Discrete Mathematics & Computational Structures Lattice-Point Counting in Convex Polytopes (2) A garelly of discrete volumes

#### Yoshio Okamoto

Tokyo Institute of Technology

April 30, 2009

"Last updated: 2009/05/01 15:49"

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

DMCS'09 (2)

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨン

- The language of polytopes
- 2 The unit cube
- 3 The standard simplex
- The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes
- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices
- <sup>®</sup> Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

- 1 The language of polytopes
- O The unit cube
- **3** The standard simplex
- **()** The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes
- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices
- 8 Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

The language of polytopes Convex polytopes in dimension 1

Convex polytopes in dimension 1

= straight line segments

• Integral segment [a, b],  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a < b$ 



4 ∃ ≥ 4

The language of polytopes Convex polytopes in dimension 1

### Convex polytopes in dimension 1

= straight line segments

• Integral segment [a, b],  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a < b$ 



• Rational segment [a/b, c/d],  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a/b < c/d$  $\#([a/b, c/d] \cap \mathbb{Z}) = \lfloor c/d \rfloor - \lfloor (a-1)/b \rfloor$ 

(Exercise 2.1)

# Convex polytopes in dimension 2

# Convex polytopes in dimension 2

= convex polygons



Lattice-point counting is a topic of Sect. 2.6 and 2.7

The language of polytopes convex polytopes in dimension *d* 

#### Convex polytopes in dimension d

= convex hulls of a finite set of points

For 
$$\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$
  
 $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n : \begin{array}{l} \text{all } \lambda_k \ge 0 \text{ and} \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1 \end{array} \right\},$ 

that is, the smallest convex set containing  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ 



The language of polytopes Convex polytopes in dimension d, another point of view

### Convex polytopes in dimension d

= bounded intersections of finitely many half-spaces

For  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b_i \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$$



# Convex polytopes

#### Convex polytopes are

 convex hulls of a finite set of points (vertex description, v-polytopes)

#### or

• bounded intersections of finitely many half-spaces (hyperplane description, h-polytopes)

▲ 豊 → →

# Convex polytopes

#### Convex polytopes are

 convex hulls of a finite set of points (vertex description, v-polytopes)

#### or

 bounded intersections of finitely many half-spaces (hyperplane description, h-polytopes)

# Theorem (Main theorem for polytopes, Minkowski-Weyl Theorem)

- Every v-polytope is an h-polytope
- Every h-polytope is a v-polytope

Proof: See Appendix A in the textbook

# Dimension of convex polytopes

# $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$ a convex polytope

# Definition (Dimension)

The dimension of  $\mathcal{P}$  is the dimension of the span of  $\mathcal{P}$ , where

$$\operatorname{span} \mathcal{P} := \{ \mathbf{x} + \lambda (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) : \, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{P}, \, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

# Definition (*d*-Polytope)

If the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}$  is d, then we write

- dim  $\mathcal{P} = d$
- $\mathcal{P}$  is a *d*-polytope

# Valid inequalities

 $\mathcal{P}\subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  a convex polytope;  $\mathbf{a}\in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $b\in \mathbb{R}$ 

# Definition (Valid inequality)

The inequality  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b$  is a valid inequality for  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} \leq b$  for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{P}$ 



< ∃ ► <

The language of polytopes

### Faces of a convex polytope

 $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  a convex polytope

Definition (Face)

 $\mathcal{F}$  is a face of  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $\exists$  a valid inequality  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b$  for  $\mathcal{P}$  s.t.

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P} \cap \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = b\}$$



#### Remark

- Every face of a convex polytope is also a convex polytope
- ${\mathcal P}$  and  ${\varnothing}$  are faces of  ${\mathcal P}$

#### Names of faces

 $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  a convex polytope

#### Definition

 $\begin{array}{rll} & 0\mbox{-dimensional face} & \mbox{vertex of } \mathcal{P} \\ & 1\mbox{-dimensional face} & \mbox{edge of } \mathcal{P} \\ & (d\mbox{-}1)\mbox{-dimensional face} & \mbox{facet of } \mathcal{P} \end{array}$ 



→ Ξ →

# Simplices

#### Fact

Every convex *d*-polytope has at least d+1 vertices

# Definition (Simplex)

A convex *d*-polytope is a *d*-simplex if it has exactly d+1 vertices



Integral polytopes and rational polytopes

# Definition (Integral polytope)

A convex polytope is integral if all of its vertices have integer coordinates

# Definition (Rational polytope)

A convex polytope is rational if all of its vertices have rational coordinates

# The language of polytopes

# 2 The unit cube

- **3** The standard simplex
- The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes
- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices
- B Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

# Unit cubes

# Definition (Unit *d*-cube $\Box$ )

Vertices

$$\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) : \text{ all } x_k = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$$

• Hyperplane description

$$\Box = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 \le x_k \le 1 \text{ for all } k \right\}$$



Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

DMCS'09 (2)

Ξ 2009-04-30 16 / 83

→ 3 → 4 3

### Question

# What's the number of integer points in $t \square (t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ ?



Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

#### Lattice-point enumerators

 $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  not necessarily a convex polytope

Definition (Lattice-point enumerator)

The lattice-point enumerator fot  $t \mathcal{P}$  is defined as

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \# \left( t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d 
ight)$$

### Remarks

• 
$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left( \mathcal{P} \cap \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$$

ト 4 注 ト 4 注 ト

#### Lattice-point enumerators

 $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  not necessarily a convex polytope

Definition (Lattice-point enumerator)

The lattice-point enumerator fot  $t \mathcal{P}$  is defined as

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := \# \left( t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d 
ight)$$

# Remarks

• 
$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left( \mathcal{P} \cap \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$$

• 
$$L_{\square}(t)=(t+1)^d$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 $(t+1)^d$  is the generating function of ...

$$(t+1)^d = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} t^k,$$

where  $\binom{d}{k}$  is a binomial coefficient defined as follows



イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 二日

What about the interior?

$$L_{\square^{\mathrm{o}}}(t)=\#\left(t\,\square^{\mathrm{o}}\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d}
ight)=\#\left((0,t)^{d}\cap\mathbb{Z}^{d}
ight)=(t-1)^{d}$$



# Remark

$$L_{\square^{\circ}}(t) = (-1)^d L_{\square}(-t)$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

Ehrhart series — another tool for studying discrete volume

 $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ 

Definition (Ehrhart series)

The Ehrhart series of  $\mathcal{P}$  is the generating fn of  $L_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ :

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_\mathcal{P}(z) := 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} L_\mathcal{P}(t) \, z^t$$

Namely,

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Box}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} (t+1)^d \, z^t$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

For  $0 \le k \le d$ , the Eulerian number A(d, k) is defined through

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} j^d z^j = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d A(d,k) z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$
(2)

### Then,

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Box}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} (t+1)^d \, z^t$$

For  $0 \le k \le d$ , the Eulerian number A(d, k) is defined through

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} j^d z^j = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d A(d,k) z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$
(2)

Then,

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Box}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} (t+1)^d \, z^t = \sum_{t \geq 0} (t+1)^d \, z^t$$

For  $0 \le k \le d$ , the Eulerian number A(d, k) is defined through

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} j^d z^j = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d A(d,k) z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$
(2)

### Then,

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Box}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} (t+1)^d \, z^t = \sum_{t \ge 0} (t+1)^d \, z^t = rac{1}{z} \sum_{t \ge 1} t^d \, z^t$$

For  $0 \le k \le d$ , the Eulerian number A(d, k) is defined through

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} j^d z^j = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d A(d,k) z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$
(2)

### Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\Box}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} (t+1)^d \, z^t = \sum_{t \ge 0} (t+1)^d \, z^t = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{t \ge 1} t^d \, z^t \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^d A(d,k) \, z^{k-1}}{(1-z)^{d+1}} \end{aligned}$$

