# Pattern Information Processing 

 パターン情報処理Masashi Sugiyama
（Department of Computer Science）杉山 将（計算工学専攻）

Contact：W8E－505 sugi＠cs．titech．ac．jp
http：／／sugiyama－www．cs．titech．ac．jp／～sugi／

# Diagram of Supervised Learning ${ }^{2}$ 



Model is a set of functions from which $\hat{f}(x)$ is searched.

## Notation

$\square f(x)$ :Learning target function
$\square \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ :Domain of $f(\boldsymbol{x})$
$\square \boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ :Training input point $\boldsymbol{x}_{i} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} p(\boldsymbol{x})$
$\square y_{i}=f\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i} \quad$ :Training output value
$\square \epsilon_{i}$ :zero-mean noise $\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \epsilon_{i}=0$
$\left.\square\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ :Training examples
$\square \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ :Learned function
$\square \mathcal{M}$ :Model

## 3 Important Problems

$J=\int_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\hat{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t e s t}\right)-f\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t e s t}\right)\right)^{2} p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t e s t}\right) d \boldsymbol{x}$
$\square$ Active learning: $\min _{\{x, n} J$

$$
\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}
$$

Model selection: $\min _{\mathcal{M}} J$

■ Learning method: min $J$ $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{M}$

## Today's Plan

-Linear models / Kernel models

- Least-squares learning
- Justification in realizable cases
- Justification in unrealizable cases


## Linear/Non-Linear Models

- Model is a set of functions from which learning result functions are searched.
- We use a family of functions $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ parameterized by

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right)^{\top}
$$

$\square$ Linear model: $\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is linear w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
■ Non-linear model: Otherwise

## Linear Models

$$
\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} \varphi_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

$\square\left\{\varphi_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})\right\}_{i=1}^{p}$ :Linearly independent functions For example, when $d=1$

- Polynomial

$$
1, x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{p-1}
$$

- Trigonometric polynomial

$$
1, \sin x, \cos x, \ldots, \sin k x, \cos k x
$$

$$
p=2 k+1
$$

## Multi-Dimensional Linear Models ${ }^{8}$

- For multidimensional input $d>1$, tensor product could be used.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{p^{\prime}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{p^{\prime}} \cdots \sum_{i_{d}=1}^{p^{\prime}} \\
\alpha_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{d}} \varphi_{i_{1}}\left(x^{(1)}\right) \varphi_{i_{2}}\left(x^{(2)} \cdots \varphi_{i_{d}}\left(x^{(d)}\right)\right. \\
\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(d)}\right)^{\top}
\end{gathered}
$$

The number of parameters is $p=\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{d}$, which increases exponentially w.r.t. $d$.
$\square$ Infeasible for large $d$ !

## Additive Models

For large $d$, we have to reduce the number of parameters.

- Additive model:

$$
\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{p^{\prime}} \alpha_{i, j} \varphi_{i}\left(x^{(j)}\right)
$$

$\square$ The number of parameters is only $p=d p^{\prime}$.
$\square$ However, this is too simple so its representation capability may not be rich enough in some application.

## Kernel Models

Linear model:
$\left\{\varphi_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})\right\}_{i=1}^{p}$ do not depend on $\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$
$\square$ Kernel model:

$$
\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} K\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)
$$

$\square K\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$ :Kernel function
e.g., Gaussian kernel

$$
K\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{2 c^{2}}\right)
$$

## Kernel Models (cont.)

Put kernel functions at training input points.


# Kernel Models (cont.) 

$$
\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} K\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)
$$

- The number of parameters is $n$, which is independent of the input dimensionality $d$.
$\square$ Although kernel model is linear, the number of parameters depends on the number of parameters.
$\square$ For this reason, mathematical treatment could be different from ordinary linear models (e.g., called non-parametric models in statistics).


# Summary of Linear Models 

- Tensor product

High flexibility, high complexity
$\square$ Additive model
Low flexibility, low complexity
■ Kernel model
Middle flexibility, middle complexity

## Learning Methods

- Linear learning methods:

Parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right)^{\top}$ is estimated linearly w.r.t.

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{\top}
$$

Non-linear learning methods: Otherwise

# Linear Learning for <br> <br> Linear and Kernel Models 

 <br> <br> Linear and Kernel Models}

$$
\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} \varphi_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

$\square$ In linear learning methods, a learned parameter vector is given by

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{y} \quad \boldsymbol{L}: \text { Learning matrix }
$$

$\square \boldsymbol{X}_{i, j}=\varphi_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$ :Design matrix
$\square$ Suppose $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{X})=p$

## Least-Squares Learning

Try to make the output $\hat{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$ as close to $y_{i}$ as possible:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L S}=\underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J_{L S}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \\
& J_{L S}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Using the design matrix,

$$
J_{L S}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=\|\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{y}\|^{2}
$$

## How to Obtain Solutions

Saddle-point equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla J_{L S}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L S}\right)=2 \boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L S}-\boldsymbol{y}\right)=0 \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L S}=\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, LS is linear learning.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L S}=\boldsymbol{L}_{L S} \boldsymbol{y} \\
& \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{L S}=\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Justification of LS

- Realizable: $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is included in the model.

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i}^{*} \varphi_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

Generalization error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J= \int_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{x})-f(\boldsymbol{x}))^{2} p(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x} \\
&=\left\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{U}}^{2} \\
& \quad U_{i, j}=\int_{\mathcal{D}} \varphi_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \varphi_{j}(\boldsymbol{x}) p(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bias/Variance Decomposition

Expected generalization error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} J & =\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\left\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{U}}^{2} \\
& =\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\left\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}-\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{U}}^{2}}_{\text {Variance }}+\underbrace{\left\|\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{U}}^{2}}_{\text {Bias }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}:$ Expectation over noise

## Unbiasedness and BLUE

- Unbiased estimator:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}
$$

■ Best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE): A linear estimator which has the smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimators.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\left\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{B L U E}-\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{B L U E}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\left\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L U}-\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L U}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any linear unbiased estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L U}$
$\square$ When $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is realizable, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{L S}$ is unbiased.
$\square$ When realizable and iid noise, it is BLUE.

## Efficiency

The Cramer-Rao lower bound: Lower bound of the variance of all (possibly non-linear) unbiased estimators.

- Efficient estimator: An unbiased estimator whose variance attains Cramer-Rao bound.
- For the linear regression model, CramerRao bound is

$$
\sigma^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1}\right)_{\boldsymbol{U}}
$$

$\square$ When $\epsilon_{i} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, LS is efficient.

## Justification of LS <br> (Unrealizable Cases)

22
$\square$ Unrealizable: $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is not included in the model. $f(\boldsymbol{x})=g(\boldsymbol{x})+r(\boldsymbol{x})$


# Asymptotic Unbiasedness and ${ }^{23}$ Efficiency 

- Asymptotically unbiased estimator:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

$\square$ Asymptotically efficient estimator: An unbiased estimator whose variance asymptotically attains Cramer-Rao's lower bound.
LS estimator is asymptotically unbiased.
$\square$ When $\epsilon_{i} \stackrel{i . i . d}{\sim} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, LS estimator is asymptotically efficient.

## Example of LS

$$
\hat{f}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} \varphi_{i}(x)
$$

- Trigonometric polynomial model $1, \sin x, \cos x, \ldots, \sin 15 x, \cos 15 x \quad(p=31)$


Small noise


Large noise

