

Let us evaluate the result of one step of the gradient method.

Consider  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - hf'(\mathbf{x})$ . From Lemma 1.4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{y}) &\leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle f'(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \\ &= f(\mathbf{x}) - h\|f'(\mathbf{x})\|^2 + \frac{h^2L}{2} \|f'(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \\ &= f(\mathbf{x}) - h \left(1 - \frac{h}{2}L\right) \|f'(\mathbf{x})\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Thus, one step of the gradient method decreases the value of the objective function at least as follows for  $h^* = 1/L$ .

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2L} \|f'(\mathbf{x})\|^2.$$

Now, since  $f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) = f(\mathbf{x}_k - h_k f'(\mathbf{x}_k))$ , consider the **Goldstein-Armijo Rule** previously described.

$$f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \leq \beta h_k \|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2,$$

and from (1.3)

$$f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \geq h_k \left(1 - \frac{h_k}{2}L\right) \|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2.$$

Therefore,  $h_k \geq 2(1 - \beta)/L$ .

Also, substituting in

$$f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \geq \alpha h_k \|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2 \geq \frac{2}{L} \alpha (1 - \beta) \|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2.$$

Thus, in all three step-size strategies mentioned here, we can say that

$$f(\mathbf{x}_k) - f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) \geq \frac{\omega}{L} \|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2$$

for some positive constant  $\omega$ .

Summing up the above inequality we have:

$$\frac{\omega}{L} \sum_{k=0}^N \|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2 \leq f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f(\mathbf{x}_{N+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*$$

where  $f^*$  is the optimal value of the problem.

As a simple consequence we have

$$\|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally,

$$g_N^* \equiv \min_{0 \leq k \leq N} \|f'(\mathbf{x}_k)\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega} L (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*) \right]^{1/2}. \tag{1.4}$$

**Remark 1.5.1**  $g_N^* \rightarrow 0$ , but we cannot say anything about the rate of convergence of the sequence  $\{f(\mathbf{x}_k)\}$  or  $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$ .

**Example 1.5.2** Consider the function  $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}y^4 - \frac{1}{2}y^2$ .  $(0, -1)^T$  and  $(0, 1)^T$  are local minimal solutions, but  $(0, 0)^T$  is a stationary point.

If we start the gradient method from  $(1, 0)^T$ , we will only converge to the stationary point.

We focus now on the following problem class:

<b>Model:</b>	1. Unconstrained minimization 2. $f \in C_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 3. $f(\mathbf{x})$ is bounded from below
<b>Oracle:</b>	First-order black box
<b><math>\varepsilon</math>-solution:</b>	$f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , $\ f'(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\  < \epsilon$

From (1.4), we have

$$g_N^* < \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad N + 1 > \frac{L}{\omega \varepsilon^2} (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*).$$

**Remark 1.5.3** This is much better than the result of Theorem 1.2.3, since *it does not depend on  $n$* .

Finally, consider the following problem under Assumption 1.5.4.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x})$$

**Assumption 1.5.4**

1.  $f \in C_M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
2. There is a local minimum of the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  at which its Hessian is positive definite.
3. We know some bound  $0 < \ell \leq L < \infty$  for the Hessian at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ :

$$\ell \mathbf{I} \preceq f''(\mathbf{x}^*) \preceq L \mathbf{I}.$$

4. Our starting point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is close enough to  $\mathbf{x}^*$ .

**Theorem 1.5.5** Let  $f(\mathbf{x})$  satisfy our assumptions above and let the starting point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be close enough to a local minimum:

$$r_0 = \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \bar{r} = \frac{2\ell}{M}.$$

Then, the gradient method with step-size  $h^* = 2/(L + \ell)$  converges as follows:

$$\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\| \leq \frac{\bar{r}r_0}{\bar{r} - r_0} \left(1 - \frac{2\ell}{L + 3\ell}\right)^k.$$

This rate of convergence is called (R-)linear.

