- Comparing this result with the rate of convergence of the steepest descent, we see that the Newton method is much faster.
- Surprisingly, the region of *quadratic convergence* of the Newton method is almost the same as the region of the *linear convergence* of the gradient method.

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 < rac{2\ell}{M}$$
 (steepest descent method) $\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 < rac{2\ell}{3M}$ (Newton method)

• This justifies a standard recommendation to use the steepest descent method only at the initial stage of the minimization process in order to get close to a local minimum and then perform the Newton method to refine.

5.5 The Conjugate Gradient Methods

The conjugate gradient methods were initially proposed for minimizing convex quadratic functions. Consider the problem



with $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha + \langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{A} \succ \boldsymbol{O}$. Since its minimal solution is $\boldsymbol{x}^* = -\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{a}$, we can rewrite $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ as:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha - \langle \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$$
$$= \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^*), \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle$$

Thus, $f^* = \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{x}^* \rangle$ and $f'(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^*)$.

Definition 5.16 Given a starting point x_0 , the linear Krylov subspaces is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \operatorname{Lin}\{\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*), \dots, \boldsymbol{A}^k(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*)\}, \quad k \ge 1.$$

We claim temporarily that the sequence of points generated by a *conjugate gradient method* is defined as follows:

$$oldsymbol{x}_k = rgmin\{f(oldsymbol{x}) \mid oldsymbol{x} \in oldsymbol{x}_0 + \mathcal{L}_k\}, \ k \geq 1.$$

Lemma 5.17 For any $k \ge 1$, $\mathcal{L}_k = \text{Lin}\{f'(\boldsymbol{x}_0), \dots, f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1})\}.$

Proof:

Let us prove by induction hypothesis.

For k = 1, the statement is true since $f'(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*)$.

Suppose the claim is true for some $k \ge 1$. Then from the definition of the conjugate gradient method,

$$oldsymbol{x}_k = oldsymbol{x}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i oldsymbol{A}^i (oldsymbol{x}_0 - oldsymbol{x}^*)$$

with some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Therefore,

$$f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \boldsymbol{A}^{i+1}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*) = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{A}^{i+1}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*) + \lambda_k \boldsymbol{A}^{k+1}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*).$$

The first two terms of the last expression belongs to \mathcal{L}_k from the induction hypothesis. And then,

$$\operatorname{Lin}\{\mathcal{L}_k, f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\} \subseteq \operatorname{Lin}\{\mathcal{L}_k, \boldsymbol{A}^{k+1}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*)\} = \mathcal{L}_{k+1}.$$

If the equality does not hold, $f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \in \mathcal{L}_k$ implies $\boldsymbol{A}^{k+1}(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*) \in \mathcal{L}_k$, which again implies the equality, or $\lambda_k = 0$, which implies that $\boldsymbol{x}_k = \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}$ (algorithm terminated).

Lemma 5.18 For any $k, \ell \ge 0, k \ne \ell$, we have $\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_\ell) \rangle = 0$.

Proof: Let $k \ge i$, and consider

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f\left(\boldsymbol{x}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{j-1})\right).$$

From the previous lemma, there is a λ^* such that $\boldsymbol{x}_k = \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^* f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{j-1})$. Moreover, λ^* is the minimum of the function $\phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda_i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*) = \langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}) \rangle = 0.$$

Corollary 5.19 The sequence generated by the conjugate gradient method for the convex quadratic function is finite.

Proof:

Since the number of orthogonal directions in \mathbb{R}^n cannot exceed n.

Let us define $\delta_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$. It is clear that $\mathcal{L}_k = \text{Lin}\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}\}$ (Exercise 5).

Lemma 5.20 For any $k, \ell \geq 0, k \neq \ell, \langle A \delta_k, \delta_\ell \rangle = 0.$

Proof: Let $k > \ell$. Then

$$\langle \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{k},\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\ell}\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}-\boldsymbol{x}_{k}),\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\ell}\rangle = \langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) - f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}),\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell+1}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell}\rangle = 0,$$

due to Lemma 5.18.

The vectors $\{\delta_i\}$ are called *conjugate* with respect to matrix A.