# What's an Eulerian number?

#### Fact

A(d,k) = # permutations of  $\{1,\ldots,d\}$  with k-1 ascents

d = 6 : 1 4 2 5 6 3

# What's an Eulerian number?

#### Fact

A(d,k) = # permutations of  $\{1,\ldots,d\}$  with k-1 ascents

d = 6 : 1 4 | 2 5 6 | 3

# What's an Eulerian number?

#### Fact

A(d,k) = # permutations of  $\{1,\ldots,d\}$  with k-1 ascents

 $d = 6, k = 3: 1 \quad 4 \mid 2 \quad 5 \quad 6 \mid 3$ 

# What's an Eulerian number?

### Fact

A(d,k) = # permutations of  $\{1,\ldots,d\}$  with k-1 ascents

$$d = 6, k = 3: 1 \quad 4 \mid 2 \quad 5 \quad 6 \mid 3$$



Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

# Summary: The unit cube

#### Theorem 2.1

(a) The lattice-point enumerator of  $\Box$  is the polynomial

$$L_{\Box}(t)=(t+1)^d=\sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k}t^k$$

(b) Its evaluation at negative integers yields the relation

$$(-1)^d L_{\Box}(-t) = L_{\Box^\circ}(t)$$

(c) The Ehrhart series of 
$$\Box$$
 is  $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\Box}(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{d} A(d,k) z^{k-1}}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- The language of polytopes
- O The unit cube

# 3 The standard simplex

The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids

**5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes

6 Pick's theorem

Polygons with rational vertices

B Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

# Standard simplices

# Definition (Standard *d*-simplex)

- Vertices
  - $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$  and  $\mathbf{0}$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_j$  is the unit vector  $(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  with a 1 in the *j*-th position
- Hyperplane description

$$\Delta = \left\{ (x_1, x_2 \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_d \leq 1, \\ \text{all } x_k \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

★ ∃ ►

The standard simplex

# Example: Standard 3-simplex



Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

э

(日) (日) (日) (日)
The dilated standard simplex  $t\Delta$ 

$$t\Delta = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{c} x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_d \leq t, \\ \text{all } x_k \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Let's compute the discrete volume and the Ehrhard series! We need a trick

- $\Delta$  involves an inequality
- The example from Lecture 1 involves equalities only

The dilated standard simplex  $t\Delta$ 

$$t\Delta = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{c} x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_d \leq t, \\ \text{all } x_k \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Let's compute the discrete volume and the Ehrhard series! We need a trick

- $\Delta$  involves an inequality
- The example from Lecture 1 involves equalities only Trick  $\rightarrow$  { Transform an inequality to equalities by introducing an extra coordinate

• Want to count all integer solutions  $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  to

$$m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d \le t \tag{4}$$

#### Slack variables

• Want to count all integer solutions  $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  to

$$m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d \le t \tag{4}$$

• Let  $m_{d+1} = \mathsf{RHS} - \mathsf{LHS} \ge 0$ 

Such a variable  $m_{d+1}$  is called a slack variable

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト = 臣

## Slack variables

• Want to count all integer solutions  $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  to

$$m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d \le t \tag{4}$$

- Let  $m_{d+1} = \mathsf{RHS} \mathsf{LHS} \ge 0$
- Then

$$\begin{array}{c} \# \text{ integer solutions } (m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \text{ to} \\ m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_d \leq t \\ \| \\ \# \text{ integer solutions } (m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{d+1} \text{ to} \\ m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_{d+1}=t \end{array}$$

Such a variable  $m_{d+1}$  is called a slack variable

▲ロト ▲掃 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - のへで

Discrete volume of a standard simplex

• Similarly to Lecture 1

$$\# \left( t \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$$
  
= const  $\left( \left( \sum_{m_1 \ge 0} z^{m_1} \right) \left( \sum_{m_2 \ge 0} z^{m_2} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} \ge 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right)$ 

(5)

イロト イポト イヨト イ

Discrete volume of a standard simplex

• Similarly to Lecture 1

$$\# \left( t \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \sum_{m_1 \ge 0} z^{m_1} \right) \left( \sum_{m_2 \ge 0} z^{m_2} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} \ge 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{const} \left( \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1} z^t} \right)$$

$$(5)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

Discrete volume of a standard simplex

• Similarly to Lecture 1

$$\# \left( t \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \sum_{m_1 \ge 0} z^{m_1} \right) \left( \sum_{m_2 \ge 0} z^{m_2} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} \ge 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{const} \left( \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1} z^t} \right)$$

$$(5)$$

• Now use the binomial series

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{d+k}{d} z^k \quad \text{for } d \ge 0 \tag{6}$$

< 口 > < 同

Discrete volume of a standard simplex

• Similarly to Lecture 1

$$\# \left( t \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \sum_{m_1 \ge 0} z^{m_1} \right) \left( \sum_{m_2 \ge 0} z^{m_2} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} \ge 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{const} \left( \frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1} z^t} \right)$$

$$(5)$$

• Now use the binomial series

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{d+k}{d} z^k \quad \text{for } d \ge 0 \tag{6}$$

• That gives  $L_{\Delta}(t) := \# \left( t \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^d 
ight) = egin{pmatrix} d+t \ d \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$L_{\Delta^{\circ}}(t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d < t \right\}$$

596

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta^{\circ}}(t) \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d < t \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d+1} : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{d+1} = t \right\} \end{split}$$

596

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{\Delta^{\circ}}(t)\ &=\#\left\{(m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_d)\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d:\,m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_d< t
ight\}\ &=\#\left\{(m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_{d+1})\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d+1}:\,m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_{d+1}=t
ight\}\ &=\mathrm{const}\left(\left(\sum_{m_1>0}z^{m_1}
ight)\left(\sum_{m_2>0}z^{m_2}
ight)\cdots\left(\sum_{m_{d+1}>0}z^{m_{d+1}}
ight)z^{-t}
ight)\end{aligned}$$

596

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta^{\circ}}(t) \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d < t \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d+1} : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{d+1} = t \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \sum_{m_1 > 0} z^{m_1} \right) \left( \sum_{m_2 > 0} z^{m_2} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} > 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \frac{z}{1 - z} \right)^{d+1} z^{-t} \right) \end{split}$$

990

$$\begin{split} L_{\Delta^{\circ}}(t) \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_d < t \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d+1} : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{d+1} = t \right\} \\ &= \text{const} \left( \left( \sum_{m_1 > 0} z^{m_1} \right) \left( \sum_{m_2 > 0} z^{m_2} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} > 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right) \\ &= \text{const} \left( \left( \frac{z}{1-z} \right)^{d+1} z^{-t} \right) \\ &= \text{const} \left( z^{d+1-t} \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{d+k}{d} z^k \right) \end{split}$$

990

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta^{\circ}}(t) \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots, m_{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d} : m_{1} + m_{2} + \dots + m_{d} < t \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots, m_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d+1} : m_{1} + m_{2} + \dots + m_{d+1} = t \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \sum_{m_{1} > 0} z^{m_{1}} \right) \left( \sum_{m_{2} > 0} z^{m_{2}} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} > 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \frac{z}{1 - z} \right)^{d+1} z^{-t} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( z^{d+1-t} \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{d+k}{d} z^{k} \right) \\ &= \binom{t-1}{d} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta^{\circ}}(t) \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots, m_{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d} : m_{1} + m_{2} + \dots + m_{d} < t \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots, m_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{d+1} : m_{1} + m_{2} + \dots + m_{d+1} = t \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \sum_{m_{1} > 0} z^{m_{1}} \right) \left( \sum_{m_{2} > 0} z^{m_{2}} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{m_{d+1} > 0} z^{m_{d+1}} \right) z^{-t} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \left( \frac{z}{1 - z} \right)^{d+1} z^{-t} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( z^{d+1-t} \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{d+k}{d} z^{k} \right) \\ &= \binom{t-1}{d} \overset{\text{Ex. 2.10}}{=} (-1)^{d} \binom{d-t}{d} \end{split}$$

