parameters

interface Exp<E extends Exp<E>> { int eval(); }
class Add<E extends Exp<E>> implements Exp<E> {
 E e1, e2;
 int eval() { ...e1.eval()... } }
class Num<E extends Exp<E>> implements Exp<E> {
 int n;
 int eval() {return n; } }

```
interface PExp<E extends PExp<E>> extends Exp<E> {
    String print(); }
class PAdd<E extends PExp<E>> extends Add<E> implements PExp<E> {
    String print() {...e1.print() ...} }
```



References

[CF91] Cartwright, Robert, and Mike Fagan. "Soft typing." PLDI'91 (1991): 278-292
[ST07] Siek, Jeremy, and Walid Taha. "Gradual typing for objects." ECOOP 2007– Object-Oriented Programming. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007. 2-27.
[Bracha08] Bracha, Gilad, et al. "The newspeak programming platform." Cadence Design Systems (2008).
[OSV] Martin Odersky, Lex Spoon, and Bill Venners, "Implicit Conversions and Parameters" in Chapter 21 of Programming in Scala, First Edition, 2008
[Wadler98] Philip Wadler, "The Expression Problem", Java Genericity Mailing List,

1998.

[SB98] Smaragdakis, Yannis, and Don Batory. "Implementing layered designs with mixin layers." ECOOP'98—Object-Oriented Programming. 1998. 550-570.

[ZO04] Zenger, Matthias, and Martin Odersky. Independently extensible solutions to the expression problem. No. LAMP-REPORT-2004-004. 2004.