Now, let us be more precise with the conjugate gradient method. We will define the next iterations as follows:

$$oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = oldsymbol{x}_k - h_k f'(oldsymbol{x}_k) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_j oldsymbol{\delta}_j$$

Using the previous properties, we arrive that (see Exercise 6)

$$\lambda_j = 0, \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2), \quad \lambda_{k-1} = \frac{h_k \|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2}{\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k-1} \rangle}.$$
(9)

Thus

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_k - h_k \boldsymbol{p}_k$$

where

$$m{p}_k = f'(m{x}_k) - rac{\|f'(m{x}_k)\|_2^2m{p}_{k-1}}{\langle f'(m{x}_k) - f'(m{x}_{k-1}), m{p}_{k-1}
angle}.$$

Finally, we can present the Conjugate Gradient Method

Conjugate Gradient MethodStep 0:Let $\boldsymbol{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, compute $f(\boldsymbol{x}_0), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$ and set $\boldsymbol{p}_0 := f'(\boldsymbol{x}_0), k := 0$ Step 1:Find $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} := \boldsymbol{x}_k - h_k \boldsymbol{p}_k$ by "approximate line search" on the scalar h_k Step 2:Compute $f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1})$ and $f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1})$ Step 3:Compute the coefficient β_{k+1} Step 4:Set $p_{k+1} := f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) - \beta_{k+1} \boldsymbol{p}_k, k := k+1$ and go to Step 1

The most popular choices for the coefficient β_k are:

1. Hestenes-Stiefel (1952):
$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) - f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \rangle}{\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) - f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k), \boldsymbol{p}_k \rangle}.$$

- 2. Fletcher-Reeves (1964): $\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1})\|_2^2}{\|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2}.$
- 3. Polak-Ribière: $\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \rangle}{\|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2}.$
- 4. Polak-Ribière plus: $\beta_{k+1} = \max\left\{0, \frac{\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}) \rangle}{\|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}}\right\}.$
- 5. Dai-Yuan (1999): $\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1})\|_2^2}{\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}) f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k), \boldsymbol{p}_k \rangle}.$

5.6 Quasi-Newton Methods

The basic idea of the quasi-Newton methods is to approximate the Hessian matrix (or its inverse) which we need to compute in the Newton method. There are of course infinitely many ways to do so, but we choose the ones which satisfy the *secant equation*:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{k+1}\boldsymbol{y}_k = \boldsymbol{s}_k$$

where $y_k = f'(x_{k+1}) - f'(x_k), \ s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k.$

The general scheme of the quasi-Newton method is as follows.

Quasi-Newton Method	
Step 0:	Let $\boldsymbol{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \boldsymbol{H}_0 := \boldsymbol{I}, k := 0$. Compute $f(\boldsymbol{x}_0), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$
Step 1:	Set $\boldsymbol{p}_k := \boldsymbol{H}_k f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$
Step 2:	Find $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1} := \boldsymbol{x}_k - h_k \boldsymbol{p}_k$ by "approximate line search" on the scalar h_k
Step 3:	Compute $f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1})$ and $f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1})$
Step 4:	Compute \boldsymbol{H}_{k+1} from $\boldsymbol{H}_k, k := k+1$ and go to Step 1

The most popular updates for H_{k+1} are:

1. BFGS (Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno)

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} := \left(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{s}_k(oldsymbol{y}_k)^T}{\langleoldsymbol{s}_k,oldsymbol{y}_k
angle}
ight)oldsymbol{H}_k\left(oldsymbol{I} - rac{oldsymbol{y}_k(oldsymbol{s}_k)^T}{\langleoldsymbol{s}_k,oldsymbol{y}_k
angle}
ight) + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k(oldsymbol{s}_k)^T}{\langleoldsymbol{s}_k,oldsymbol{y}_k
angle}$$

2. DFP (Davidon-Fletcher-Powell)

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} := oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{oldsymbol{s}_k(oldsymbol{s}_k)^T}{\langleoldsymbol{y}_k,oldsymbol{s}_k
angle} - rac{oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k(oldsymbol{y}_k)^Toldsymbol{H}_k}{\langleoldsymbol{y}_k,oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k
angle}$$

3. Symmetric-Rank-One

$$oldsymbol{H}_{k+1} := oldsymbol{H}_k + rac{(oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k)(oldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k)^T}{\langleoldsymbol{s}_k - oldsymbol{H}_koldsymbol{y}_k,oldsymbol{y}_k
angle}$$

In the same way for the conjugate gradient method, we can show that the quasi-Newton method converges in finite number of iterations for a strictly convex quadratic function. Moreover, under some strict convexity conditions at the neighborhood of the local minimum, it is possible to show that its iterates converge super-linearly [Nocedal].