#### Theorem 2.2

(a) The lattice-point enumerator of  $\varDelta$  is the polynomial

$$L_{\Delta}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} d+t \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) Its evaluation at negative integers yields

$$(-1)^d L_{\Delta}(-t) = L_{\Delta^\circ}(t)$$

(c) The Ehrhart series of 
$$arDelta$$
 is  $\mathsf{Ehr}_{arDelta}(z) = rac{1}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$ 

→ ∃ →

- The language of polytopes
- O The unit cube
- **3** The standard simplex
- The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes
- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices
- B Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

Example: The 3-dimensional pyramid



3

590

・ロト ・回 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・

## Pyramids

# Definition (*d*-Dimensional pyramid)

Vertices

 $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1}, 0): \text{ all } x_k = 0 \text{ or } 1\} \cup \{(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)\}$ 

• Hyperplane description

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{l} 0 \le x_k \le 1 - x_d \le 1 \\ \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (9)$$

Remark

## *d*-dim. pyramid $\subseteq$ *d*-dim. unit cube

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

▲ロト ▲掃 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - のへで

## Lattice-point enumerator of a pyramid

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : & egin{aligned} & 0 \leq m_k \leq t - m_d \leq t \ & ext{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{aligned} 
ight\} \ & = \sum_{m_d=0}^t (t - m_d + 1)^{d-1} \ & = \sum_{k=1}^{t+1} k^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

## Question

What's the last sum?

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Definition (Bernoulli polynomial)

The Bernoulli polynomials  $B_k(x)$  are defined via the generating fn

$$\frac{z \, e^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{B_k(x)}{k!} \, z^k \tag{8}$$

First few Bernoulli polynomials: k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

$$1, x - \frac{1}{2}, x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}, x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x, \dots$$

Definition (Bernoulli number)

The Bernoulli numbers are 
$$B_k := B_k(0)$$

A lemma to show a connection...

#### Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(n) - B_d \right) \quad \text{for integers } d \ge 1, \ n \ge 2$$

996

A lemma to show a connection...

## Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(n) - B_d \right) \quad \text{for integers } d \ge 1, \ n \ge 2$$

## Proof:

$$\sum_{d\geq 0}\frac{B_d(n)-B_d}{d!}\,z^d$$

996

A lemma to show a connection...

## Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(n) - B_d \right) \quad \text{for integers } d \ge 1, \ n \ge 2$$

## Proof:

$$\sum_{d \ge 0} \frac{B_d(n) - B_d}{d!} z^d = z \frac{e^{nz} - 1}{e^z - 1}$$

996

A lemma to show a connection...

## Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(n) - B_d \right) \quad \text{for integers } d \ge 1, \ n \ge 2$$

## Proof:

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \frac{B_d(n) - B_d}{d!} z^d = z \frac{e^{nz} - 1}{e^z - 1} = z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{kz}$$

996

A lemma to show a connection...

#### Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(n) - B_d \right) \quad \text{for integers } d \ge 1, \ n \ge 2$$

## Proof:

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \frac{B_d(n) - B_d}{d!} z^d = z \frac{e^{nz} - 1}{e^z - 1} = z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{kz}$$
$$= z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j>0} \frac{(kz)^j}{j!}$$

590

A lemma to show a connection...

#### Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(n) - B_d \right) \quad \text{for integers } d \ge 1, \ n \ge 2$$

## Proof:

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \frac{B_d(n) - B_d}{d!} z^d = z \frac{e^{nz} - 1}{e^z - 1} = z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{kz}$$
$$= z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{(kz)^j}{j!} = \sum_{j\geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^j\right) \frac{z^{j+1}}{j!}$$

590

A lemma to show a connection...

## Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(n) - B_d \right) \quad \text{for integers } d \ge 1, \ n \ge 2$$

## Proof:

$$\sum_{d\geq 0} \frac{B_d(n) - B_d}{d!} z^d = z \frac{e^{nz} - 1}{e^z - 1} = z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{kz}$$
$$= z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{(kz)^j}{j!} = \sum_{j\geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^j\right) \frac{z^{j+1}}{j!}$$
$$= \sum_{j\geq 1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^{j-1}\right) \frac{z^j}{(j-1)!}$$

#### and compare the both sides

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

## Lattice-point enumerator of a pyramid, cont'd

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : & egin{aligned} &0 \leq m_k \leq 1 - m_d \leq 1 \ & ext{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{aligned} 
ight\} \ &= \sum_{m_d=0}^t (t - m_d + 1)^{d-1} \ &= \sum_{k=1}^{t+1} k^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

(10)

999

-

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲

## Lattice-point enumerator of a pyramid, cont'd

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) &= \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq m_k \leq 1 - m_d \leq 1 \\ \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{m_d=0}^t (t - m_d + 1)^{d-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{t+1} k^{d-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{t+1} k^{d-1} \quad (\text{if } d \geq 2) \end{split}$$

(10)

999

-

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲

## Lattice-point enumerator of a pyramid, cont'd

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \begin{array}{l} 0 \le m_k \le 1 - m_d \le 1 \\ \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{array} \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{m_d=0}^t (t - m_d + 1)^{d-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{t+1} k^{d-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{t+1} k^{d-1} \quad (\text{if } d \ge 2)$$
$$= \frac{1}{d} (B_d(t+2) - B_d) \tag{10}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

Ξ 2009-04-30 39 / 83

-

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲

590

How about the interior  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ}$ ?

$$L_{\mathcal{P}^\circ}(t) = \left\{ (m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d: egin{array}{cc} 0 < m_k < 1-m_d < 1 \ ext{for all } k = 1,\ldots,d-1 \end{array} 
ight\}$$

999

How about the interior  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ}$ ?

$$egin{aligned} &L_{\mathcal{P}^{\circ}}(t) = \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : & egin{aligned} 0 < m_k < 1 - m_d < 1 \ ext{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{aligned} 
ight\} \ &= \sum_{m_d=1}^{t-1} (t - m_d - 1)^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

999

How about the interior  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ}$ ?

$$\begin{split} L_{\mathcal{P}^{\circ}}(t) &= \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \begin{array}{l} 0 < m_k < 1 - m_d < 1 \\ \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{m_d = 1}^{t-1} (t - m_d - 1)^{d-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} k^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(t-1) - B_d \right) \end{split}$$

996

How about the interior  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ}$ ?

$$egin{aligned} & L_{\mathcal{P}^{\circ}}(t) = \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : & egin{aligned} & 0 < m_k < 1 - m_d < 1 \ & ext{for all } k = 1, \dots, d-1 \end{aligned} 
ight\} \ & = \sum_{m_d=1}^{t-1} (t - m_d - 1)^{d-1} \ & = \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} k^{d-1} = rac{1}{d} \left( B_d(t-1) - B_d 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

## From Exercises 2.15 and 2.16

$$egin{aligned} &L_{\mathcal{P}}(-t) = &rac{1}{d} \left( B_d(-t+2) - B_d 
ight) = (-1)^d rac{1}{d} \left( B_d(t-1) - B_d 
ight) \ = &(-1)^d L_{\mathcal{P}^\circ}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)
Pyramids over polytopes — generalization

 ${\mathcal Q}$  a convex (d-1)-polytope,  ${f v}_1, {f v}_2, \ldots, {f v}_m$  the vertices of  ${\mathcal Q}$ 

Definition (Pyramid over a polytope)

The pyramid over Q is the convex hull of  $(\mathbf{v}_1, 0)$ ,  $(\mathbf{v}_2, 0)$ , ...,  $(\mathbf{v}_m, 0)$ , and  $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ Denoted by Pyr(Q)

Note 
$$\mathcal{P} = \mathsf{Pyr}(\Box)$$

#### Question

What is 
$$L_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) := \#(t \; \mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$$
?