*Proof:* In the gradient method, the iterates are  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - h_k f'(\mathbf{x}_k)$ . Since  $f'(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ ,

$$f'(\mathbf{x}_k) = f'(\mathbf{x}_k) - f'(\mathbf{x}^*) = \int_0^1 f''(\mathbf{x}^* + \tau(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*))(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*) d\tau = \mathbf{G}_k(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*),$$

and therefore,

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^* - h_k \mathbf{G}_k(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*) = (\mathbf{I} - h_k \mathbf{G}_k)(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*).$$

Let  $r_k = \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|$ . From Lemma 1.4.6,

$$f''(\mathbf{x}^*) - \tau M r_k \mathbf{I} \preceq f''(\mathbf{x}^* + \tau(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*)) \preceq f''(\mathbf{x}^*) + \tau M r_k \mathbf{I}.$$

Integrating all parts from 0 to 1 and using our hypothesis,

$$(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2} M) \mathbf{I} \preceq \mathbf{G}_k \preceq (L + \frac{r_k}{2} M) \mathbf{I}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(1 - h_k(L + \frac{r_k}{2} M)\right) \mathbf{I} \preceq \mathbf{I} - h_k \mathbf{G}_k \preceq \left(1 - h_k(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2} M)\right) \mathbf{I}.$$

We arrive at

$$\|\mathbf{I} - h_k \mathbf{G}_k\| \leq \max\{a_k(h_k), b_k(h_k)\}$$

where  $a_k(h) = 1 - h(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2} M)$  and  $b_k(h) = h(L + \frac{r_k}{2} M) - 1$ .

Notice that  $a_k(0) = 1$  and  $b_k(0) = -1$ .

Now, let us use our hypothesis that  $r_0 < \bar{r}$ .

When  $a_k(h) = b_k(h)$ , we have  $1 - h(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2} M) = h(L + \frac{r_k}{2} M) - 1$ , and therefore

$$h_k^* = \frac{2}{L + \ell}.$$

(Surprisingly, it does not depend neither on  $M$  nor  $r_k$ ). Finally,

$$r_{k+1} = \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \leq \left(1 - \frac{2}{L + \ell} \left(\ell - \frac{r_k}{2} M\right)\right) \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|.$$

That is,

$$r_{k+1} \leq \left(\frac{L - \ell}{L + \ell} + \frac{r_k M}{L + \ell}\right) r_k.$$

and  $r_{k+1} < r_k < \bar{r}$ .

Now, let us analyze the rate of convergence. Multiplying the above inequality by  $M/(L + \ell)$ ,

$$\frac{M r_{k+1}}{L + \ell} \leq \frac{M(L - \ell)}{(L + \ell)^2} r_k + \frac{M^2 r_k^2}{(L + \ell)^2}.$$

Calling  $\alpha_k = \frac{M r_k}{L + \ell}$  and  $q = \frac{2\ell}{L + \ell}$ , we have

$$\alpha_{k+1} \leq (1 - q)\alpha_k + \alpha_k^2 = \alpha_k(1 + \alpha_k - q) = \frac{\alpha_k(1 - (\alpha_k - q)^2)}{1 - (\alpha_k - q)}. \quad (1.5)$$

Now, since  $r_k < \frac{2\ell}{M}$ ,  $\alpha_k - q = \frac{Mr_k}{L+\ell} - \frac{2\ell}{L+\ell} < 0$ , and  $1 + (\alpha_k - q) = \frac{L-\ell}{L+\ell} + \frac{Mr_k}{L+\ell} > 0$ . Therefore,  $-1 < \alpha_k - q < 0$ , and (1.5) becomes  $\leq \frac{\alpha_k}{1+q-\alpha_k}$ .

