**Theorem 9.6** Consider  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , possible with  $\mu = 0$  (which means that  $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ). The general scheme of the optimal gradient method generates a sequence  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  such that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le \lambda_k \left[ f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \| \boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{x}_0 \|_2^2 - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \right],$$

where  $\alpha_{-1} = 0$  and  $\lambda_k = \prod_{i=-1}^{k-1} (1 - \alpha_i)$ . Moreover,

$$\lambda_k \le \min\left\{ \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}\right)^k, \frac{4L}{(2\sqrt{L} + k\sqrt{\gamma_0})^2} \right\}$$

In other words, the sequence  $\{f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  converges *R*-linearly to zero if  $\mu = 0$  and *R*-superlinearly to zero if  $\mu > 0$ .

Proof:

The first part is obvious from the definition and Lemma 9.2.

We already know that  $\alpha_k \ge \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}$  (k = 0, 1, ...), therefore,

$$\lambda_k = \prod_{i=-1}^{k-1} (1 - \alpha_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - \alpha_i) \le \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}\right)^k,$$

which only has a meaning if  $\mu > 0$ . For the case  $\mu = 0$ , let us prove first that  $\gamma_k = \gamma_0 \lambda_k$ . Obviously  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0 \lambda_0$ , and assuming the induction hypothesis,

$$\gamma_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k + \alpha_k\mu = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_k = (1 - \alpha_k)\gamma_0\lambda_k = \gamma_0\lambda_{k+1}.$$

Therefore,  $L\alpha_k^2 = \gamma_{k+1} = \gamma_0 \lambda_{k+1}$ . Since  $\lambda_k$  is a decreasing sequence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_k} - \sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k \lambda_{k+1}}} = \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k \lambda_{k+1}}(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}})}$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_k \lambda_{k+1}}(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k})} = \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}}{2\lambda_k \sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}} = \frac{\lambda_k - (1 - \alpha_k)\lambda_k}{2\lambda_k \sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_k}{2\sqrt{\lambda_{k+1}}} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0}{L}}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \ge 1 + \frac{k}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0}{L}}$$

and we have the result.

**Theorem 9.7** Consider  $f \in S_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , possible with  $\mu = 0$  (which means that  $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ). If we take  $\gamma_0 = L$ , the general scheme of the "optimal" gradient method generates a sequence  $\{\boldsymbol{x}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  such that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le L \min\left\{\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}\right)^k, \frac{4}{(k+2)^2}\right\} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2$$

This means that it is "optimal" for the class of functions from  $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\mu > 0$ , or  $\mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In the particular case of  $\mu > 0$ , we have the following inequality for k sufficiently large:

$$\|m{x}_k - m{x}^*\|_2^2 \le rac{2L}{\mu} \left(1 - \sqrt{rac{\mu}{L}}
ight)^k \|m{x}_0 - m{x}^*\|_2^2$$

That means that the sequence  $\{\|\boldsymbol{x}_k-\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  converges Q-superlineary to zero.

Proof:

The first inequality follows from the previous theorem,  $f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \leq \langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2$ , and the fact that  $f'(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ .

For the case  $\mu = 0$ , the conclusion is obvious from Theorem 7.1.

Let us analyze first the case when  $\mu > 0$ . From Theorem 7.2, we know that we can find functions such that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \ge \frac{\mu}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{\sqrt{L/\mu} + 1} \right)^{2k} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 \ge \frac{\mu}{2} \exp\left( -\frac{4k}{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1} \right) \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2$$

where the second inequality follows from  $\ln(\frac{a-1}{a+1}) = -\ln(\frac{a+1}{a-1}) \ge 1 - \frac{a+1}{a-1} = -\frac{2}{a-1}$ , for  $a \in (1, +\infty)$ . Therefore, the worst case bound to find  $\boldsymbol{x}_k$  such that  $f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) < \varepsilon$  can not be better than

$$k > \frac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{4} \left( \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln \frac{\mu}{2} + 2\ln \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 \right).$$

On the other hand, from the above result

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le L \| \boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}} \right)^k \le L \| \boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 \exp\left( -\frac{k}{\sqrt{L/\mu}} \right),$$

where the second inequality follows from  $\ln(1-a) \leq -a$ , a < 1. Therefore, we can guarantee that  $k > \sqrt{L/\mu} \left( \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln L + 2 \ln \| \boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2 \right).$ 

Finally, for  $\mu > 0$ , since  $\frac{\mu}{2} \| \boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 + f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le f(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$  from the definition, we have the second inequality.

Now, instead of doing line search at Step 4 of the general scheme for the optimal gradient method, let us consider the constant step size iteration  $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} := \boldsymbol{y}_k - \frac{1}{L}f'(\boldsymbol{y}_k)$  (See proof of Theorem 9.5). From the calculations given at Exercise 2, we arrive to the following simplified scheme. Hereafter, we assume that  $L > \mu$  to exclude the trivial case  $L = \mu$  with finished in one iteration.

Observe that the sequences generated by the General Scheme and the Constant Step Scheme I for the Optimal Gradient Methods are different. However, the rate of convergence of the above method is similar to Theorem 9.6 for  $\gamma_0 := \alpha_0(\alpha_0 L - \mu)/(1 - \alpha_0)$ . If we further impose  $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0(\alpha_0 L - \mu)/(1 - \alpha_0) = L$ , we will have the rate of convergence of Theorem 9.7:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^{k+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le L \min\left\{\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}\right)^k, \frac{4}{(k+2)^2}\right\} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2$$

Finally, if  $\mu > 0$  and we choose  $\gamma_0 := \alpha_0 (\alpha_0 L - \mu)/(1 - \alpha_0) = \mu$ , we have a further simplification.

$$\alpha_k = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{L}}, \quad \beta_k = \frac{\sqrt{L} - \sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{\mu}}$$

and we end up with