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Derivation of  $L_{Pyr(Q)}(t)$ 

$$L_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) = 1 + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(1) + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(2) + \cdots + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t)$$



Derivation of  $L_{Pyr(Q)}(t)$ 

$$L_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) = 1 + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(1) + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(2) + \cdots + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t} L_{\mathcal{Q}}(j)$$



Theorem 2.4  

$$\operatorname{Ehr}_{\operatorname{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}}(z)}{1-z}$$

Proof:

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} L_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) \, z^t$$

590

Ehr<sub>Pyr(Q)</sub>(z) = 
$$\frac{\text{Ehr}_Q(z)}{1-z}$$

Proof:

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} L_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) \, z^t = 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^t L_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \right) z^t$$

590

Ehr<sub>Pyr(Q)</sub>(z) = 
$$\frac{\text{Ehr}_Q(z)}{1-z}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} L_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) \, z^t = 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^t L_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \right) z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \ge 0} z^t + \sum_{t \ge 1} \sum_{j=1}^t L_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \, z^t \end{aligned}$$

590

$$\frac{\text{I heorem 2.4}}{\text{Ehr}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z)} = \frac{\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}}(z)}{1-z}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) \, z^t = 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \right) z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \ge 0} z^t + \sum_{t \ge 1} \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \, z^t = \frac{1}{1-z} + \sum_{j \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \sum_{t \ge j} z^t \end{aligned}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

DMCS'09 (2)

Ξ 2009-04-30 43 / 83

590

Theorem 2.4  

$$\operatorname{Ehr}_{\operatorname{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}}(z)}{1-z}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) \, z^t = 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \right) z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \ge 0} z^t + \sum_{t \ge 1} \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \, z^t = \frac{1}{1-z} + \sum_{j \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \sum_{t \ge j} z^t \\ &= \frac{1}{1-z} + \sum_{j \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \frac{z^j}{1-z} \end{aligned}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

590

Theorem 2.4  

$$\operatorname{Ehr}_{\operatorname{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}}(z)}{1-z}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Pyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) \, z^t = 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \right) z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \ge 0} z^t + \sum_{t \ge 1} \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \, z^t = \frac{1}{1-z} + \sum_{j \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \sum_{t \ge j} z^t \\ &= \frac{1}{1-z} + \sum_{j \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \frac{z^j}{1-z} = \frac{1 + \sum_{j \ge 1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) \, z^j}{1-z} \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

Ξ 2009-04-30 43 / 83

590

# Summary: The pyramid

#### Theorem 2.5

 $\mathcal{P}$  the *d*-pyramid

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \left(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d\right) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \ 0 \leq x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{d-1} \leq 1 - x_d \leq 1 \right\}$$

(a) The lattice-point enumerator of  $\mathcal{P}$  is the polynomial

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \frac{1}{d} \left( B_d(t+2) - B_d \right)$$

(b) Its evaluation at negative integers yields  $(-1)^d L_{\mathcal{P}}(-t) = L_{\mathcal{P}^\circ}(t)$ (c) The Ehrhart series of  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} A(d-1,k) z^{k-1}}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$ 

- The language of polytopes
- O The unit cube
- **3** The standard simplex
- The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids

- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices
- B Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

**Cross-polytopes** 

# Definition (Cross-polytope)

• Vertices

$$\pm \mathbf{e}_1, \pm \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \pm \mathbf{e}_d$$

• Hyperplane description

$$\Diamond := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_d| \le 1\}$$
(14)

- 4 三 ト - 4

-

#### An octahedron = a 3-dimensional cross-polytope



3

The bipyramid over a polytope — generalization

Q a convex (d-1)-polytope containing the origin,  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  the vertices of Q

#### Definition (bipyramid over a polytope)

The bipyramid over Q is the convex hull of  $(\mathbf{v}_1, 0)$ ,  $(\mathbf{v}_2, 0), \ldots, (\mathbf{v}_m, 0), (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ , and  $(0, \ldots, 0, -1)$ Denoted by BiPyr(Q)

#### Note

d-dim cross-polytope = BiPyr((d-1)-dim cross-polytope)

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 二日

The lattice-point enumerator and the Ehrhart series of a bipyramid

$$L_{\mathsf{BiPyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) = 2 + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(1) + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(2) + \dots + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t-1) + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

The lattice-point enumerator and the Ehrhart series of a bipyramid

$$\begin{split} L_{\mathsf{BiPyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) &= 2 + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(1) + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(2) + \dots + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t-1) + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t) \\ &= 2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} L_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t) \end{split}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

The lattice-point enumerator and the Ehrhart series of a bipyramid

$$\begin{split} L_{\mathsf{BiPyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(t) &= 2 + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(1) + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(2) + \dots + 2L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t-1) + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t) \\ &= 2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} L_{\mathcal{Q}}(j) + L_{\mathcal{Q}}(t) \end{split}$$

#### Theorem 2.6

 $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\operatorname{BiPyr}(\mathcal{Q})}(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \operatorname{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}}(z)$  if  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains the origin

Proof: Exercise 2.23

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 二日

### Implication to cross-polytopes

$$\bullet \ \Diamond = 0 \text{-dim cross-polytope} = \{ \text{origin} \} \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = rac{1}{1-z}$$

590

◆ロト ◆聞ト ◆ヨト ◆ヨト

#### Implication to cross-polytopes

• 
$$\Diamond = 0$$
-dim cross-polytope = {origin}  $\Rightarrow$   
Ehr $_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ 

•  $\Diamond = d$ -dim cross-polytope  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = rac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$

-

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲

#### Implication to cross-polytopes

• 
$$\Diamond = 0$$
-dim cross-polytope = {origin}  $\Rightarrow$   
Ehr $_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ 

•  $\Diamond = d$ -dim cross-polytope  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = rac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$

Let's derive  $L_{\Diamond}(t)$  from  $Ehr_{\Diamond}(z)!$ 

< ∃ ► <

### Lattice-point enumerator of a cross-polytope

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$

<ロト <四ト < 回ト < 回

### Lattice-point enumerator of a cross-polytope

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

<ロト <四ト < 回ト < 回

Lattice-point enumerator of a cross-polytope

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t+d}{d} z^t$$

<ロト <四ト < 回ト < 回

### Lattice-point enumerator of a cross-polytope

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t+d}{d} z^t$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \sum_{t \ge k} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

### Lattice-point enumerator of a cross-polytope

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t+d}{d} z^t$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \sum_{t \ge k} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

Lattice-point enumerator of a cross-polytope

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t+d}{d} z^t$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \sum_{t \ge k} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t$$

$$= \sum_{t \ge 0} \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

DMCS'09 (2)

1 2009-04-30 51 / 83

<ロト <四ト < 回ト < 回

Lattice-point enumerator of a cross-polytope

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) &= \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k}{(1-z)^{d+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} z^k \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t+d}{d} z^t \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \sum_{t \ge k} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \sum_{t \ge 0} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \ge 0} \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \binom{t-k+d}{d} z^t \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all  $t \geq 1$ 

$$L_{\Diamond}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^d {d \choose k} {t-k+d \choose d}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イ

The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes Counting the lattice points in  $\Diamond^\circ$ 

 $L_{\Diamond^{\circ}}(t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| < t 
ight\}$ 

The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes Counting the lattice points in  $\Diamond^\circ$ 

$$egin{aligned} L_{\Diamond^\circ}(t) &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| < t 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| \leq t{-}1 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes Counting the lattice points in  $\Diamond^\circ$ 

$$egin{aligned} L_{\Diamond^\circ}(t) &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| < t 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| \leq t - 1 
ight\} \ &= L_{\Diamond}(t-1) \end{aligned}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes Counting the lattice points in  $\Diamond^\circ$ 

$$egin{aligned} &L_{\Diamond^{\circ}}(t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| < t 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| \leq t - 1 
ight\} \ &= L_{\Diamond}(t-1) \ &= \sum_{k=0}^d inom{d}{d-k} inom{t-1+d-k}{d} \end{aligned}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes Counting the lattice points in  $\Diamond^{\circ}$ 