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{k+1}} \geq \frac{1+q}{\alpha_k} - 1.$$

$$\frac{q}{\alpha_{k+1}} - 1 \geq \frac{q(1+q)}{\alpha_k} - q - 1 = (1+q) \left( \frac{q}{\alpha_k} - 1 \right).$$

and then,

$$\frac{q}{\alpha_k} - 1 \geq (1+q)^k \left( \frac{q}{\alpha_0} - 1 \right) = (1+q)^k \left( \frac{2\ell}{L+\ell} \frac{L+\ell}{Mr_0} - 1 \right) = (1+q)^k \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{r_0} - 1 \right).$$

Finally, we arrive at

$$r_k = \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\| \leq \frac{\bar{r}r_0}{\bar{r} - r_0} \left( 1 - \frac{2\ell}{L+3\ell} \right)^k.$$

■

## 1.6 The Newton method

**Example 1.6.1** Let us apply the Newton method to find the root of the following function

$$\phi(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}.$$

Clearly  $t^* = 0$ .

The Newton method will give:

$$t_{k+1} = t_k - \frac{\phi(t_k)}{\phi'(t_k)} = t_k - t_k(1+t_k^2) = -t_k^3.$$

Therefore, the method converges if  $|t_0| < 1$ , it oscillates if  $|t_0| = 1$ , and finally, diverges if  $|t_0| > 1$ .

### Assumption 1.6.2

1.  $f \in C_M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
2. There is a local minimum of the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  at which its Hessian is positive definite:

$$f''(\mathbf{x}^*) \succeq \ell \mathbf{I}, \quad \ell > 0.$$

3. Our starting point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is close enough to  $\mathbf{x}^*$ .

**Theorem 1.6.3** Let the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  satisfy the above assumptions. Suppose that the initial starting point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is close enough to  $\mathbf{x}^*$ :

$$\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \bar{r} \equiv \frac{2\ell}{3M}.$$

Then  $\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \bar{r}$  for all  $k$  of the Newton method and it converges quadratically:

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \leq \frac{M\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2}{2(\ell - M\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|)}.$$

*Proof:* Consider the Newton method  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - [f''(\mathbf{x}_k)]^{-1}f'(\mathbf{x}_k)$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^* &= \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^* - [f''(\mathbf{x}_k)]^{-1}f'(\mathbf{x}_k) \\ &= \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^* - [f''(\mathbf{x}_k)]^{-1} \int_0^1 f''(\mathbf{x}^* + \tau(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*))(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*)d\tau \\ &= [f''(\mathbf{x}_k)]^{-1} \mathbf{G}_k(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{G}_k = \int_0^1 [f''(\mathbf{x}_k) - f''(\mathbf{x}^* + \tau(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*))]d\tau$ .

Let  $r_k = \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{G}_k\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 [f''(\mathbf{x}_k) - f''(\mathbf{x}^* + \tau(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*))]d\tau \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|f''(\mathbf{x}_k) - f''(\mathbf{x}^* + \tau(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*))\|d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^1 M|1 - \tau|r_k d\tau = \frac{r_k}{2}M. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1.4.6 and from the hypothesis

$$f''(\mathbf{x}_k) \succeq f''(\mathbf{x}^*) - Mr_k \mathbf{I} \succeq (\ell - Mr_k) \mathbf{I}.$$

For  $r_0 < \bar{r} = \frac{2\ell}{3M} < \frac{\ell}{M}$ ,

$$\|[f''(\mathbf{x}_0)]^{-1}\| \leq (\ell - Mr_0)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$r_1 \leq \frac{Mr_0^2}{2(\ell - Mr_0)}.$$

Since  $r_0 < \bar{r}$ ,  $\frac{Mr_0}{2(\ell - Mr_0)} < \frac{\ell}{3(\ell - Mr_0)} < 1$ , and  $r_1 < r_0$ . This argument is valid for all  $k$ 's. ■

- Comparing this result with the rate of convergence of the gradient method, we see that the Newton method is much faster.
- Surprisingly, the region of *quadratic convergence* of the Newton method is almost the same as the region of the *linear convergence* of the gradient method.

$$\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \frac{2\ell}{M} \quad (\text{gradient method}) \quad \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| < \frac{2\ell}{3M} \quad (\text{Newton method})$$

- This justifies a standard recommendation to use the gradient method only at the initial stage of the minimization process in order to get close to a local minimum and then perform the Newton method to refine.