Locality Preserving Projection²³

- PCA: Find a subspace which well describes the data.
- However, PCA can miss some interesting structures such as clusters.
- Another idea: Find a subspace which well preserves "local structures" in the data.
- This could be achieved by embedding the data so that two close points are kept close.

Similarity Matrix

Define W so that the "similar" x_i and x_j are, the larger $W_{i,j}$ is.

Assumptions on W:

- Symmetric: $oldsymbol{W}_{i,j} = oldsymbol{W}_{j,i}$
- Positive entries: $\boldsymbol{W}_{i,j} \geq 0$
- Invertible: $\exists W^{-1}$

W is often called the similarity matrix or affinity matrix

Examples of Similarity Matrix ²⁵

Distance-based:

$$W_{i,j} = \exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2)$$

Nearest-neighbor-based:

 $W_{i,j} = 1$ if x_i is a k-nearest neighbor of x_j or x_j is a k-nearest neighbor of x_i . Otherwise $W_{i,j} = 0$.

Combination of two is also possible.

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \exp(-\|\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j\|^2) \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

LPP Criterion

Embedding two close points as close:

$$\min_{oldsymbol{B}\in\mathbb{R}^{m imes d}}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \|oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{x}_i-oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{x}_j\|^2oldsymbol{W}_{i,j}
ight],$$

Exercise:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\|^{2} \boldsymbol{W}_{i,j} = 2 \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{L}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{B}^{\top})$$

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{L} &= oldsymbol{D} - oldsymbol{W} \ oldsymbol{X} &= (oldsymbol{x}_1 | oldsymbol{x}_2 | \cdots | oldsymbol{x}_n) & oldsymbol{D} &= ext{diag}(\sum_{j=1}^n oldsymbol{W}_{i,j}) \end{aligned}$$

$$Constraint$$
$$\min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}} \left[\operatorname{tr}(BXLX^{\top}B^{\top}) \right]$$
$$Since BXLX^{\top}B^{\top} \text{ is positive semi-definite,}$$
$$\min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}} \left[\operatorname{tr}(BXLX^{\top}B^{\top}) \right] = 0$$

A trivial solution exists: B = OTo avoid this, we impose some constraint: $BXDX^{\top}B^{\top} = I_m$

28 LPP Embedding $\boldsymbol{B}_{LPP} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}} \left[\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{B}^{\top}) \right]$ subject to $\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{B}^{\top} = \boldsymbol{I}_{m}$ Previous homework gives a solution: $\boldsymbol{B}_{LPP} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_{d-m+1} | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{d-m+2} | \cdots | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{d})^{\top}$ $\{\lambda_i, \psi_i\}_{i=1}^m$: Generalized eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $XLX^{\top}\psi = \lambda XDX^{\top}\psi$ $(\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_d) \quad (\langle \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{X}^\top \boldsymbol{\psi}_i, \boldsymbol{\psi}_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j})$ **LPP** Embedding of a sample x': $z' = B_{LPP} x'$

29 Examples Blue: PCA Green: LPP 1.5 0.5 1 0.5 0 0 -0.5 -0.5 -1 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 _1 0 1 0 -1

- LPP can describe the data well, and also it preserves cluster structure.
- LPP is intuitive, easy to implement, analytic solution available, and fast.

Examples (cont.)

- Embedding handwritten numerals from 3 to 8.
- Each image consists of 16x16 pixels.



Examples (cont.)

31

LPP can find slightly clear clusters than PCA.



Drawback

Blue: PCA Green: LPP



Rescaling of the data affects the results of LPP (as well as PCA).
 "cm vs. kg" and "m vs. kg" can differ.

Homework

- Data visualization: Embed your data sets into 2- or 3-dimensional subspace by PCA or LPP.
- 2. Data mining: Find something "interesting" from the visualized data.

Have a nice Golden Week!