 $egin{aligned} & L_{\Diamond^\circ}(t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| < t 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| \leq t - 1 
ight\} \ &= L_{\Diamond}(t-1) \ &= \sum_{k=0}^d inom{d}{d-k} inom{t-1+d-k}{d} \ &= (-1)^d \sum_{k=0}^d inom{d}{k} (-1)^d inom{t-1+d-k}{d} \end{aligned}$ 

・ロト ・聞 ト ・臣 ト ・臣 ト 三臣

Counting the lattice points in  $\Diamond^\circ$ 

$$\begin{split} L_{\Diamond^{\circ}}(t) &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| < t \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| \le t - 1 \right\} \\ &= L_{\Diamond}(t - 1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{d-k} \binom{t-1+d-k}{d} \\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} (-1)^d \binom{t-1+k}{d} \\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \binom{-t-k+d}{d} \quad \text{(by Ex. 2.10)} \end{split}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Counting the lattice points in  $\Diamond^\circ$ 

$$\begin{split} L_{\Diamond^{\circ}}(t) &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| < t \right\} \\ &= \# \left\{ (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |m_1| + |m_2| + \dots + |m_d| \le t - 1 \right\} \\ &= L_{\Diamond}(t - 1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{d-k} \binom{t-1+d-k}{d} \\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} (-1)^d \binom{t-1+k}{d} \\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} \binom{-t-k+d}{d} \quad \text{(by Ex. 2.10)} \\ &= (-1)^d L_{\Diamond}(-t) \end{split}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Summary: Cross-polytopes

#### Theorem 2.7

- $\Diamond$  the cross-polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- (a) The lattice-point enumerator of  $\Diamond$  is the polynomial

$$L_{\Diamond}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} {d \choose k} {t-k+d \choose d}$$

(b) Its evaluation at negative integers yields  $(-1)^d L_{\Diamond}(-t) = L_{\Diamond^{\circ}}(t)$ (c) The Ehrhart series of  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\operatorname{Ehr}_{\Diamond}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^d}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$ 

- The language of polytopes
- O The unit cube
- **3** The standard simplex
- The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes

## 6 Pick's theorem

Polygons with rational vertices

### Buler's generating function for general rational polytopes
#### Theme

A strange connection between the number of lattice points and the area of an integral convex polygon

Theorem 2.8 (Pick's theorem)

For an integral convex polygon  ${\mathcal P}$ 

$$A=I+\frac{1}{2}B-1,$$

where

- A = the area of  $\mathcal{P}$
- I = # of lattice points in  $\mathcal{P}$
- B = # of lattice points on  $\partial \mathcal{P}$



•  ${\mathcal P}$  is partitioned into  ${\mathcal P}_1$  and  ${\mathcal P}_2 \Rightarrow$ 

$$I + \frac{1}{2}B - 1 = (I_1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1 - 1) + (I_2 + \frac{1}{2}B_2 - 1)$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

•  ${\mathcal P}$  is partitioned into  ${\mathcal P}_1$  and  ${\mathcal P}_2 \Rightarrow$ 

$$I + rac{1}{2}B - 1 = (I_1 + rac{1}{2}B_1 - 1) + (I_2 + rac{1}{2}B_2 - 1)$$

• .:. Enough to prove for triangles

→ Ξ →

•  ${\mathcal P}$  is partitioned into  ${\mathcal P}_1$  and  ${\mathcal P}_2 \Rightarrow$ 

$$I + rac{1}{2}B - 1 = (I_1 + rac{1}{2}B_1 - 1) + (I_2 + rac{1}{2}B_2 - 1)$$

• Embed a triangle into a rectangle



•  ${\mathcal P}$  is partitioned into  ${\mathcal P}_1$  and  ${\mathcal P}_2 \Rightarrow$ 

$$I + rac{1}{2}B - 1 = (I_1 + rac{1}{2}B_1 - 1) + (I_2 + rac{1}{2}B_2 - 1)$$

- .:. Enough to prove for triangles
- Embed a triangle into a rectangle
- .:. Enough to prove for right triangles and rectangles



•  ${\mathcal P}$  is partitioned into  ${\mathcal P}_1$  and  ${\mathcal P}_2 \Rightarrow$ 

$$I + rac{1}{2}B - 1 = (I_1 + rac{1}{2}B_1 - 1) + (I_2 + rac{1}{2}B_2 - 1)$$

- .:. Enough to prove for triangles
- Embed a triangle into a rectangle
- ... Enough to prove for right triangles and rectangles
- Ex. 2.24 will finish



Summary (before the proof): an integral convex polygon

• 
$$\#(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) = I + B = (A - \frac{1}{2}B + 1) + B = A + \frac{1}{2}B + 1$$

#### Theorem 2.9

(a) The lattice-point enumerator of  ${\mathcal P}$  is the polynomial

$$L_\mathcal{P}(t)=A\,t^2+rac{1}{2}B\,t+1$$

(b) Its evaluation at negative integers yields the relation

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(-t) = L_{\mathcal{P}^{\circ}}(t)$$

(c) The Ehrhart series of  $\mathcal{P}$  is

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(z) = \frac{\left(A - \frac{B}{2} + 1\right)z^2 + \left(A + \frac{B}{2} - 2\right)z + 1}{(1 - z)^3}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

Lattice-point enumerator of an integral convex polygon

Proof of Thm 2.9(a):

- Inflating by factor of t makes
  - the area larger by factor of  $t^2$
  - the perimeter larger by factor of t
- Then, Pick's theorem proves

(Ex.	2.25)
(Ex.	2.25)

Lattice-point enumerator of an integral convex polygon

Proof of Thm 2.9(a):

- Inflating by factor of t makes
  - the area larger by factor of  $t^2$
  - the perimeter larger by factor of t
- Then, Pick's theorem proves

Proof of Thm 2.9(b):

$$L_{\mathcal{P}^\circ}(t) = L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) - B t$$

(Ex.	2.25)
(Ex.	2.25)

Lattice-point enumerator of an integral convex polygon

Proof of Thm 2.9(a):

- Inflating by factor of t makes
  - the area larger by factor of  $t^2$
  - the perimeter larger by factor of t
- Then, Pick's theorem proves

Proof of Thm 2.9(b):

$$egin{aligned} &L_{\mathcal{P}^\circ}(t) = L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) - B \ t \ &= \left(A \ t^2 + rac{1}{2}B \ t + 1
ight) - B \ t \end{aligned}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

DMCS'09 (2)

(Ex. 2.25) (Ex. 2.25)

Lattice-point enumerator of an integral convex polygon

Proof of Thm 2.9(a):

- Inflating by factor of t makes
  - the area larger by factor of  $t^2$
  - the perimeter larger by factor of t
- Then, Pick's theorem proves

Proof of Thm 2.9(b):

$$egin{aligned} & L_{\mathcal{P}^{\circ}}(t) = L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) - B \ t \ & = \left(A \ t^2 + rac{1}{2}B \ t + 1
ight) - B \ t \ & = A \ t^2 - rac{1}{2}B \ t + 1 = L_{\mathcal{P}}(-t) \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

(Ex. 2.25) (Ex. 2.25)

Proof of Thm 2.9(c):

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_\mathcal{P}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} L_\mathcal{P}(t) \, z^t$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Proof of Thm 2.9(c):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) \, z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \geq 0} \left( A \, t^2 + \frac{B}{2} \, t + 1 \right) z^t \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Thm 2.9(c):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) \, z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \ge 0} \left( A \, t^2 + \frac{B}{2} \, t + 1 \right) z^t \\ &= A \, \frac{z^2 + z}{(1 - z)^3} + \frac{B}{2} \, \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} + \frac{1}{1 - z} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Thm 2.9(c):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(z) &= 1 + \sum_{t \ge 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) \, z^t \\ &= \sum_{t \ge 0} \left( A \, t^2 + \frac{B}{2} \, t + 1 \right) z^t \\ &= A \frac{z^2 + z}{(1 - z)^3} + \frac{B}{2} \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} + \frac{1}{1 - z} \\ &= \frac{\left( A - \frac{B}{2} + 1 \right) z^2 + \left( A + \frac{B}{2} - 2 \right) z + 1}{(1 - z)^3} \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

