Proof:
Left for exercise. ∎

Corollary 5.22 Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function. Then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{2,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$L\boldsymbol{I} \succeq \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \succeq \mu \boldsymbol{I}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof:
Left for exercise. ∎

Theorem 5.23 If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{1,1}_{\mu,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\frac{\mu L}{\mu + L}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\mu+L}\|\boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2 \leq \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle, \ \forall \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof:
If $\mu = L$, from Theorem 5.18 and the definition of $\mathcal{C}^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle &\geq \frac{\mu}{2}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\mu}{2}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu}\|\boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2,\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

If $\mu < L$, let us define $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{\mu}{2}\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2$. Then $\boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \mu\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle - \mu\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 \leq (L-\mu)\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2$ since $f \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also $\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle \geq \mu\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 - \mu\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 = 0$ due to Theorem 5.18. Therefore, from Theorem 5.13, $\phi \in \mathcal{F}^{1,1}_{L-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We have now $\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle \geq \frac{1}{L-\mu}\|\boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2$ from Theorem 5.13. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle &\geq \mu\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{L-\mu}\|\boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \mu(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2 \\ &= \mu\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{L-\mu}\|\boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2^2 - \frac{2\mu}{L-\mu}\langle \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{y}), \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu^2}{L-\mu}\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2,\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows after some simplifications. ∎

5.5 Extended Real-Valued Functions

Only at this subsection, we adopt the following rule:

$$0 \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (-\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot 0 = 0. \tag{10}$$

Definition 5.24 A function that can take values $-\infty$ or $+\infty$ is called an *extended real-valued function.* That is $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$. We can also denote $[-\infty, +\infty]$ by $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. The *domain* of this function is defined by the set $\mathrm{dom}(f) = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(\boldsymbol{x}) < +\infty\}$.

Example 5.25 For an arbitrary set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the *indicator function* of S is defined by the following extended real-valued function:

$$\delta_S(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \boldsymbol{x} \in S, \\ +\infty, & \boldsymbol{x} \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.26 A function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ is called *proper* if it does not attain the value $-\infty$ and $\text{dom}(f) \neq \emptyset$. This function is called *closed* if its epigraph is a closed set.

Definition 5.27 A function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ is called *lower semicontinuous at* $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \lim \inf_{n\to\infty} f(\boldsymbol{x}_n)$$

for any sequence $\{\boldsymbol{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for which $\boldsymbol{x}_n \to \boldsymbol{x}$. Therefore, a function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ is called *lower semicontinous* if it is lower semicontinuous at each point of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 5.28 Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. f is lower semicontinuous.
2. f is closed.
3. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the λ-level sets L_λ of f (see Theorem 5.3) are closed.

Proof:
$\boxed{1\Rightarrow 2}$ We need to show that the epigraph E of f is closed. Let $\{\boldsymbol{x}_n, y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\boldsymbol{x}_n, y_n) \in E$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $(\boldsymbol{x}_n, y_n) \to (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{y})$. Then $f(\boldsymbol{x}_n) \leq y_n$ and taking the limit inferior on both sides of the inequality:

$$f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) \leq \lim \inf_{n\to\infty} f(\boldsymbol{x}_n) \leq \lim \inf_{n\to\infty} y_n = \bar{y},$$

which shows that $(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \bar{y}) \in E$.
$\boxed{2\Rightarrow 3}$ If $L_\lambda = \emptyset$, there is nothing to do. Therefore, suppose that theres is sequence $\{\boldsymbol{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq L_\lambda$ that converges to $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$. That is $f(\boldsymbol{x}_n) \leq \lambda$ and since the epigraph of f is closed, $(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}, \lambda) \in E$, and therefore, $f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) \leq \lambda$ which implies that $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \in L_\lambda$.
$\boxed{3\Rightarrow 1}$ Suppose to the contrary that f is not lower semicontinuous. That is, there exists $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$, a sequence $\{\boldsymbol{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}_n \to \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$, and $\lim \inf_{n\to\infty} f(\boldsymbol{x}_n) < f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})$. Consider $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim \inf_{n\to\infty} f(\boldsymbol{x}_n) < \lambda < f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}). \tag{11}$$

Therefore, we can consider a subsequence $\{\boldsymbol{x}_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $f(\boldsymbol{x}_{n_k}) < \lambda$ and then $\boldsymbol{x}_{n_k} \in L_\lambda$. Since the λ-level sets are closed, $\boldsymbol{x}_{n_k} \to \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \in L_\lambda$ and $f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) \leq \lambda$ contradicting (11). ∎

Definition 5.29 An extended real-valued function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ is called *convex* if its epigraph is a convex set.

Therefore, we can show that the a proper extended real-valued function is a convex function if and only if it satisfies the condition for usual functions (Definition 5.1) using the rule (10).

