

Advanced Data Analysis: More on Kernels

Masashi Sugiyama (Computer Science)

W8E-505, sugi@cs.titech.ac.jp

<http://sugiyama-www.cs.titech.ac.jp/~sugi>

Kernel Trick with Reproducing Kernel

- For some transformation $\phi(x)$ ($= f$), there exists a bivariate function $K(x, x')$ such that

$$\mathbf{K}_{i,j} = \langle \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_j \rangle = K(x_i, x_j)$$

- Such implicit mapping $\phi(x)$ exists if

- \mathbf{K} is symmetric: $\mathbf{K}^\top = \mathbf{K}$
- \mathbf{K} is positive semi-definite: $\forall \mathbf{y}, \langle \mathbf{K} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \geq 0$

Combination of Reproducing Kernels

For any reproducing kernels (RKs)

$$K^{(1)}(x, x'), K^{(2)}(x, x')$$

- Positive scaling of RK is still RK

$$K(x, x') = \alpha K^{(1)}(x, x') \quad \alpha > 0$$

- Sum of RKs is still RK:

$$K(x, x') = K^{(1)}(x, x') + K^{(2)}(x, x')$$

- Product of RKs is still RK:

$$K(x, x') = K^{(1)}(x, x') K^{(2)}(x, x')$$

We prove that there exists a feature map $\phi(x)$ such that $\langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle = K(x, x')$.

■ For $\phi(x) = \sqrt{\alpha} \phi^{(1)}(x)$,

$$\langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle = \alpha \langle \phi^{(1)}(x), \phi^{(1)}(x') \rangle = \alpha K^{(1)}(x, x')$$

■ For $\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{(1)}(x) \\ \phi^{(2)}(x) \end{pmatrix}$,

$$K^{(i)}(x, x') = \langle \phi^{(i)}(x), \phi^{(i)}(x') \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle &= \langle \phi^{(1)}(x), \phi^{(1)}(x') \rangle + \langle \phi^{(2)}(x), \phi^{(2)}(x') \rangle \\ &= K^{(1)}(x, x') + K^{(2)}(x, x') \end{aligned}$$

■ For $[\phi(x)]_{i,j} = [\phi^{(1)}(x)]_i [\phi^{(2)}(x)]_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} [\phi^{(1)}(x)]_i [\phi^{(2)}(x)]_j [\phi^{(1)}(x')]_i [\phi^{(2)}(x')]_j \\ &= \langle \phi^{(1)}(x), \phi^{(1)}(x') \rangle \langle \phi^{(2)}(x), \phi^{(2)}(x') \rangle \\ &= K^{(1)}(x, x') K^{(2)}(x, x') \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Playing with Kernel Trick¹¹³

■ Norm:

$$\|f_i\| = \sqrt{K(x_i, x_i)}$$


■ Distance:

$$\|f_i - f_j\|^2 = K(x_i, x_i) - 2K(x_i, x_j) + K(x_j, x_j)$$

■ Angle:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{K(x_i, x_j)}{\sqrt{K(x_i, x_i)K(x_j, x_j)}}$$

$$\langle f_i, f_j \rangle = \|f_i\| \|f_j\| \cos \theta$$



Playing with Kernel Trick (cont.)¹⁹

■ In particular, for **Gaussian kernels**,


- $\|f_i\|^2 = 1$

- $\|f_i - f_j\|^2 = 2 - 2K(x_i, x_j)$

- $\cos \theta = K(x_i, x_j)$

$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\|x - x'\|^2 / c^2)$$

$$c > 0$$



Kernel Trick Revisited

120

$$\langle \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_j \rangle = K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

- An **inner product** in the feature space can be efficiently computed by the **kernel function**.
- If a linear algorithm is expressed only **in terms of the inner product**, it can be non-linearized by the kernel trick:
 - PCA, LPP, FDA, LFDA
 - K-means clustering
 - Perceptron (support vector machine)

Kernel LPP embedding of a sample f :

$$g = A^\top k$$

$$k = (K(x, x_1), K(x, x_2), \dots, K(x, x_n))^\top$$

$$A = (\alpha_{n-m+1} | \alpha_{n-m+2} | \dots | \alpha_n)$$

- $\{\lambda_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m$: Sorted generalized eigenvalues and normalized eigenvectors of $KLK\alpha = \lambda KDK\alpha$

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$$

$$\langle KDK\alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$$

$$K = F^\top F$$

$$L = D - W$$

$$F = (f_1 | f_2 | \dots | f_n)$$

$$D = \text{diag}(\sum_{j=1}^n W_{i,j})$$

- **Note:** When KDK is not full-rank, it should be replaced by $KDK + \varepsilon I_n$. ε :small positive scalar

Kernel LPP Embedding of Given Features

122

- Kernel LPP embedding of $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$:

$$G = A^\top K$$

$$G = (g_1 | g_2 | \cdots | g_n)$$

- G can be directly obtained as

$$G = \Psi^\top \quad \Psi = (\psi_{n-m+1} | \psi_{n-m+2} | \cdots | \psi_n)$$

- $\{\gamma_i, \psi_i\}_{i=1}^n$: Sorted eigenvalues and normalized eigenvectors of $L\psi = \gamma D\psi$

$$\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_n$$

$$\langle D\psi_i, \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$$

- Note: When similarity matrix W is sparse, L and D are also sparse!

Laplacian Eigenmap Embedding¹²³

$$L\psi = \gamma D\psi$$

$$L = D - W$$

$$D = \text{diag}(\sum_{j=1}^n W_{i,j})$$

- Definition of L implies $L\mathbf{1} = 0$

$$\longrightarrow \psi_n \propto \mathbf{1}$$

- In practice, we remove ψ_n and use


$$G = (\psi_{n-m} | \psi_{n-m+1} | \cdots | \psi_{n-1})^\top$$

- This non-linear embedding method is called **Laplacian eigenmap embedding**.



Example

124

Original data (3D)



Embedded Data (2D)



Note: Similarity matrix is defined by the nearest-neighbor-based method with 10 nearest neighbors.

- Laplacian eigenmap can successfully unfold the non-linear manifold.

Homework

129

1. Implement Laplacian eigenmap and unfold the 3-dimensional S-curve data.

<http://sugiyama-www.cs.titech.ac.jp/~sugi/data/DataAnalysis>

Test Laplacian eigenmap with your own (artificial or real) data and analyze its characteristics.

Homework (cont.)

130

2. Prove that the dual eigenvalue problem of (local) Fisher discriminant analysis is given by

$$KL^{(b)} K \alpha = \lambda KL^{(w)} K \alpha$$

$$L^{(b)} = D^{(b)} - W^{(b)}$$

$$D^{(b)} = \text{diag}(\sum_{j=1}^n W_{i,j}^{(b)})$$

$$W_{i,j}^{(b)} = \begin{cases} 1/n - 1/n_\ell & (y_i = y_j = \ell) \\ 1/n & (y_i \neq y_j) \end{cases}$$

$$L^{(w)} = D^{(w)} - W^{(w)}$$

$$D^{(w)} = \text{diag}(\sum_{j=1}^n W_{i,j}^{(w)})$$

$$W_{i,j}^{(w)} = \begin{cases} 1/n_\ell & (y_i = y_j = \ell) \\ 0 & (y_i \neq y_j) \end{cases}$$

Note that when solving the above eigenproblem, we may need to regularize it as

$$KL^{(b)} K \alpha = \lambda (KL^{(w)} K + \epsilon I_n) \alpha$$

- LFDA can also be kernelized similarly!