メロト メポト メモト メモ

- The language of polytopes
- O The unit cube
- **3** The standard simplex
- O The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes
- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices

B Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

### Roadmap



< 口 > < 同

→ Ξ →

### Roadmap



### Goal of this section

- Develop a theory for rational convex polygons
- Introduce a quasipolynomial

• = • •

Steps towards rational convex polygons

- Triangulate a rational convex polygon
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  Enough to study triangles

→ ∃ →

### Steps towards rational convex polygons

- Triangulate a rational convex polygon
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  Enough to study triangles
- Embed a triangle into a rectangle
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  Enough to study right triangles

#### Steps towards rational convex polygons

- Triangulate a rational convex polygon
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  Enough to study triangles
- Embed a triangle into a rectangle
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  Enough to study right triangles
- Translate, rotate, and mirror a right triangle
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  Enough to study the following type of triangles

A right triangle: setup



- $a, b, d, e, f, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $ea + fb \leq rd$ , a, b < d
- For brevity, *e*, *f* coprime

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

Lattice-point enumerator: introducing a slack variable

$$L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) = \#\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: m \geq \frac{ta}{d}, n \geq \frac{tb}{d}, em + fn \leq tr
ight\}$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Lattice-point enumerator: introducing a slack variable

$$egin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) &= \# \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: \ m \geq rac{ta}{d}, \ n \geq rac{tb}{d}, \ em + fn \leq tr 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ (m,n,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^3: \ \ rac{m \geq rac{ta}{d}}{em + fn + s = tr}, \ \ s \geq 0, \ 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Lattice-point enumerator: introducing a slack variable

$$egin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) &= \# \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: \ m \geq rac{ta}{d}, \ n \geq rac{tb}{d}, \ em + fn \leq tr 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ (m,n,s) \in \mathbb{Z}^3: \ \ rac{m \geq rac{ta}{d}}{em + fn + s = tr}, \ \ s \geq 0, \ 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

This is interpreted as the coefficient of  $z^{tr}$  in the function

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{m\geq\frac{ta}{d}}} z^{em}\right) \left(\sum_{\substack{n\geq\frac{tb}{d}}} z^{fn}\right) \left(\sum_{s\geq 0} z^s\right),$$

where the subscript under a summation sign means "sum over all integers satisfying this condition"

Lattice-point enumerator: a power series

$$\left(\sum_{m\geq \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d}\right\rceil} z^{em}\right) \left(\sum_{n\geq \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d}\right\rceil} z^{fn}\right) \left(\sum_{s\geq 0} z^s\right)$$

(17)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Lattice-point enumerator: a power series

$$\left(\sum_{m \ge \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil} z^{em}\right) \left(\sum_{n \ge \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil} z^{fn}\right) \left(\sum_{s \ge 0} z^s\right) = \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e}}{1 - z^e} \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f}}{1 - z^f} \frac{1}{1 - z}$$

(17)

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

DMCS'09 (2)

1 2009-04-30 65 / 83

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Lattice-point enumerator: a power series

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{m \ge \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil} z^{em} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n \ge \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil} z^{fn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{s \ge 0} z^s \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e}}{1 - z^e} \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f}}{1 - z^f} \frac{1}{1 - z}$$
$$= \frac{z^{u + v}}{(1 - z^e)(1 - z^f)(1 - z)},$$
(17)

where

$$u := \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e$$
 and  $v := \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f$  (18)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Lattice-point enumerator: a power series

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{m \ge \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil} z^{em} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n \ge \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil} z^{fn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{s \ge 0} z^s \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e}}{1 - z^e} \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f}}{1 - z^f} \frac{1}{1 - z} = \frac{z^{u + v}}{(1 - z^e)(1 - z^f)(1 - z)},$$
(17)

where

$$u := \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e$$
 and  $v := \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f$  (18)

Therefore,

$$L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) = \operatorname{const}\left(\frac{z^{u+v-tr}}{(1-z^{e})(1-z^{f})(1-z)}\right)$$

-

イロト イポト イヨト イ

Lattice-point enumerator: a power series

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{m \ge \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil} z^{em} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n \ge \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil} z^{fn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{s \ge 0} z^s \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e}}{1 - z^e} \frac{z^{\left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f}}{1 - z^f} \frac{1}{1 - z} = \frac{z^{u + v}}{(1 - z^e)(1 - z^f)(1 - z)},$$
(17)

where

$$u := \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e$$
 and  $v := \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f$  (18)

Therefore,

$$L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) = \operatorname{const}\left(\frac{z^{u+v-tr}}{(1-z^{e})(1-z^{f})(1-z)}\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{const}\left(\frac{1}{(1-z^{e})(1-z^{f})(1-z^{f})(1-z)}z^{tr-u-v}}\right)$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

DMCS'09 (2)

Lattice-point enumerator: theorem

$$L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) = \operatorname{const}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1-z^{e}\right)\left(1-z^{f}\right)\left(1-z\right)z^{tr-u-v}}\right)$$

590

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Lattice-point enumerator: theorem

$$L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) = \operatorname{const}\left(\frac{1}{(1-z^e)(1-z^f)(1-z)z^{tr-u-v}}\right)$$

• Note: u + v - tr - e - f - 1 < 0 (Ex. 2.31)

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Lattice-point enumerator: theorem

$$L_{\mathcal{T}}(t) = \operatorname{const}\left(\frac{1}{(1-z^e)(1-z^f)(1-z)z^{tr-u-v}}\right)$$

- Note: u + v tr e f 1 < 0
- A calculation gives the following theorem

#### Theorem 2.10

For the triangle T given by (16), where e and f are coprime,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(t) &= \frac{1}{2ef} \left( tr - u - v \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( tr - u - v \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{e}{f} + \frac{f}{e} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} \frac{\xi_e^{j(v-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_e^{jf} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_e^{j} \right)} + \frac{1}{f} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \frac{\xi_f^{l(u-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_f^{le} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_f^{l} \right)} \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

(Ex. 2.31)

(Ex. 2.32)

Properties of this  $L_T(t)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(t) &= \frac{1}{2ef} \left( tr - u - v \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( tr - u - v \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{e}{f} + \frac{f}{e} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} \frac{\xi_e^{j(v-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_e^{jf} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_e^j \right)} + \frac{1}{f} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \frac{\xi_f^{l(u-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_f^{le} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_f^l \right)} \end{split}$$

996

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲屋ト ▲屋ト

Properties of this  $L_T(t)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(t) &= \frac{1}{2ef} \left( tr - u - v \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( tr - u - v \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{e}{f} + \frac{f}{e} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} \frac{\xi_e^{j(v-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_e^{jf} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_e^j \right)} + \frac{1}{f} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \frac{\xi_f^{l(u-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_f^{le} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_f^l \right)} \end{split}$$

•  $L_T(t)$  is a quadratic fn if we forget the last two sums and u, v

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲

Properties of this  $L_T(t)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{T}(t) &= \frac{1}{2ef} \left( tr - u - v \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( tr - u - v \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{e}{f} + \frac{f}{e} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} \frac{\xi_{e}^{j(v-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_{e}^{jf} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_{e}^{j} \right)} + \frac{1}{f} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \frac{\xi_{f}^{l(u-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_{f}^{le} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_{f}^{le} \right)} \end{split}$$

- $L_T(t)$  is a quadratic fn if we forget the last two sums and u, v
- the last two sums are periodic