Theorem 5.30

1. Let $f_i\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ $(i \in I)$ be a family of (finite or infinite) extended real-valued functions which are closed and convex. Then the function $f(\boldsymbol{x}) := \sup_{i\in I} f_i(\boldsymbol{x})$ is also closed and convex.
2. Let $f_i\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ be a family of finite extended real-valued functions which are closed and convex, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \geq 0$. Then the function $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i f_i(\boldsymbol{x})$ is also closed and convex.
3. If $f\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ is an extended real-valued function which is closed and convex, $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, then $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) := f(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b})$ is also a closed and convex function.

Proof:
Left for exercise. ∎

5.6 Exercises

1. Given a convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and an arbitrarily norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, define the distance of a point $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the set S as
$$\operatorname{dist}(\boldsymbol{x}, S) := \inf_{\boldsymbol{y} \in S} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|.$$
Show that the distance function $\operatorname{dist}(\boldsymbol{x}, S)$ is convex on $\boldsymbol{x}$.

2. Give an example of a function $f\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a nonempty set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ illustrating each of the following facts:

   (a) f is non convex on $\mathbb{R}$, C is convex, and f is convex on C.

   (b) f is non convex on $\mathbb{R}$, C is non convex, and f is convex on C.

3. Prove Theorem 5.5.

4. Show that for $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \geq 0$ the inequality
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \geq \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$
is valid. Moreover, if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \geq 0$ is such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1$, then show that
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_k \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

5. For any $s, t \geq 0$ and $p, q > 1$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, show that
$$st \leq \frac{s^p}{p} + \frac{t^q}{q}.$$

6. Prove Theorem 5.7.

7. Prove Theorem 5.8.

8. Prove Lemma 5.9.

9. Prove Corollary 5.12.

10. Prove Corollary 5.17.

11. Prove Theorem 5.18.

12. Prove Theorem 5.21.

13. Prove Corollary 5.22.

14. Prove Theorem 5.30.

6 Worse Case Analysis for Gradient Based Methods

6.1 Lower Complexity Bound for the class $\mathcal{F}_L^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Gradient Based Method: Iterative method $\mathcal{M}$ generated by a sequence such that $$\boldsymbol{x}_k \in \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \mathrm{span}\{\boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}_0), \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \ldots, \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1})\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Consider the problem class as follows

Model:	$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\boldsymbol{x})$ $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$
Oracle:	Only function and gradient values are available
Approximate solution:	Find $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) < \varepsilon$

Theorem 6.1 For any $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, and any $\boldsymbol{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{F}_L^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any gradient based method of type $\mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) &\geq \frac{3L\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2}{32(k+1)^2}, \\ \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 &\geq \frac{1}{8}\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}^*$ is the minimum of $f(\boldsymbol{x})$.

Proof:

This type of methods are invariant with respect to a simultaneous shift of all objects in the space of variables. Therefore, we can assume that $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$.

Consider the family of quadratic functions

$$f_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[[\boldsymbol{x}]_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}([\boldsymbol{x}]_i - [\boldsymbol{x}]_{i+1})^2 + [\boldsymbol{x}]_k^2\right] - [\boldsymbol{x}]_1\right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

We can see that

for $k = 1$, $\quad f_1(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}([\boldsymbol{x}]_1^2 - [\boldsymbol{x}]_1)$,

for $k = 2$, $\quad f_2(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}([\boldsymbol{x}]_1^2 + [\boldsymbol{x}]_2^2 - [\boldsymbol{x}]_1[\boldsymbol{x}]_2 - [\boldsymbol{x}]_1)$,

for $k = 3$, $\quad f_3(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}([\boldsymbol{x}]_1^2 + [\boldsymbol{x}]_2^2 + [\boldsymbol{x}]_3^2 - [\boldsymbol{x}]_1[\boldsymbol{x}]_2 - [\boldsymbol{x}]_2[\boldsymbol{x}]_3 - [\boldsymbol{x}]_1)$.

Therefore, $f_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}\langle \boldsymbol{A}_k\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle\right]$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)^T$, and

$$\boldsymbol{A}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \ddots & 0 & \boldsymbol{0}_{k,n-k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 & \\ & & \boldsymbol{0}_{n-k,k} & & & \boldsymbol{0}_{n-k,n-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, $\boldsymbol{\nabla f}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}(\boldsymbol{A}_k\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{e}_1)$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\boldsymbol{f}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}\boldsymbol{A}_k$. After some calculations, we can show that $L\boldsymbol{I} \succeq \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\boldsymbol{f}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \succeq \boldsymbol{O}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and therefore, $f_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathcal{F}_L^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, due to Corollary 5.12.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_k}) &= \frac{L}{8}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{k+1}\right), \\ [\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_k}]_i &= \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{i}{k+1}, & i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \\ 0, & i = k+1, k+2, \ldots, n, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

are the minimum value and the minimal solution for $f_k(\cdot)$, respectively.

