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## Outline of the Lecture

This course introduces several basic concepts of mathematical optimization, probability and statistics, and is intended to provided key knowledge necessary for advanced study in Mathematical and Computing Sciences.
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## 1 Probability Space Revisited

## Def. 1.1

Probability Space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$
$\Omega$ : Sample space
Set of all possible outcomes (of a probabilistic phenomenon)
$\mathcal{F}: \sigma$-Field (or $\sigma$-algebra) on $\Omega$
Set of subsets of $\Omega$ on which probability is defined (detailed later)
$(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ : Measurable space
Event: An element of $\mathcal{F}$
P: Probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$
Set function from $\mathcal{F}$ to $[0,1]$ (detailed later)
$\mathrm{P}(A), A \in \mathcal{F}:$ Probability of event $A$

## Questions:

- Why is probability $P$ set function?
(Can not we assign the probability to each element of $\Omega$ ?)
- What is $\sigma$-field? Why is it necessary?


### 1.1 Discrete Probability Space

When $\Omega$ is a countable set; $\Omega=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, we can assign the value of probability to each element of $\Omega$

Def. 1.2 (Discrete Probability Space)
Probability (mass) function $p: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$ s.t. $\sum_{\omega_{i} \in \Omega} p\left(\omega_{i}\right)=1$ $\mathcal{F}=2^{\Omega}$ : Set of all subsets of $\Omega$

$$
\mathrm{P}(A)=\sum_{\omega_{i} \in A} p\left(\omega_{i}\right), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}
$$

## $1.2 \quad \sigma$-Fields

If we want to assign the value of probability to elements of uncountable sample space, e.g., $\Omega=[0,1]$, we can only assign positive values to at most countable number of elements.
$\Rightarrow$ Probability is defined by assigning values to subsets of $\Omega$.

Question: On which set of subsets $\mathcal{F}$, is probability P well defined?
(Domain of set function?)

## Requirements:

- $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ (Probability is assigned to $\Omega$ itself)
- $\mathcal{F}$ is closed w.r.t. set operations ( $\left.{ }^{c}, \cup, \cap\right)$
$A, B \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^{c} \in \mathcal{F}, A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}, A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$
$\sigma$-Fields satisfy these requirements.
Def. 1.3 ( $\sigma$-Field or $\sigma$-Algebra)
Set of subsets $\mathcal{F}$ of $\Omega$ is a $\sigma$-field (or $\sigma$-algebra) on $\Omega \Leftrightarrow$

1. ${ }^{\exists} A \subset \Omega$ s.t. $A \in \mathcal{F}$
2. $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^{c} \in \mathcal{F}$
3. $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i} \in \mathcal{F}$

## $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ : Measurable space

## Properties of $\sigma$-fields I

i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}, \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \cup B, A \cap B, A \backslash B\left(=A \cap B^{c}\right) \in \mathcal{F}$
iii) $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i} \in \mathcal{F}$

A $\sigma$-field is closed w.r.t. countably infinite set operations.

## Question

Why should the domain of P be closed w.r.t. countably infinite set operations?

## Properties of $\sigma$-fields II

iv) $\sigma$-fields are not unique for a sample space $\Omega$
v) For $\sigma$-fields $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{1} \cup \mathcal{F}_{2}$ may not be a $\sigma$-field
$A, B \subset \Omega(A \neq B), A \cup B \notin \mathcal{F}_{A} \cup \mathcal{F}_{B}$

## Lem. 1.1 (Uncountable intersection of $\sigma$-fields)

$\mathcal{X}$ : An uncountable set
$\mathcal{F}_{x}, x \in \mathcal{X}:$ A collection of $\sigma$-fields
$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{F}_{x}$ is a $\sigma$-field
Lem. 1.2 ( $\sigma$-Field generated by a given set of subsets)
$\mathcal{A}$ : Set of subsets of $\Omega$
$\Rightarrow$ The smallest $\sigma$-field containing $\mathcal{A}$ (intersection of all $\sigma$-fields containing $\mathcal{A}$ ), denoted by $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$, can be constructed.

### 1.3 Borel Fields

$\sigma$-Fields are not unique for a given $\Omega \Rightarrow$ Which $\sigma$-field should be chosen?

- $\Omega$ is countable $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}=2^{\Omega}$ (set of all subsets of $\Omega$ ) is sufficient.
- $\Omega$ is uncountable $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}=2^{\Omega}$ is not good! ( $2^{\Omega}$ includes unmeasurable sets)


## Def. 1.4 (Borel fields)

$(E, d)$ : Metric space
$\mathcal{E}$ : Set of all open subsets in $E$
Borel field $\mathcal{B}(E)$ on $E$ : $\sigma$-field $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ generated by $\mathcal{E}$
(smallest $\sigma$-field containing $\mathcal{E}$ )
(Borel field can be defined on a topological space)

## Borel field on $\mathbb{R}$

$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}): \sigma$-field generated by the set of all open intervals in $\mathbb{R}$

## Borel field on a function space

$D(\mathbb{R})$ : Set of right-continuous functions with left limits on $\mathbb{R}$
Borel field $\mathcal{B}(D(\mathbb{R}))$ is generated by the sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{f \in D(\mathbb{R}) \mid f\left(x_{1}\right) \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), f\left(x_{2}\right) \in\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right) \in\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right\} \\
n \in \mathbb{Z}, x_{i} \in \mathbb{R},-\infty<a_{i}<b_{i}<+\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{gathered}
$$

### 1.4 Measure and Probability

Def. 1.5 (Measure)
$\mu: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \Leftrightarrow$

1. ${ }^{\forall} A \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(A) \geq 0$
2. ${ }^{\exists} A \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\mu(A)<\infty$
3. $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset(i \neq j) \quad$ (mutually disjoint)

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ : Measure space

Remark $1.1 \mu(\emptyset)=0$

Lebesgue measure $\lambda$ on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$

1. $A=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right), a_{i}<b_{i}($ open interval $) \Rightarrow \lambda(A)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)$
2. $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \lambda(A)=\inf _{\mathcal{C}_{A}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{C}_{A}} \lambda(B)$, where $\mathcal{C}_{A}=\{$ Open intervals covering $A\}$

Counting measure $\nu$ : Measure s.t. $\nu(A) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{+}=\{0,1,2, \ldots,+\infty\}, A \in \mathcal{F}$ (Number of elements in $A$ )

Probability measure P: Measure s.t. $\mathrm{P}(\Omega)=1$

## Properties of measure

i) $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$
ii) $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}($ not necessarily disjoint $) \Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)$
iii) $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $A_{1} \subset A_{2} \subset \cdots \Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)$
iv) $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $A_{1} \supset A_{2} \supset \cdots \&{ }^{\exists} n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\mu\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty$
$\Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}\right)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)$
Remark 1.2 In iv), ${ }^{" \exists} n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\mu\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty "$ is necessary.