→ Ξ →
Polygons with rational vertices

Properties of this  $L_T(t)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{T}(t) &= \frac{1}{2ef} \left( tr - u - v \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( tr - u - v \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{e}{f} + \frac{f}{e} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} \frac{\xi_{e}^{j(v-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_{e}^{jf} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_{e}^{j} \right)} + \frac{1}{f} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \frac{\xi_{f}^{l(u-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_{f}^{le} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_{f}^{le} \right)} \end{split}$$

- $L_T(t)$  is a quadratic fn if we forget the last two sums and u, v
- the last two sums are periodic
- $u = \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e$  and  $v = \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f$  show periodic behaviors

イロト イ団ト イモト イモト 二日

Polygons with rational vertices

Properties of this  $L_T(t)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{T}(t) &= \frac{1}{2ef} \left( tr - u - v \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( tr - u - v \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{e}{f} + \frac{f}{e} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} \frac{\xi_{e}^{j(v-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_{e}^{jf} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_{e}^{j} \right)} + \frac{1}{f} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \frac{\xi_{f}^{l(u-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_{f}^{le} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_{f}^{le} \right)} \end{split}$$

- $L_T(t)$  is a quadratic fn if we forget the last two sums and u, v
- the last two sums are periodic

•  $u = \left\lceil \frac{ta}{d} \right\rceil e$  and  $v = \left\lceil \frac{tb}{d} \right\rceil f$  show periodic behaviors

Therefore,  $L_T(t)$  is a "quadratic polynomial" in t whose coefficients are periodic in t

### Quasipolynomials

## Definition (Quasipolynomial)

A function Q in t is quasipolynomial if Q can be expressed as

$$Q(t) = c_n(t) t^n + \cdots + c_1(t) t + c_0(t),$$

where  $c_0, \ldots, c_n$  are periodic functions in t

- The degree of Q is n (assuming that  $c_n$  is not the zero function)
- The period of Q is the least common period of  $c_0, \ldots, c_n$

Polygons with rational vertices Constituents of a quasipolynomial

- $\boldsymbol{Q}$  a quasipolynomial in  $\boldsymbol{t}$ 
  - $\exists$  k and polynomials  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}$  s.t.

$$Q(t) = \begin{cases} p_0(t) & \text{if } t \equiv 0 \mod k, \\ p_1(t) & \text{if } t \equiv 1 \mod k, \\ \vdots \\ p_{k-1}(t) & \text{if } t \equiv k-1 \mod k \end{cases}$$

• The minimal such k is the period of Q

#### Definition (Constituent)

For this minimal k, the polynomials  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}$  are the constituents of Q

The lattice-point enumerator of a rational polygon is a quasipolynomial

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(t) &= \frac{1}{2ef} \left( tr - u - v \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( tr - u - v \right) \left( \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{e}{f} + \frac{f}{e} + \frac{1}{ef} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} \frac{\xi_e^{j(v-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_e^{jf} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_e^j \right)} + \frac{1}{f} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \frac{\xi_f^{l(u-tr)}}{\left( 1 - \xi_f^{le} \right) \left( 1 - \xi_f^l \right)} \end{split}$$

• This is a quasipolynomial of degree 2

#### Theorem 2.11

 ${\cal P}$  any rational polygon  $\Rightarrow$ 

- $L_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$  is a quasipolynomial of degree 2
- Its leading coefficient is the area of  ${\mathcal P}$  (in particular, a constant)

- The language of polytopes
- O The unit cube
- **3** The standard simplex
- **()** The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes
- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices

## <sup>®</sup> Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

→ Ξ →

#### Roadmap



### Goal of this section

Develop a theory for rational convex polytopes

▲ 豊 → →

# Definition (recap)

A polytope  ${\mathcal P}$  is rational if all of its vertices have rational coordinates

We are interested in  $\#(t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ 

→ Ξ →

# Definition (recap)

A polytope  ${\mathcal P}$  is rational if all of its vertices have rational coordinates

## We are interested in $\#(t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$

- Consider a hyperplane description of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$ 

★ Ξ ►

## Definition (recap)

A polytope  ${\mathcal P}$  is rational if all of its vertices have rational coordinates

We are interested in  $\#(t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ 

- Consider a hyperplane description of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$
- Every coefficient can be chosen as an integer

(Ex. 2.7)

# Definition (recap)

A polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is rational if all of its vertices have rational coordinates

# We are interested in $\#(t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$

- Consider a hyperplane description of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$
- Every coefficient can be chosen as an integer (Ex. 2.7)
- Inequalities are transformed into equalities (by slack var's)

# Definition (recap)

A polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is rational if all of its vertices have rational coordinates

# We are interested in $\#(t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$

- Consider a hyperplane description of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$
- Every coefficient can be chosen as an integer (Ex. 2.7)
- Inequalities are transformed into equalities (by slack var's)
- All pts in  ${\mathcal P}$  have nonnegative coord's (by translation)

# Definition (recap)

A polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is rational if all of its vertices have rational coordinates

# We are interested in $\#(t \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$

- Consider a hyperplane description of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$
- Every coefficient can be chosen as an integer (Ex. 2.7)
- Inequalities are transformed into equalities (by slack var's)
- All pts in  $\mathcal{P}$  have nonnegative coord's (by translation)

Therefore, any rational polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is expressed as

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \right\}$$
(23)

for some integral matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m imes d}$  and some integer vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ 

Note: *d* is not necessarily the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}_{a,a,b}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{a,a,b}$ 

Lattice-point enumerator of a rational polytope

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 二日

Lattice-point enumerator of a rational polytope

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

Therefore,

$$t\mathcal{P} = \left\{ t \, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

-

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲

Lattice-point enumerator of a rational polytope

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} t\mathcal{P} &= ig\{ t\, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}:\, \mathbf{A}\, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} ig\} \ &= ig\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}:\, \mathbf{A}\, rac{\mathbf{x}}{t} = \mathbf{b} ig\} \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Lattice-point enumerator of a rational polytope

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

Therefore,

$$t\mathcal{P} = \left\{ t \, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{t} = \mathbf{b} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = t\mathbf{b} \right\}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Lattice-point enumerator of a rational polytope

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

Therefore,

$$t\mathcal{P} = \left\{ t \, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{t} = \mathbf{b} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}_{\geq 0} : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = t\mathbf{b} \right\}$$

Namely,

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{d} : \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = t \mathbf{b} \right\}$$
(24)

イロト イポト イヨト イ

## Example: Setup



Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

2009-04-30 75 / 83

→ ∃ →

### Example: Lattice-point enumerator

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : x_1, x_2 \ge 0, egin{array}{c} x_1 + 2x_2 \le 3t, \ x_1 + x_2 \le 2t \end{array} 
ight\}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Example: Lattice-point enumerator

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: \, x_1, x_2 \geq 0, \, egin{aligned} & x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 3t, \ & x_1 + x_2 \leq 2t \end{aligned} 
ight\} \ & = \# \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4: \, egin{aligned} & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \geq 0, \ & x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 3t, \ & x_1 + x_2 + x_4 = 2t \end{array} 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Example: Lattice-point enumerator

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: \, x_1, x_2 \geq 0, \, egin{aligned} &x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 3t, \ &x_1 + x_2 \leq 2t \end{array} 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4: \, x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 3t, \ &x_1 + x_2 + x_4 = 2t \end{array} 
ight\} \ &= \# \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^4_{\geq 0}: \, igg( egin{aligned} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} igg) \, \mathbf{x} = igg( egin{aligned} 3t \ 2t \end{array} igg) 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト

### Example: Power series

$$f(z_1, z_2) := \frac{1}{(1 - z_1 z_2)(1 - z_1^2 z_2)(1 - z_1)(1 - z_2) z_1^{3t} z_2^{2t}}$$