Now, for $1 \le k \le \frac{n-1}{2}$, let us define $f(\boldsymbol{x}) := f_{2k+1}(\boldsymbol{x})$, and therefore $\boldsymbol{x}^* := \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2k+1}}$.

Note that $\boldsymbol{x}_k \in \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}_0), \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \ldots, \boldsymbol{\nabla f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1})\}$ for $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$. Moreover, since $\boldsymbol{\nabla f}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}(\boldsymbol{A}_k\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{e}_1)$, $[\boldsymbol{x}_k]_p = 0$ for $p > k$. Therefore, $f_p(\boldsymbol{x}_k) = f_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k)$ for $p \ge k$.

Then for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) &= f_{2k+1}(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f_{2k+1}(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2k+1}}) = f_k(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - \frac{L}{8}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2k+2}\right) \\
&\ge f_k(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_k}) - \frac{L}{8}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2k+2}\right) = \frac{L}{8}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{k+1}\right) - \frac{L}{8}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{2k+2}\right) \\
&= \frac{L}{16(k+1)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We can obtain after some calculations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 &= \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2k+1}}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1}\left(1 - \frac{i}{2k+2}\right)^2 \\
&= 2k+1 - \frac{2}{2k+2}\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} i + \frac{1}{(2k+2)^2}\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} i^2 \\
&\le 2k+1 - \frac{2(2k+2)(2k+1)}{(2k+2)2} + \frac{(2k+1+1)^3}{3(2k+2)^2} \\
&\le \frac{2(k+1)}{3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)}{\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|^2} \ge \frac{L}{16(k+1)}\frac{3}{2(k+1)}.
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 &= \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2k+1}}\|_2^2 \ge \sum_{i=k+1}^{2k+1}([\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2k+1}}]_i)^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^{2k+1}\left(1 - \frac{i}{2k+2}\right)^2 \\
&= k+1 - \frac{2}{2k+2}\left[\frac{(2k+2)(2k+1)}{2} - \frac{(k+1)k}{2}\right] + \frac{1}{(2k+2)^2}\sum_{i=k+1}^{2k+1} i^2 \\
&\ge \frac{1}{8}\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}_{2k+1}}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{8}\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

∎

If we consider very large problems where we can not afford n number of iterations, the above theorem says that:

- The function value can be expected to decrease fast.
- The convergence to the optimal solution $\boldsymbol{x}^*$ can be arbitrarily slow.

6.2 Lower Complexity Bound for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$

Gradient Based Method: Iterative method $\mathcal{M}$ generated by a sequence such that $$\boldsymbol{x}_k \in \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \operatorname{span}\{\nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_0), \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_1), \ldots, \nabla \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1})\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Let us define

$$\ell^2 := \left\{ \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Consider the problem class as follows

Model:	$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \ell^2} f(\boldsymbol{x})$ $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$
Oracle:	Only function and gradient values are available
Approximate solution:	Find $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\begin{cases} f(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) < \varepsilon \\ \|\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 < \varepsilon \end{cases}$

Theorem 6.2 For any $\boldsymbol{x}_0 \in \ell^2$, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$ such that for any gradient based method of type $\mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{x}_k) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) &\geq \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{\sqrt{L/\mu} + 1} \right)^{2k} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2, \\ \|\boldsymbol{x}_k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 &\geq \left(\frac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{\sqrt{L/\mu} + 1} \right)^{2k} \|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}^*$ is the minimum of $f(\boldsymbol{x})$.

Proof:

This type of methods are invariant with respect to a simultaneous shift of all objects in the space of variables. Therefore, we can assume that $\boldsymbol{x}_0 = \{0\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Consider the following quadratic function

$$f_{\mu,L}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu(L/\mu - 1)}{8} \left\{ [\boldsymbol{x}]_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ([\boldsymbol{x}]_i - [\boldsymbol{x}]_{i+1})^2 - 2[\boldsymbol{x}]_1 \right\} + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2.$$

Then

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{f}_{\mu,L}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left(\frac{\mu(L/\mu - 1)}{4} \boldsymbol{A} + \mu \boldsymbol{I} \right) \boldsymbol{x} - \frac{\mu(L/\mu - 1)}{4} \boldsymbol{e}_1,$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the same tridiagonal matrix defined in Theorem 6.1, but with infinite dimension and $\boldsymbol{e}_1 \in \ell^2$ is a vector where only the first element is one.

After some calculations, we can show that $\mu \boldsymbol{I} \preceq \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \preceq L\boldsymbol{I}$ and therefore, $f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu,L}^{\infty,1}(\ell^2)$, due to Corollary 5.22.

The minimal optimal solution of this function is:

$$[\boldsymbol{x}^*]_i := q^i = \left(\frac{\sqrt{L/\mu} - 1}{\sqrt{L/\mu} + 1} \right)^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Then

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\boldsymbol{x}^*]_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{2i} = \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2}.$$