5.7 Exercises

1. Give a geometric interpretation of the following step-size strategies:

Let $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$,

• Wolfe condition

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_k - hf'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)) \le f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - c_1 h \|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2, \ \langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k - hf'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k) \rangle \le c_2 \|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_k)\|_2^2.$$

• Strong Wolfe condition

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k} - hf'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}) - c_{1}h \|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}, \\ |\langle f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k} - hf'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})), f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\rangle| \leq c_{2}\|f'(\boldsymbol{x}_{k})\|_{2}^{2}$$

2. Consider a sequence $\{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ which converges to zero.

The sequence is said to converge *Q*-linearly if there exists a scalar $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\left|\frac{\beta_{k+1}}{\beta_k}\right| \le \rho,$$

for all k sufficiently large. *Q*-superlinear convergence occurs when we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{\beta_k} = 0,$$

while the convergence is Q-quadratic if there is a constant C such that

$$\frac{|\beta_{k+1}|}{\beta_k^2} \le C$$

for all k sufficiently large. *Q*-superquadratic convergence is indicated by

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{\beta_k^2} = 0.$$

(a) Show that the following implications are valid: Q-superquadratic \Rightarrow Q-quadratic \Rightarrow Q-quadratic \Rightarrow Q-superlinear \Rightarrow Q-linear.

(b) Give examples of sequences which do not imply the opposite directions in the three cases above.

A zero converging sequence $\{\beta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is said to converge *R*-linearly if it is dominated by a Q-linearly converging sequence. That is, if there is a Q-linearly converging sequence $\{\hat{\beta}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that $0 \leq |\beta_k| \leq \hat{\beta}_k$.

(c) Give a sequence which is R-linearly converging but not Q-linearly converging.

- 3. Let $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{x}$ such that \boldsymbol{Q} is symmetric, and indefinite. Apply the steepest descent method with constant step. Show that if the starting point \boldsymbol{x}_0 belongs to the space spanned by the negative eigenvectors, the sequence generated by the steepest descent method diverges.
- 4. In light of Theorem 5.15, show that under Assumption 5.14, if we want to obtain $\|\boldsymbol{x}_k \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2 < \varepsilon$, we need an order of $\ln(\ln \varepsilon^{-1})$ iterations for the Newton method.
- 5. In the Section 5.5, show that $\mathcal{L}_k = \{ \boldsymbol{\delta}_0, \boldsymbol{\delta}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k-1} \}.$
- 6. In the same section, arrive at the expression (9) for a strictly convex quadratic function.
- 7. Show that the secant equation is valid for BFGS, DFP and symmetric-rank-one formulae.
- 8. Given $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a non-singular matrix $\boldsymbol{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, if $1 + \boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u} \neq 0$, then the following formula is valid:

$$(\boldsymbol{M} + \boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v}^T)^{-1} = \boldsymbol{M}^{-1} - \frac{\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}}{1 + \boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u}}.$$
 (Sherman-Morrison formula)

Apply this formula to compute the inverses B_{k+1} of H_{k+1} for BFGS, DFP and symmetric-rank-one formulae.

9. Apply the quasi-Newton method with BFGS, DFP, and Symmetric-Rank-One updates for the strictly convex function $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha + \langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle$ with $\boldsymbol{A} \succ \boldsymbol{O}$.

6 Differentiable Convex Functions

Definition 6.1 A continuously differentiable function $f(\mathbf{x})$ is called *convex* on \mathbb{R}^n (notation $\mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$) if

$$f(oldsymbol{y}) \geq f(oldsymbol{x}) + \langle f'(oldsymbol{x}), oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{x}
angle, \quad orall oldsymbol{x}, oldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

if $-f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is convex, $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is called *concave*.

Theorem 6.2 If $f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f'(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$, then \mathbf{x}^* is the global minimum of $f(\mathbf{x})$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof: Left for exercise.

Lemma 6.3 If $f \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\boldsymbol{A} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, then

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}) \in \mathcal{F}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof: Left for exercise.

Example 6.4 The following functions are differentiable and convex:

1.
$$f(x) = e^{x}$$

2. $f(x) = |x|^{p}, \quad p > 1$
3. $f(x) = \frac{x^{2}}{1+|x|}$
4. $f(x) = |x| - \ln(1+|x|)$
5. $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{\alpha_{i} + \langle \boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle}$
6. $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\langle \boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle - b_{i}|^{p}, \quad p > 1$