590

◆ロト ◆聞ト ◆ヨト ◆ヨト

### Example: Power series

$$\begin{split} f\left(z_{1},z_{2}\right) &:= \frac{1}{\left(1-z_{1}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n_{1}\geq0}\left(z_{1}z_{2}\right)^{n_{1}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{2}\geq0}\left(z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)^{n_{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{3}\geq0}z_{1}^{n_{3}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{4}\geq0}z_{2}^{n_{4}}\right)\frac{1}{z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \end{split}$$

590

◆ロト ◆聞ト ◆ヨト ◆ヨト

## Example: Power series

$$\begin{split} f\left(z_{1},z_{2}\right) &:= \frac{1}{\left(1-z_{1}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n_{1}\geq0}\left(z_{1}z_{2}\right)^{n_{1}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{2}\geq0}\left(z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)^{n_{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{3}\geq0}z_{1}^{n_{3}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{4}\geq0}z_{2}^{n_{4}}\right)\frac{1}{z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \\ &= \sum_{n_{1},\dots,n_{4}\geq0}z_{1}^{n_{1}+2n_{2}+n_{3}-3t}z_{2}^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{4}-2t} \end{split}$$

590

◆ロト ◆聞ト ◆ヨト ◆ヨト

## Example: Power series

$$\begin{split} f\left(z_{1},z_{2}\right) &:= \frac{1}{\left(1-z_{1}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n_{1}\geq0}\left(z_{1}z_{2}\right)^{n_{1}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{2}\geq0}\left(z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)^{n_{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{3}\geq0}z_{1}^{n_{3}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{4}\geq0}z_{2}^{n_{4}}\right)\frac{1}{z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \\ &= \sum_{n_{1},\dots,n_{4}\geq0}z_{1}^{n_{1}+2n_{2}+n_{3}-3t}z_{2}^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{4}-2t} \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \operatorname{const}_{z_1, z_2} f(z_1, z_2)$$

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト

## Example: Power series

$$\begin{split} f\left(z_{1},z_{2}\right) &:= \frac{1}{\left(1-z_{1}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{1}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n_{1}\geq0}\left(z_{1}z_{2}\right)^{n_{1}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{2}\geq0}\left(z_{1}^{2}z_{2}\right)^{n_{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{3}\geq0}z_{1}^{n_{3}}\right)\left(\sum_{n_{4}\geq0}z_{2}^{n_{4}}\right)\frac{1}{z_{1}^{3t}z_{2}^{2t}} \\ &= \sum_{n_{1},\dots,n_{4}\geq0}z_{1}^{n_{1}+2n_{2}+n_{3}-3t}z_{2}^{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{4}-2t} \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \operatorname{const}_{z_1, z_2} f(z_1, z_2)$$

Then, we have (Ex. 2.36)

$$\frac{7}{4}t^2 + \frac{5}{2}t + \frac{7+(-1)^t}{8}$$

-

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲

## General case: Lattice-point enumerator and power series

## Reminder

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = t \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

Let

•  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d$  the columns of **A** 

• 
$$\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_m)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

General case: Lattice-point enumerator and power series

## Reminder

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = t \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

Let

Let

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_1})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_2}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_d}) \mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}}$$
(25)

where 
$$\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}} := z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2} \cdots z_m^{c_m}$$
 for  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ 

DQC

## General case: Lattice-point enumerator and power series

## Reminder

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \# \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d : \, \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{x} = t \mathbf{b} 
ight\}$$

Let

Let

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_1})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_2})\cdots(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_d})\mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}}$$
(25)  
$$= \left(\sum_{n_1 \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_1 \mathbf{c}_1}\right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_2 \mathbf{c}_2}\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{n_d \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_d \mathbf{c}_d}\right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}},$$
  
where  $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}} := z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2} \cdots z_m^{c_m}$  for  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ 

General case: A typical term

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \left(\sum_{n_1 \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_1 \mathbf{c}_1}\right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_2 \mathbf{c}_2}\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{n_d \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_d \mathbf{c}_d}\right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}}$$

• The exponent of a typical term looks like

$$n_1\mathbf{c}_1+n_2\mathbf{c}_2+\cdots+n_d\mathbf{c}_d-t\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{A}\mathbf{n}-t\mathbf{b},$$

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イ

General case: A typical term

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \left(\sum_{n_1 \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_1 \mathbf{c}_1}\right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_2 \mathbf{c}_2}\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{n_d \ge 0} \mathbf{z}^{n_d \mathbf{c}_d}\right) \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}}$$

• The exponent of a typical term looks like

$$n_1\mathbf{c}_1 + n_2\mathbf{c}_2 + \cdots + n_d\mathbf{c}_d - t\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{n} - t\mathbf{b},$$

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ 

Therefore, the constant term of f(z) counts the number of solutions n to

$$\mathbf{An} - t\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0},$$

namely, the number of lattice points in  $t\mathcal{P}$ 

### General case: Euler's generating function

#### Theorem 2.13

Suppose the rational polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by (23). Then the lattice-point enumerator of  $\mathcal{P}$  can be computed as

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \operatorname{const}_{\mathsf{z}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_1}) (1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_2}) \cdots (1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_d}) \mathsf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}} \right)$$

→ ∃ →

#### General case: Ehrhart series

#### Corollary 2.14

Suppose the rational polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by (23). Then the Ehrhart series of  $\mathcal{P}$  can be computed as

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \operatorname{const}_{\mathbf{z}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_1})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_2}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_d})(1 - \frac{x}{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{b}}})} \right)$$

Proof:

$$\overline{\mathsf{Ehr}}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \sum_{t \ge 0} \operatorname{const}_{\mathsf{z}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_1})(1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_2}) \cdots (1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_d}) \, \mathsf{z}^{t\mathsf{b}}} \right) x^t$$

< ≥ ► <

Image: Image:

#### General case: Ehrhart series

#### Corollary 2.14

Suppose the rational polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by (23). Then the Ehrhart series of  $\mathcal{P}$  can be computed as

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \operatorname{const}_{\mathsf{z}}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_{1}}\right)\left(1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_{2}}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_{d}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{x}{\mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{b}}}\right)}\right)$$

Proof:

$$\overline{\mathsf{Ehr}}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \sum_{t \ge 0} \operatorname{const}_{\mathbf{z}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_1})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_2}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_d}) \mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}} \right) x^t$$
$$= \operatorname{const}_{\mathbf{z}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_1})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_2}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_d})} \sum_{t \ge 0} \frac{x^t}{\mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}} \right)$$

<= ≥ + <

Image: Image:

#### General case: Ehrhart series

#### Corollary 2.14

Suppose the rational polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by (23). Then the Ehrhart series of  $\mathcal{P}$  can be computed as

$$\mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \operatorname{const}_{\mathsf{z}} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_1}) (1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_2}) \cdots (1 - \mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{c}_d}) (1 - \frac{x}{\mathsf{z}^{\mathsf{b}}})} \right)$$

#### Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) &= \sum_{t \ge 0} \operatorname{const} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{1}})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{2}}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{d}}) \mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}} \right) x^{t} \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{1}})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{2}}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{d}})}{\sum_{t \ge 0} \frac{x^{t}}{\mathbf{z}^{t\mathbf{b}}}} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{const} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{1}})(1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{2}}) \cdots (1 - \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{c}_{d}})}{\frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{b}}}}} \right) \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

Y. Okamoto (Tokyo Tech)

Image: Image:

→ ∃ →
- The language of polytopes
- 2 The unit cube
- 3 The standard simplex
- The Bernoulli polynomials as lattice-point enumerators of pyramids
- **5** The lattice-point enumerators of the cross-polytopes
- 6 Pick's theorem
- Polygons with rational vertices
- 8 Euler's generating function for general rational polytopes

## Summary

## Summary

- Definition of a polytope, and related concepts
- Observation of common phenomena through various examples
  - Lattice-point enumerators are polynomials in t
  - Evaluation at -t gives the lattice-point enumerator of the interior
- Definition of a quasipolynomial
- Lattice-point enumerators of rational polytopes